

TEKNOSA İÇ VE DIŞ TİCARET A.Ş.

# 2024 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2024

Word version

**Important: this export excludes unanswered questions**

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Terms of disclosure for corporate questionnaire 2024 - CDP](#)

# Contents

<b>C1. Introduction.....</b>	<b>8</b>
(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response? .....	8
(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response. ....	8
(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization. ....	8
(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.....	9
(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period? .....	10
(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary. ....	10
(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)? .....	10
(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate. ....	12
(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain? .....	12
(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of? .....	14
<b>C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities .....</b>	<b>15</b>
(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities? .....	15
(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts? .....	16
(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities? .....	17
(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.....	17
(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed? .....	21
(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain? .....	22
(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization? .....	23
(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health? .....	25
<b>C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities .....</b>	<b>27</b>
(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?.....	27
(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future. ....	28

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks. ....	34
(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations? .....	35
(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)? .....	36
(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by? .....	36
(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future? .....	37
(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future. ....	38
(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities. ....	44

#### **C4. Governance ..... 46**

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body? .....	46
(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization? .....	46
(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues. ....	47
(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues? .....	50
(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization? .....	51
(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals). ....	53
(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets? .....	58
(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals). ....	59
(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues? .....	63
(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies. ....	64
(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives? .....	66
(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment? .....	67
(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year. ....	68
(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response? .....	70
(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication. ....	70

<b>C5. Business strategy</b> .....	<b>72</b>
(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes? .....	72
(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization’s scenario analysis. ....	73
(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization’s scenario analysis. ....	90
(5.2) Does your organization’s strategy include a climate transition plan? .....	91
(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?.....	93
(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.....	94
(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning. ....	97
(5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition? .....	98
(5.4.1) Quantify the amount and percentage share of your spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition. ....	98
(5.4.3) Provide any additional contextual and/or verification/assurance information relevant to your organization’s taxonomy alignment. ....	100
(5.9) What is the trend in your organization’s water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?.....	100
(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities? .....	101
(5.10.1) Provide details of your organization’s internal price on carbon. ....	101
(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues? .....	104
(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment? .....	105
(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues? .....	106
(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization’s purchasing process? .....	107
(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization’s purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place. ....	108
(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization’s supplier engagement on environmental issues. ....	110
(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain. ....	111
<b>C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach</b> .....	<b>114</b>
(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.....	114
<b>C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change</b> .....	<b>116</b>
(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP? .....	116
(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?.....	116

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year? .....	116
(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?....	117
(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions. ....	118
(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions. ....	118
(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure? .....	119
(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions. ....	119
(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> e? .....	128
(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> e? .....	129
(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions. ....	130
(7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years. ....	140
(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions. ....	143
(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements. ....	143
(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements. ....	144
(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements. ....	147
(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year? .....	148
(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year. ....	148
(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure? .....	154
(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization? .....	154
(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type? .....	155
(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP). ....	155
(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area. ....	156
(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide. ....	157
(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity. ....	157
(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide. ....	157
(7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity. ....	157
(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response. ....	158
(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response? .....	159

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy? .....	159
(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken. ....	159
(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.....	160
(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel. ....	162
(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type. ....	163
(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year. ....	168
(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7. ....	170
(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year. ....	174
(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations. ....	175
(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business. ....	177
(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year? .....	178
(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets. ....	178
(7.53.2) Provide details of your emissions intensity targets and progress made against those targets. ....	182
(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?.....	187
(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.....	187
(7.54.3) Provide details of your net-zero target(s).....	195
(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases. ....	198
(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings. ....	198
(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below. ....	199
(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities? .....	201
(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products? .....	202
(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products. ....	202
(7.79) Has your organization canceled any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?.....	203
<b>C9. Environmental performance - Water security.....</b>	<b>204</b>
(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data? .....	204
(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored? .....	204

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change? .....	211
(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change. ....	214
(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source. ....	214
(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination. ....	217
(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities? .....	219
(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency. ....	220
(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority? .....	220
(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact? .....	221
(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets? .....	221
(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories. ....	221
(9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made. ....	222

**C10. Environmental performance - Plastics ..... 225**

(10.1) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type? .....	225
(10.2) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities. ....	225

**C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity ..... 231**

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments? .....	231
(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities? .....	231
(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year? .....	231

**C13. Further information & sign off ..... 235**

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party? .....	235
(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used? .....	235
(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored. ....	238
(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response. ....	239
(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website. ....	239





## C1. Introduction

### (1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

English

### (1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

TRY

### (1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

#### (1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

Publicly traded organization

#### (1.3.3) Description of organization

*As Teknosa İç ve Dış Ticaret A.Ş. (Teknosa), we proudly continue our activities, which began in 2000 as a part of Sabancı Holding, with a diverse portfolio of services including the retail sales of consumer electronics, imaging and IT products, telecommunications products, and home appliances both through our extensive network of physical stores and our e-commerce platform, teknosa.com. We are committed to our philosophy of “Technology for Everyone,” constantly enhancing our processes and expanding our business lines to better serve our customers and meet evolving environmental demands. In addition, since 2006, we have been a leader in the air conditioning sector and solar energy field through our dealer brand, İklimsa. Operating via authorized dealers and services, as well as through iklimsa.com, we provide energy-efficient solutions, including A air conditioners from brands such as Sigma, Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, and Fujitsu. Our broad product range encompasses professional series air conditioners and solar power systems (SPP), with a focus on industrial and residential projects. These offerings, including turnkey rooftop and land-based projects, underscore our dedication to sustainable energy solutions. We also contribute to environmental sustainability by providing mobile AC vehicle charging stations and fast support for corporate electronic products. Through Tekno Service, our aftersales support brand, we extend the lifecycle of our products by offering services such as “Security,” “In-Store Service,” “On-Site Installation,” “Remote Support Service,” and “Full Support Service Packages.” Aligned with our environmental goals, we also promote initiatives such as extended warranties, product repairs, equipment upgrades, and technological rental services. These initiatives not only support customer satisfaction but also help reduce electronic waste and promote the circular economy. As Turkey’s pioneering technology retailer, we are deeply invested in digital transformation and sustainability. Our omnichannel model, which includes a widespread store*

network, teknosa.com, and mobile platforms, enables us to deliver a unique, customer-centric experience. We continue to invest in our employees and promote talent development while leading the sector with innovative and sustainable practices. Since going public in 2012, we have embedded sustainability at the core of our operations. We recognize the climate crisis as a critical global challenge and are fully committed to being part of the solution. We view risks such as water shortages, droughts, extreme temperatures, and natural disasters as material concerns for both our business and stakeholders. Accordingly, we invite all stakeholders to join us in taking proactive steps towards mitigating these risks, ensuring that sustainability is a key focus in all our business decisions and activities.

[Fixed row]

#### **(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.**

##### **(1.4.1) End date of reporting year**

12/30/2023

##### **(1.4.2) Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period**

Select from:

Yes

##### **(1.4.3) Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years**

Select from:

Yes

##### **(1.4.4) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for**

Select from:

1 year

##### **(1.4.5) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for**

Select from:

1 year

### (1.4.6) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for

Select from:

1 year

[Fixed row]

### (1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

47321591000

### (1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

### (1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

#### ISIN code - bond

### (1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

### (1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

TRETKNO00010

## ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

## CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

## Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

TKNSA

## SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

## LEI number

### (1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

### (1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

7890007RM4JNJIRDVR22

### D-U-N-S number

### (1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

### Other unique identifier

### (1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

[Add row]

### (1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

Turkey

### (1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

### (1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

- Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

### (1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

- Upstream value chain
- Downstream value chain

### (1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

- Tier 1 suppliers

### (1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

- Tier 2 suppliers

### (1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

*We map our supply chain from raw material suppliers to final product delivery, allowing us to monitor key performance indicators such as on-time delivery, inventory management, product safety, and costs. We collaborate with suppliers to align with SBTi, focusing on sustainable product introduction. This includes collecting product carbon footprint data, improving energy efficiency, and raising awareness throughout the supply chain to reduce emissions, manage risks, and enhance traceability. Our sustainable purchasing process involves engaging with suppliers to determine SBTi targets and launching a supplier engagement program to collect essential carbon footprint and energy efficiency data. We evaluate competitor suppliers to set emissions reduction targets, explore the market for more sustainable options, and guide customers toward products with high energy-saving labels. Additionally, we provide training for key personnel and implement a reward system for both employees and suppliers. We developed project outputs through meetings and workshops with category, Preo, and İklimsa teams. We evaluated 23 key brands from over 280 active brands, which account for 76% of emissions, 75% of purchasing value, and 72% of sales value. Our supply chain begins with raw materials delivered to our warehouses and stores through our trusted manufacturers and suppliers, whom we evaluate based on quality and diversity, ensuring compliance with our “Quality Policy.” We have robust mechanisms for supplier onboarding and monitoring, aligning with our environmental, social, and ethical standards. Products are stored in our 30,000 m<sup>2</sup> open and 30,000 m<sup>2</sup> closed our Logistics Center and distributed through an omnichannel model, including our stores, İklimsa authorized dealers, and online platforms. In 2023, we collaborated with 7,359 suppliers—6,900 of whom were local—and onboarded 2,195 new suppliers. Our commitment to maximizing customer satisfaction reflects in our comprehensive services and transparent relationships with local and international suppliers. We successfully conducted a stakeholder workshop to validate our supply chain practices, bringing together suppliers, stakeholders, and industry experts to ensure alignment with local and global sustainability standards. The feedback received has been invaluable in refining our Sustainable Supply Chain policy and enhancing supplier engagement.*

[Fixed row]

**(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?**

	Plastics mapping	Value chain stages covered in mapping
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping plastics in our value chain	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Downstream value chain

[Fixed row]

## C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

### Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

1

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

*The timeframes defined here are used to effectively identify the Company's risks and opportunities.*

### Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

1

(2.1.3) To (years)

3

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

*The timeframes defined here are used to effectively identify the Company's risks and opportunities.*



## Long-term

### (2.1.1) From (years)

3

### (2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

No

### (2.1.3) To (years)

10

### (2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

The timeframes defined here are used to effectively identify the Company's risks and opportunities.

[Fixed row]

## (2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

**(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?**

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both risks and opportunities	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

**(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.**

**Row 1**

**(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue**

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Water

**(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue**

Select all that apply

- Dependencies
- Impacts
- Risks
- Opportunities

### (2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain
- Downstream value chain

### (2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- Full

### (2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

### (2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- Annually

### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

## (2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

## (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Not location specific

## (2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

### Commercially/publicly available tools

- EcoVadis

### Enterprise Risk Management

- Enterprise Risk Management
- Internal company methods
- Risk models

### International methodologies and standards

- Environmental Impact Assessment
- ISO 14001 Environmental Management Standard

### Other

- Scenario analysis
- Desk-based research
- External consultants
- Materiality assessment
- Internal company methods
- Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis

## (2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

**Acute physical**

- Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- Heat waves
- Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- Wildfires

**Chronic physical**

- Heat stress
- Increased severity of extreme weather events

**Policy**

- Carbon pricing mechanisms
- Changes to international law and bilateral agreements
- Changes to national legislation

**Market**

- Availability and/or increased cost of raw materials
- Changing customer behavior

**Reputation**

- Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback
- Other reputation, please specify :talent retention

**Technology**

- Data access/availability or monitoring systems
- Transition to lower emissions technology and products

**Liability**

- Non-compliance with regulations

**(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered**

*Select all that apply*

- NGOs
- Customers
- Employees
- Investors
- Suppliers

- Regulators
- Local communities
- Water utilities at a local level

### (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- Yes

### (2.2.2.16) Further details of process

*At Teknosa, corporate risk management is carried out by the Risk, Compliance and Business Continuity Department, which reports directly to the General Manager. In addition, comprehensive risk reports are periodically submitted to the Early Detection of Risk Committee and the Committee's oversight role is fully supported. The Internal Audit Department conducts process audits of our Head Office units within the scope of the audit plan based on risk analyses and in line with the requests received from Sabancı Holding Audit Department. After completing its audits, the Internal Audit Department reports its findings to the Audit Committee, which also includes members of the Board of Directors. We manage risks and opportunities related to climate change in an integrated manner with corporate risk management. The Sustainability Committee, which reports to the Board of Directors and consists of executive board members, is responsible for the management of risks and opportunities related to climate change. The Assistant General Manager of Human Resources and Sustainability supports this committee and implements the necessary measures and strategies at the operational level. At the lowest level, the Risk, Compliance and Business Continuity Department, the Strategy, Innovation and Entrepreneurship Department, and the Sustainability and Occupational Safety Department identify risks and opportunities and support field practices and operations. This structure plays an important role in effectively managing climate change risks. We evaluate the risks faced by our company under the main headings of environmental, social, economic, technological, political and operational. We organized a Risk and Opportunity Identification Workshop with the participation of employees from our relevant departments to determine prevention and elimination strategies for these risks. We determined the actions to be taken to strengthen our operations to prevent the risks identified in the workshop. In addition, thanks to the double materiality we conducted this year, we identified our impacts on the environment and the risks and opportunities these impacts have on our financial performance. The workshops and double materiality analysis we conducted by incorporating feedback from our internal and external stakeholders was an important step towards identifying and reporting the most critical ESG issues affecting our sustainability performance. We also assessed climate change risks and opportunities in 2023 under the guidance of TCFD. Current situation analyses and scenario studies enabled us to review our strategies and develop new strategies for the future. Scenario analyses helped us evaluate the performance of our strategies under different climate conditions and select the most appropriate strategies. While we identified many risks and opportunities in the risk assessment we conducted this year, we identified the carbon tax risk and the increase in raw material and product costs as the two most critical risks specific to our operations. In addition, changing consumer behavior, rising temperatures and more efficient stores stood out among the opportunities we identified.*

[Add row]

### (2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

## (2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

Yes

## (2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

*We recognize that environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are inherently interconnected. In response to this complexity, we employ a holistic risk identification and assessment approach, ensuring that we address both environmental and financial considerations. This approach is deeply informed by our Double Materiality Analysis, which we conduct in alignment with the European Union's CSRD. Double materiality integrates 2 critical dimensions: Impact Materiality, which evaluates how our business activities affect society and the environment, and Financial Materiality, which assesses how these impacts, in turn, influence our financial performance through risks and opportunities. By interlinking these two dimensions, we ensure that our assessment provides a balanced and integrated view of both societal outcomes and financial performance. A clear example of this integrated approach is our recent climate risk assessment under the TCFD framework. During this assessment, we identified that risks such as carbon taxes and the rising cost of raw materials are not only environmental challenges but also carry direct financial implications. By analyzing the synergies and trade-offs between these factors, we can develop strategies that address both climate-related and financial concerns. Through scenario analysis, we prioritize actions that enhance long-term resilience, ensuring our strategies perform effectively under varying climate conditions. Our risk assessment is comprehensive, considering impacts across the entire value chain, from supply chain operations to product use. This ensures that we fully understand our environmental dependencies and can leverage potential opportunities. Internal workshops and stakeholder engagement are key components in refining this process, ensuring alignment with international ESG standards and best practices. For example, in our risk identification process, we pinpointed the rising cost of raw materials and products as a significant risk. Teknosa, operating within an industry that is heavily reliant on raw materials, recognizes the potential impact of climate change on the availability and pricing of these materials, particularly with the shift towards products with lower carbon footprints. This development could adversely affect our supply chain due to disruptions in raw material supply and increase costs in our direct operations. To mitigate this risk, we will implement sustainable procurement strategies and diversify our supply chain, ensuring greater resilience. When considering the risk of changing customer behavior, another key risk we identified, if our sustainable strategies are successful, we anticipate an increase in sales as customers favor more environmentally responsible products. This highlights a significant opportunity arising from our risk mitigation efforts.*

[Fixed row]

## (2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

### (2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

No, but we plan to within the next two years

### (2.3.7) Primary reason for not identifying priority locations

Select from:

- Not an immediate strategic priority

### (2.3.8) Explain why you do not identify priority locations

*As Teknosa, we operate in the electronics and technological products retailing sector. We do not have any production process due to our sector, but we operate in many provinces of Turkey with our stores and İklimsa Authorized Dealers and Services. We evaluate our significant dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities related to nature on a company basis, not regionally. Therefore, we do not have a prioritized location or place in our value chain. However, as a company with a presence in many provinces in Turkey, we plan to calculate our water footprint in the coming periods.*

*[Fixed row]*

## (2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

### Risks

#### (2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- Qualitative
- Quantitative

#### (2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

- Revenue

#### (2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

- % decrease

#### (2.4.4) % change to indicator

Select from:



41-50

## (2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

Likelihood of effect occurring

## (2.4.7) Application of definition

We qualitatively evaluate the impact of the risks we identify in the Risk Management Procedure guidance according to severity (scale, scope and irremediability score) and quantitatively according to the potential size of financial impact and likelihood. We update our classification criteria with the flexibility to adapt quickly and effectively to company and market conditions, and assess all risks at least once a year. For the qualitative assessment, we assess severity by scoring from 0 to 4 in terms of how serious the impact is, how widespread the impact is, and how difficult it is to reverse the negative effects back to their original scale. 0 indicates the lowest impact and 4 indicates the highest impact. For scale; 0-None, 1-Low, 2-Moderate, 3-High, 4-Critical For scope; 0-None, 1-Local, 2-National, 3-Regional, For irremediability; 0-Very easy, 1-Relatively easy, 2-Difficult, 3-Very difficult, 4-Non remediable As part of the quantitative assessment, we evaluate the magnitude of the impact of risks based on probability and size of financial impact. We categorize the size of financial impact as 1 to 5 and probabilities as %. Financial Impact: 1-Very Low: Almost no (0%-5%) loss of financial performance 2-Low: Limited (5%-10%) loss of financial performance 3-Medium: Moderate (10%-20%) loss of financial performance 4-High: High (20%-40%) loss of financial performance 5-Critical: Critical (40% or more) loss of financial performance Likelihood: 0-Remote: 85% chance of occurrence 1-Certain: Actual, 100% chance of occurrence

## Opportunities

### (2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

Qualitative

Quantitative

### (2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

Revenue

### (2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

% increase

#### (2.4.4) % change to indicator

Select from:

51-60

#### (2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

Likelihood of effect occurring

#### (2.4.7) Application of definition

*At Teknosa, we act on the principle that risks are not only threats but also opportunities. While we qualitatively assess the impact of the risks we identify in the Risk Management Procedure guidance according to severity (scale, scope and irremediability score), we also use it to decide how the size of impact that opportunities may create. For the scale of impact created by the opportunity; 0-None: No positive impacts on people or the environment 1-Low: The impacts can result in small-scale improvements to people's lives or localized enhancements to environmental conditions. 2-Moderate: Positive impacts can lead to noticeable improvements in people's well-being or moderately better environmental conditions. 3-High: Positive impacts at this level can result in high improvements to people's lives or high enhancements to environmental quality. 4-Critical: These impacts can lead to transformative changes that benefit a large number of people or critically transform the environment. As part of the quantitative assessment, we evaluate the magnitude of the impact of opportunities based on probability and size of financial impact. We categorize the size of financial impact as 1 to 5 and probabilities as %. Financial Impact: 1-Very Low: Almost no (0%-5%) gain of financial performance 2-Low: Limited (5%-10%) gain of financial performance 3-Medium: Moderate (10%-20%) gain of financial performance 4-High: High (20%-40%) gain of financial performance 5-Critical: Critical (40% or more) gain of financial performance Likelihood: 0-Remote: 85% chance of occurrence 1-Certain: Actual, 100% chance of occurrence [Add row]*

### **(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?**

#### (2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

No, we do not identify and classify our potential water pollutants

### (2.5.3) Please explain

*We operate in the electronics and technological goods retailing sector and do not have any manufacturing processes. Therefore, water pollution does not stand out as a critical issue due to our sector. For this reason, we have not identified and categorized our potential water pollutants. In addition, in 2023, we conducted a materiality study by including our stakeholder groups such as senior management, employees, customers, Sabancı Holding and group companies, investors and shareholders, universities, public and accreditation bodies, non-governmental organizations and associations, suppliers and media. As a result of the study, to which we received responses from a total of 689 stakeholders, we identified our material issues, but water-related issues were not among our priorities. Despite not being among our priorities, we have started to work on water. In the coming periods, we plan to increase our efforts to improve our performance in this area.*

*[Fixed row]*

## C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

**(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?**

### Climate change

#### (3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

### Water

#### (3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

No

#### (3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

#### (3.1.3) Please explain

*In 2023, we conducted a materiality study by including our stakeholder groups such as senior management, employees, customers, Sabancı Holding and group companies, İklimsa authorized service centers and dealers, investors and shareholders, universities, public and accreditation bodies, non-governmental organizations and associations, suppliers and the media. As a result of this study, to which we received responses from a total of 689 stakeholders, we identified our material issues, but water-related issues were not among the issues prioritized by our stakeholders. Therefore, we have not conducted a risk opportunity assessment on water-related issues. Despite not being among our priorities, we have started our work on water. In the coming periods, we plan to assess our water-related risks and opportunities and we aim to improve our performance in this area.*

## Plastics

### (3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

No

### (3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

### (3.1.3) Please explain

*In 2023, we conducted a materiality study by including our stakeholder groups such as senior management, employees, customers, Sabancı Holding and group companies, İklimsa authorized service centers and dealers, investors and shareholders, universities, public and accreditation bodies, non-governmental organizations and associations, suppliers and the media. As a result of this study, to which we received responses from a total of 689 stakeholders, we identified our material issues, but plastic-related issues were not among the issues prioritized by our stakeholders. We are also work to improve our performance in issues related to plastics in waste management. However, we did not identify any risks related to plastics in the risk process we conducted.*

*[Fixed row]*

**(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.**

## Climate change

### (3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk1

### (3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

## Policy

- Carbon pricing mechanisms

### (3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Upstream value chain

### (3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- Turkey

### (3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

*Carbon pricing mechanisms are seen as a significant risk for the continuity of Teknosa's operations due to newly emerging regulations. Although the extent to which companies will be subject to pricing mechanisms and implementation details are not yet clear, Türkiye shares similar views with the EU on sustainability and climate change through the Green Deal Action Plan and it is estimated that if a carbon tax or emissions trading system (ETS) is implemented as a carbon pricing mechanism in Turkey, the cost per greenhouse gas will be determined similar to the EU ETS system. With possible carbon pricing, there will be an extra cost for the emissions arising from our operations and we will have to implement mitigation-oriented projects to reduce the greenhouse gas impact. In addition, possible pricing will affect all our suppliers, logistics network and operations due to increased costs. In case we are subject to the pricing mechanism within the framework of our Scope 1 emissions and considering that the cost per ton of greenhouse gas emissions in the EU ETS system is 80, we face a financial risk of TL 6,188,160.*

### (3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Increased direct costs

### (3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term

### (3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

Likely

### (3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

Low

### (3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

*As stated in the organization-specific description of the risk, carbon pricing will lead to additional costs for Teknosa's emissions from its operations. These additional costs will affect the revenue item in terms of direct negative impact on the company's revenues. In addition, the increase in costs will also be reflected on product prices. This, in turn, could negatively impact the number of sales and therefore sales revenues. Magnitude: In question 2.4, we made definitions for the substantive effect of the risk. According to these definitions, when we made a calculation based on our net profit in 2023, we calculated the loss of this risk on our net profit as 0.83%(6188160/747502000\*100). Therefore, we chose low as magnitude, but it is actually very-low for our substantive criterias in 2.4.*

### (3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

Yes

### (3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)

0

### (3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)

6188160

### (3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

*Turkey shares similar views with the EU on sustainability and climate change through the Green Deal Action Plan and it is estimated that if a carbon tax or emissions trading system (ETS) is implemented as a carbon pricing mechanism in Turkey, the cost per GHG will be determined in a similar way to the EU ETS system. According to the planned implementation schedule announced by the Turkish Ministry of Environment, Urbanization and Climate Change, carbon pricing is planned to start in 2026, but it is not clear which sectors and companies will be subject to the pricing mechanism and to what extent, and the implementation details are not clear.*

*As Teknosa, we are working to reduce our emissions as part of our decarbonization strategy. Due to the uncertainties mentioned above, we may not face the financial impact of this risk if we are not included in the carbon pricing mechanism planned to be implemented in Turkey. Therefore, we have set the minimum financial impact of this risk as TL 0 in the medium term. Within the framework of our 2023 Scope 1 emissions, we have calculated that we face a financial risk of TL 6,188,160 if we are subject to the pricing mechanism and considering that the cost per ton of greenhouse gas emissions in the EU ETS system is 80. We calculated this amount based on our Scope 1 emissions for 2023 as 2344 tons of CO<sub>2</sub>e, the price per ton of CO<sub>2</sub> as 80 and the average exchange rate as TL 33. (2344\*80\*33)*

### **(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk**

#### **Compliance, monitoring and targets**

Implementation of environmental best practices in direct operations

### **(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk**

3176456

### **(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation**

*As Teknosa, we carry out automation projects to ensure energy efficiency in our stores as part of our decarbonization strategy. We are also transforming our stores to LED lighting to minimize our energy consumption from lighting. In 2023, we realized an investment expenditure of TL 3,176,456 within the scope of these two projects. (2023-IAS 29 was applied when calculating the data of TL 3,176,456).*

### **(3.1.1.29) Description of response**

*As Teknosa, we carry out automation projects to ensure energy efficiency in our stores as part of our decarbonization strategy. We are also transforming our stores to LED lighting to minimize our energy consumption from lighting.*

## **Climate change**

### **(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier**

Select from:

Risk2

### **(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver**



## Market

- Changing customer behavior

### (3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Downstream value chain

### (3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- Turkey

### (3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

*Increasing demand for environmentally friendly products and services is an important factor in Teknosa's risk of change in customer behavior. In particular, customers' increased awareness of environmental sustainability leads them to prefer environmentally friendly products and services. However, if we fail to respond to this demand or fail to meet customer expectations, we may face the risk of revenue loss due to customer loss. This can lead not only to a decrease in customer satisfaction but also to a loss of revenues from environmentally friendly products and services.*

### (3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Decreased revenues due to reduced demand for products and services

### (3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term

### (3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- More likely than not

### (3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

Low

### (3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

*Recognizing the growing consumer demand for environmentally friendly products, we are committed to improving the impact of our marketing activities on consumption habits. However, if we fail to respond to this demand or meet customer expectations, we may risk losing customers in this area. This could result in the loss of revenue from the environmentally friendly products and services we offer to our customers across all our sales channels. Magnitude: In question 2.4, we made definitions for the substantive effect of the risk. In 2023, we generated revenues of approximately TL 1600000000 from environmentally friendly products and services. Total sales revenues amounted to TL 47321591000. According to these definitions, when we made a calculation based on our sales revenue in 2023, we calculated the loss of this risk on our sales revenues as 3%. Therefore, we chose low as magnitude, but it is actually very-low for our substantive criterias in 2.4.*

### (3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

Yes

### (3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)

0

### (3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)

1600000000

### (3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

*The potential financial impact is a decrease in Teknosa's revenues if the demand for environmentally friendly products and services increases due to the risk of changes in customer behavior and Teknosa is unable to meet this demand. The methodology used to calculate this risk takes into account revenue forecasts for the sales performance of our products and services and available revenue data from our sustainable product category. The primary financial impact of the risk is defined as a decrease in demand for environmentally friendly products and a consequent decrease in revenues from these products. The calculation takes into account the situation where the demand for environmentally friendly products is fully met and there is no loss of revenue. In this case, Teknosa will meet customers' expectations for environmentally friendly products and services and will not experience any revenue loss due to this risk. Therefore, the minimum financial impact is chosen as TL*

0. The worst case is that customers' interest in environmentally friendly products increases and Teknosa is unable to meet this demand. Based on 2023 financial data, Teknosa's revenue from environmentally friendly products and services is 1600000000 TL. Therefore, the maximum financial impact is chosen as the complete loss of this revenue and is stated as 1600000000 TL.

### (3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

#### Diversification

Develop new products, services and/or markets

### (3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

64898876

### (3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

*To mitigate the risk of changes in customer behavior, we strive to increase the number and quality of our environmentally friendly products and services. In this context, we make sustainability-oriented R&D and innovation investments. In 2023, we invested TL 64898876 in sustainability-focused R&D and innovation.*

### (3.1.1.29) Description of response

*To mitigate the risk of changes in customer behavior, we strive to increase the number and quality of our environmentally friendly products and services. In this context, we make sustainability-oriented R&D and innovation investments. In 2023, we invested TL 64898876 in sustainability-focused R&D and innovation. Taking into account the increasing demand of consumers for environmentally friendly products, we continuously improve our product and service portfolio with low-emission and environmentally friendly products with the awareness of the impact of our marketing activities on consumption habits. As an indicator of the importance we attach to sustainability, we provide additional discounts to our customers to encourage the sale of A products in our product portfolio. In addition, in collaboration with Sabancı Holding, we have defined our criteria to meet industry expectations. We aim to promote sustainable consumption habits by marketing products with minimized environmental and social impacts.*

[Add row]

**(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.**

## Climate change

### (3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

Revenue

### (3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

1606188160

### (3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

1-10%

### (3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

### (3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

Less than 1%

### (3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

*Carbon pricing mechanisms and changing consumer behavior risks are transition risks. The maximum financial impact we calculate for carbon pricing mechanisms risk is 6188160 TL and the maximum financial impact we calculate for changing consumer behavior risk is 1600000000 TL (This data is audited data.). The percentage of these two impact amounts in our total income of 47321591000 TL in 2023 is calculated as 3.39%. (6188160 TL/1600000000 TL)/47321591000 TL\*100  
[Add row]*

**(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?**

	Water-related regulatory violations	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Our organization is not subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations.

[Fixed row]

### (3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

No, but we anticipate being regulated in the next three years

#### (3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?

Teknosa recognizes that over 90% of our CO2 emissions stem from the sale of products, with the remaining portion attributed to operational sources such as electricity consumption, fuel usage, and city gas. Although the direct financial impact of carbon taxation on our business is currently minimal, we acknowledge that as global efforts to transition to a low-carbon economy intensify, the introduction of carbon pricing mechanisms in Türkiye and globally is inevitable. In alignment with this trend, we are preparing to comply with anticipated carbon tax regulations, recognizing the potential implications on our financial and operational performance. According to the IEA 450 scenario, carbon taxes are projected to reach 100 USD per t-CO2 by 2030. This would impose significant compliance costs across industries, including retail. To proactively mitigate this risk, Teknosa has implemented a comprehensive strategy focused on both regulatory compliance and reducing our carbon footprint. Our approach includes: **Monitoring CO2 Emissions:** We have initiated a process to closely track our emissions, which not only helps us prepare for potential carbon pricing but also allows us to identify opportunities for reduction. This is a vital component of maintaining compliance as future regulations are introduced. **Electricity Consumption Management:** Recognizing electricity as a key source of emissions, we have begun transitioning to renewable energy sources. By sourcing energy from lower-carbon alternatives, we anticipate reducing our Scope 2 emissions, which will help offset future regulatory costs while contributing to national and international climate goals. **Decarbonization Plan:** Teknosa is currently developing a decarbonization plan aimed at gradually reducing emissions across our value chain. This plan incorporates specific measures such as energy efficiency improvements, technology upgrades, and behavioral changes within our workforce to reduce energy consumption in stores, warehouses, and logistics. **Scope 3 Emissions Reduction:** The majority of our emissions fall under Scope 3, especially through purchased goods and services. To address this, we have identified several levers to drive emissions reductions within our supply chain. These include: Setting supplier reduction targets to ensure our partners align with our sustainability goals. Selecting suppliers with lower carbon footprints, thus indirectly reducing our overall impact. In-store communication and product labeling to raise customer awareness and influence purchasing decisions in favor of lower-carbon alternatives. Promoting high-impact product categories that are more energy-efficient and have lower lifecycle emissions. Integrating circular economy principles into the design process, thereby reducing waste and extending product lifecycles. To support this strategy, Teknosa has adopted various scenario narratives, drawing from key scientific sources, to prepare for potential future states of the world. These narratives are based on main sources: IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change): We utilize both the AR5 and AR6 reports, focusing on Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) and Socio-Economic Pathways (SSPs). The

SSP1-1.9 and SSP1-2.6 pathways are particularly relevant for our scenarios aiming for a temperature trajectory of below 2C. These pathways guide our carbon reduction efforts by helping us understand potential future emissions and socioeconomic impacts. IEA (International Energy Agency): The Net Zero Emissions scenario outlines the transformation of the global energy system to meet the Paris Agreement goals, providing a framework for Teknosa to reduce our energy consumption and emissions in line with global low-carbon transitions. NGFS (Network for Greening the Financial System): We consider the NGFS's scenarios, which are particularly useful in assessing potential risks and opportunities associated with the transition to a low-carbon economy and its impacts on the financial sector. The Below 2C scenario is integral to shaping our risk management strategies and business continuity plans. By implementing these measures, we are not only preparing for compliance with anticipated carbon pricing systems but also positioning ourselves as a leader in the retail sector's low-carbon transition. Our strategy is designed to both mitigate risks —such as rising compliance costs and supply chain disruptions—and capture opportunities through enhanced brand reputation, customer loyalty, and operational efficiency. In addition, we are aligning our efforts with global frameworks such as the IEA 450 scenario and the Paris Agreement. This alignment ensures that our decarbonization initiatives contribute to the broader global effort to keep global warming well below 2C, while also addressing the expectations of investors, regulators, and customers.

### **(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?**

#### **Climate change**

##### **(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified**

Select from:

Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

#### **Water**

##### **(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified**

Select from:

No

##### **(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities**

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

##### **(3.6.3) Please explain**

*In 2023, we conducted a materiality study by including our stakeholder groups such as senior management, employees, customers, Sabancı Holding and group companies, İklimsa authorized service centers and dealers, investors and shareholders, universities, public and accreditation bodies, non-governmental organizations and associations, suppliers and the media. As a result of this study, to which we received responses from a total of 689 stakeholders, we identified our material issues, but water-related issues were not among the issues prioritized by our stakeholders. Therefore, we have not conducted a risk opportunity assessment on water-related issues. Despite not being among our priorities, we have started our work on water. In the coming periods, we plan to assess our water-related risks and opportunities and we aim to improve our performance in this area.*

*[Fixed row]*

### **(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.**

#### **Climate change**

##### **(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier**

Select from:

Opp1

##### **(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver**

###### **Resource efficiency**

Move to more energy/resource efficient buildings

##### **(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs**

Select from:

Direct operations

##### **(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs**

Select all that apply

Turkey

### (3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

*Effective and sustainable management of the energy required for our stores and logistics center plays a critical role in both environmental and operational terms. In this context, we see it as an opportunity to reduce our operational costs by increasing energy efficiency in our stores and branches. In 2023, we achieved financial savings of TL 11882056 thanks to the energy efficiency projects we realized.*

### (3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Reduced indirect (operating) costs

### (3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- The opportunity has already had a substantive effect on our organization in the reporting year

### (3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- Low

### (3.6.1.13) Effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the reporting period

*As Teknosa, we carry out energy efficiency projects in our stores within the scope of combating climate change, and thanks to energy efficiency projects, we save both energy consumption and the cost of this energy consumption by not spending the energy we would normally consume. In 2023, thanks to our energy efficiency projects, we saved 11882056 TL and our energy costs amounted to 127143000 TL. Magnitude: In question 2.4, we made definitions for the substantive effect of opportunities. According to these definitions, when we made a calculation based on our operational expenses in 2023, we calculated the gain of this opportunity as 9%. Therefore, we chose low as magnitude and it is also low for our substantive criteria in 2.4.  $(11882056 \text{ TL} / 127143000 \text{ TL}) * 100$*

### (3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

- Yes



### (3.6.1.16) Financial effect figure in the reporting year (currency)

11882056

### (3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

*As Teknosa, we carry out energy efficiency projects in our stores as part of our efforts to combat climate change, and thanks to energy efficiency projects, we save both energy consumption and the cost of this energy consumption by not consuming the energy we would normally consume. In 2023, we saved 2629,788 MWh of energy thanks to our energy efficiency projects. We calculated the financial equivalent of this saving as 11882056 TL using the average electricity and natural gas prices in Turkey for 2023.*

### (3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

3176456

### (3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

*As Teknosa, we carry out energy efficiency projects in our stores as part of the fight against climate change, and thanks to energy efficiency projects, we save both energy consumption and the cost of this energy consumption by not spending the energy we would normally consume. We carry out automation projects to increase energy efficiency and optimize energy consumption in our stores. We are also transforming the lighting systems in our stores into LED lighting to make them more efficient. In 2023, we realized an investment of TL 3176456 within the scope of these two projects (2023-IAS 29 was applied when calculating the data of TL 3176456).*

### (3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

*Effective and sustainable management of the energy required for our stores and logistics center plays a critical role in both environmental and operational terms. In this context, we see it as an opportunity to reduce our operational costs by increasing energy efficiency in our stores and branches. As Teknosa, we carry out energy efficiency projects in our stores as part of the fight against climate change, and thanks to energy efficiency projects, we save both energy consumption and the cost of this energy consumption by not consuming the energy we would normally consume. We carry out automation projects to increase energy efficiency and optimize energy consumption in our stores. We also transform the lighting systems in our stores into LED lighting to make them more efficient. In this way, we aim to both reduce costs by increasing our energy efficiency and contribute to our environmental sustainability goals.*

## Climate change

### (3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

Opp2

### (3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

#### Products and services

Shift in consumer preferences

### (3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

Downstream value chain

### (3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

Turkey

### (3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

*In Türkiye, consumers' demand for environmentally friendly products and services is increasing day by day. As Teknosa, we are aware of this trend and, with the awareness of the impact of our marketing activities on consumption habits, we continuously improve our product and service portfolio with low-emission and environmentally friendly products. Customers' increasing demand for environmentally friendly products and services creates an opportunity for us to increase our revenue by increasing the sales of these products. In 2022, we generated 630000000 TL revenue from environmentally friendly products and services, while in 2023, our revenue from environmentally friendly products and services increased by 154% compared to the previous year to 1600000000 TL.*

### (3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

### (3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

Short-term

The opportunity has already had a substantive effect on our organization in the reporting year

### (3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

Very likely (90–100%)

### (3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

Low

### (3.6.1.13) Effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the reporting period

*Recognizing the growing consumer demand for environmentally friendly products, we are committed to improving the impact of our marketing activities on consumption habits. If we meet customers' demands in this area, we can increase our sales revenues from environmentally friendly products and services along with customer growth. In 2023, we generated revenues of TL 1600000000 from environmentally friendly products and services.*

### (3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

*Recognizing the growing consumer demand for environmentally friendly products, we are committed to improving the impact of our marketing activities on consumption habits. If we meet customers' demands in this area, we may increase our sales revenues from environmentally friendly products and services along with customer growth.*

### (3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

Yes

### (3.6.1.16) Financial effect figure in the reporting year (currency)

1600000000

### **(3.6.1.17) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term - minimum (currency)**

1600000000

### **(3.6.1.18) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)**

4064000000

### **(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures**

*Increasing customer demand for environmentally friendly products and services creates an opportunity for us to increase our revenues through increased sales of these products. In 2023, we generated 1600000000 TL revenue from environmentally friendly products and services and this revenue was directly reflected in our sales revenues. Our assessment for Short-term is based on the rate of increase in our revenue from environmentally friendly products and services between 2022 and 2023. Assuming that in the following year, if our revenue from eco-friendly products and services does not increase at all and remains constant, we will generate the same revenue as in 2023, we entered the data 1600000000 TL for the minimum financial impact for short-term. In 2022, we generated 630000000 TL revenue from environmentally friendly products and services, while in 2023, our revenue from environmentally friendly products and services increased by 154% compared to the previous year and reached 1600000000 TL. Based on the assumption that this increase will continue at the same rate next year, we can generate 4064000000 TL in the short-term. For this reason, we entered 4064000000 TL data for maximum financial impact in short-term.*

### **(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity**

64898876

### **(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation**

*We strive to increase the number and quality of our environmentally friendly products and services in order to seize opportunities in the context of changes in customer behavior. In this context, we make sustainability-oriented R&D and innovation investments. In 2023, we invested TL 64898876 in sustainability-focused R&D and innovation.*

### **(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity**

*We strive to increase the number and quality of our environmentally friendly products and services in order to seize opportunities in the context of changes in customer behavior. In this context, we make sustainability-oriented R&D and innovation investments. In 2023, we invested TL 64898876 in sustainability-focused R&D and innovation. Taking into account the increasing demand of consumers for environmentally friendly products, we continuously improve our product and service portfolio with low-emission and environmentally friendly products with the awareness of the impact of our marketing activities on consumption habits. As an indicator of the importance we attach to sustainability, we provide additional discounts to our customers to encourage the sale of A products in our product portfolio. In*

addition, in collaboration with Sabancı Holding, we have defined our criteria to meet industry expectations. We aim to promote sustainable consumption habits by marketing products with minimized environmental and social impacts.

[Add row]

### **(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.**

#### **Climate change**

##### **(3.6.2.1) Financial metric**

Select from:

OPEX

##### **(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)**

11882056

##### **(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue**

Select from:

1-10%

##### **(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures**

In 2023, thanks to our energy efficiency projects, we saved 11882056 TL. Our energy expenditure was 127143000 TL. We calculated the rate as 9%.  $(11882056 \text{ TL} / 127143000 \text{ TL}) * 100$

#### **Climate change**

##### **(3.6.2.1) Financial metric**

Select from:

Revenue

### (3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

4064000000

### (3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:

1-10%

### (3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

*According to our assumptions, if the revenue increase in our environmentally sensitive products and services between 2022-2023 is experienced at the same rate for the following year, environmentally sensitive products and services will generate 4064000000 TL revenue. Our total sales revenue this year is 47321591000 TL. We calculated the rate as 9%.  $(4064000000 \text{ TL} / 47321591000 \text{ TL}) * 100$*

[Add row]

## C4. Governance

### (4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

#### (4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

Yes

#### (4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

More frequently than quarterly

#### (4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

Non-executive directors or equivalent

Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

#### (4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

No

[Fixed row]

### (4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

#### Climate change

##### (4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

## Water

### (4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

## Biodiversity

### (4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

No, but we plan to within the next two years

### (4.1.1.2) Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

### (4.1.1.3) Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue

*In 2023, we conducted a materiality study by including our stakeholder groups such as senior management, employees, customers, Sabancı Holding and group companies, İklimsa authorized service centers and dealers, investors and shareholders, universities, public and accreditation bodies, non-governmental organizations and associations, suppliers and media. As a result of this study, to which we received responses from a total of 689 stakeholders, we identified our material issues, but biodiversity was not among the issues prioritized by our stakeholders.*

*[Fixed row]*

**(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.**

## Climate change



#### (4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Board-level committee

#### (4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- Yes

#### (4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Sustainability Committee Procedure

#### (4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

#### (4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Reviewing and guiding annual budgets
- Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives
- Overseeing and guiding scenario analysis
- Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures
- Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy
- Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- Monitoring the implementation of a climate transition plan
- Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy
- Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments
- Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a climate transition plan

#### (4.1.2.7) Please explain

*Within the framework of our efforts to integrate our sustainability management strategies into our business processes, the Sustainability Committee was established by the Board of Directors in 2023 to determine our company's sustainability strategy in the areas of Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG), to establish and execute policies, targets and implementation plans in the field of sustainability, to fulfill the duties of monitoring, auditing, reviewing and developing, and to support the Board of Directors with reports, research, etc. when necessary. The Sustainability Committee reports directly to the Board of Directors. These are among the duties and responsibilities of the committee. Ensuring the integration of the sustainability concept into all business processes of the organization and the engagement of all employees in sustainability activities. Encouraging the development of sustainable products, services, and business opportunities, and promoting the creation of economic, environmental, and social value, particularly within the retail sector, to enhance awareness of sustainability throughout the business world. Ensuring the design of the corporate sustainability strategy, monitoring the process and implementation, following up on audits, and reporting to the Board of Directors when necessary. Establishing the company's sustainability performance indicators and goals and providing support in terms of necessary resources to achieve these goals. Ensuring the identification, monitoring, documentation, and reporting of the company's areas of impact, challenges, risks, and opportunities related to ESG. Facilitating the implementation of projects aimed at reducing carbon emissions and supporting the transition to a low-carbon and circular economy as part of efforts to address the climate crisis. Contributing to the development of ESG standards within the company. Assisting the Board of Directors in making decisions regarding governance and oversight responsibilities related to sustainability. Managing, monitoring, auditing, regularly reviewing, and submitting policies, objectives, practices, working principles, and management systems related to sustainability to the Board of Directors for approval, while also ensuring compliance with legal regulations. In addition, the Sustainability Leadership Committee within Sabancı Holding monitors the progress of our goals and actions in our Group's sustainability roadmap. It carries out efforts to manage risks that may adversely affect the Holding's reputation and operations in the field of sustainability. The Sustainability Leadership Committee monitors international developments and legal regulations on sustainability and makes recommendations to the Thematic Task Forces within its body when necessary. As Teknosa, we participated in a total of 13 Thematic Task Force meetings in 2023.*

## Water

### (4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Board-level committee

### (4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- Yes

### (4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Sustainability Committee Procedure

### (4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

#### (4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Reviewing and guiding annual budgets
- Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives
- Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy
- Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments

#### (4.1.2.7) Please explain

*Within the framework of our efforts to integrate our sustainability management strategies into our business processes, the Sustainability Committee was established by the Board of Directors in 2023 to determine our company's sustainability strategy in the areas of Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG), to establish and execute policies, targets and implementation plans in the field of sustainability, to fulfill the duties of monitoring, auditing, reviewing and developing, and to support the Board of Directors with reports, research, etc. when necessary. The Sustainability Committee reports directly to the Board of Directors. These are among the duties and responsibilities of the committee. Ensuring the integration of the sustainability concept into all business processes of the organization and the engagement of all employees in sustainability activities. Encouraging the development of sustainable products, services, and business opportunities, and promoting the creation of economic, environmental, and social value, particularly within the retail sector, to enhance awareness of sustainability throughout the business world. Ensuring the design of the corporate sustainability strategy, monitoring the process and implementation, following up on audits, and reporting to the Board of Directors when necessary. Establishing the company's sustainability performance indicators and goals and providing support in terms of necessary resources to achieve these goals. Contributing to the development of ESG standards within the company. Assisting the Board of Directors in making decisions regarding governance and oversight responsibilities related to sustainability. Managing, monitoring, auditing, regularly reviewing, and submitting policies, objectives, practices, working principles, and management systems related to sustainability to the Board of Directors for approval, while also ensuring compliance with legal regulations. Although it is not among our priorities, we have started working on water-related issues. We are currently monitoring our water consumption performance with data. In the coming periods, we plan to improve our performance by detailing our work in this area.*

[Fixed row]

#### (4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

##### Climate change

#### (4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

#### (4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

- Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group
- Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues
- Integrating knowledge of environmental issues into board nominating process

### Water

#### (4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

#### (4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

- Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues
- Regular training for directors on environmental issues, industry best practice, and standards (e.g., TCFD, SBTi)
- Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

#### (4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

##### Experience

- Executive-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues
- Active member of an environmental committee or organization

[Fixed row]

#### (4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

## Climate change

### (4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

## Water

### (4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

## Biodiversity

### (4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

No, but we plan to within the next two years

### (4.3.2) Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

### (4.3.3) Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues

*In 2023, we conducted a materiality study by including our stakeholder groups such as senior management, employees, customers, Sabancı Holding and group companies, İklimsa authorized service centers and dealers, investors and shareholders, universities, public and accreditation bodies, non-governmental organizations and associations, suppliers and media. As a result of this study, to which we received responses from a total of 689 stakeholders, we identified our material issues, but biodiversity was not among the issues prioritized by our stakeholders.*

*[Fixed row]*

### **(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).**

#### **Climate change**

##### **(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility**

###### **Executive level**

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

##### **(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position**

###### **Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities**

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

###### **Engagement**

- Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

###### **Policies, commitments, and targets**

- Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Setting corporate environmental targets

###### **Strategy and financial planning**

- Developing a climate transition plan
- Implementing a climate transition plan environmental issues
- Conducting environmental scenario analysis
- Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to

## Other

- Providing employee incentives related to environmental performance

### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the board directly

### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- Half-yearly

### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*At Teknosa, environmental responsibility is embedded at the highest levels of management. The Sustainability Committee, chaired by the CEO, holds ultimate accountability for driving the company's sustainability strategy. This committee is entrusted with leading, overseeing, and continuously improving all sustainability initiatives, ensuring alignment with both corporate goals and external environmental commitments. The CEO, as the most senior leader and spokesperson for sustainability, provides strategic direction and is directly accountable to the Board of Directors. The Sustainability Committee convenes at least twice annually, requiring a quorum of two-thirds of its members for decisions to be valid. Decisions are made by a simple majority, with the Chair holding the deciding vote in the event of a tie. The Committee's wide-ranging responsibilities encompass the integration of sustainability across all business operations, with a particular focus on reducing carbon emissions and promoting energy efficiency and renewable energy projects to combat climate change. Key duties include setting measurable sustainability targets, auditing and refining strategies, developing ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) standards, and conducting impact analyses to assess progress. Furthermore, the Committee ensures that compliance with environmental legislation is fully integrated into company policies and that all employees are actively engaged in sustainability efforts. The CEO, as chair, leads these efforts by facilitating the Committee's meetings, ensuring effective communication between the Board of Directors and the relevant corporate functions, and coordinating the implementation of strategic decisions. Additionally, the CEO can call upon external experts, consultants, or employees to provide technical guidance as needed, ensuring that the Board is kept informed of all developments in sustainability performance.*

## Water

### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

## Executive level

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

#### Engagement

- Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

#### Policies, commitments, and targets

- Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Setting corporate environmental targets

#### Strategy and financial planning

- Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

#### Other

- Providing employee incentives related to environmental performance

### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the board directly

### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- Half-yearly



#### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*At Teknosa, the Sustainability Committee has critical duties such as conducting, monitoring, auditing, reviewing and continuously improving sustainability efforts, and plays an active role in decision-making processes by providing technical support to the Board of Directors when necessary. CEO, who is the chairman of the Sustainability Committee, is the most authorized person and spokesperson for the management of sustainability issues. The responsibilities of the Sustainability Committee include integrating the concept of sustainability within the company and ensuring employee participation, systematically designing and auditing sustainability strategies and practices, setting targets and monitoring performance, developing ESG standards and conducting impact analysis, monitoring legal requirements related to sustainability and integrating them into company policies. The responsibilities of the CEO as the committee chairman are to manage the committee meetings, manage the data flow between the Board of Directors and the corporate committees, provide the necessary guidance for the implementation of the decisions taken at the meetings, request the participation and/or support of employees, consultants or other experts in the meetings when deemed necessary, and report the meeting decisions to the Board of Directors. Although it is not among our priorities, we have started to work on water-related issues. We are currently monitoring our water consumption performance with data. In the coming periods, we plan to improve our performance by detailing our work in this area.*

### Climate change

#### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

##### Committee

- Sustainability committee

#### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

##### Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

##### Engagement

- Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

##### Policies, commitments, and targets

- Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Setting corporate environmental targets

### Strategy and financial planning

- Developing a climate transition plan
- Implementing a climate transition plan environmental issues
- Conducting environmental scenario analysis
- Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to

### Other

- Providing employee incentives related to environmental performance

### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the board directly

### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- Half-yearly

### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*At Teknosa, the Sustainability Committee has critical duties such as conducting, monitoring, auditing, reviewing and continuously improving sustainability efforts, and plays an active role in decision-making processes by providing technical support to the Board of Directors when necessary. The responsibilities of the Sustainability Committee include integrating the concept of sustainability within the company and ensuring employee participation, promoting sustainable products and services, systematically designing and auditing sustainability strategies and practices, setting targets and monitoring performance, developing ESG standards and conducting impact analysis, promoting energy efficiency and renewable energy projects to reduce carbon emissions and combat climate change, monitoring legal requirements related to sustainability and integrating them into company policies. In addition to the CEO, the Sustainability Committee includes Sustainability Manager/Expert, Sustainability and Occupational Safety Manager, Human Resources and Sustainability AGM, Finance AGM, Category Management and Supply Chain AGM, Retail Sales AGM, Digital Trade and Marketing AGM, İklimsa Business Unit AGM and Technology AGMs. If necessary, Corporate Communications; Strategy, Innovation and Entrepreneurship; Construction and Design; Legal; Finance and Investor Relations; etc. can participate in the committee by invitation. The Sustainability Committee is supported by 3 main Sustainability Working Groups: the Climate Crisis Response Working Group, the Sustainable Strategic Business Models Working Group and the Social Value Creation Working Group. These working groups design programs and projects for the implementation of ESG initiatives and carry out*

their activities with an agile working logic, and their outputs are reported to the Sustainability Committee. The Sustainability Committee and working groups are coordinated by the Sustainability and Occupational Safety Department.

[Add row]

## **(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?**

### **Climate change**

#### **(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue**

Select from:

Yes

#### **(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue**

50

#### **(4.5.3) Please explain**

At Teknosa, sustainability-related targets are included in the remuneration of Group Presidents and CEO at a rate of 10% and 15%, respectively. In addition, Category Management and Supply Chain AGM, Retail Sales AGM and İklimsa Business Unit AGMs have KPIs. These KPIs affect the year-end performance as well as the annual bonus amount. These KPIs include increase in the number of sustainable products and services (%), scope 1-2 emission reduction, sustainable product and service turnover increase (%) and renewable electricity supply (%) I-REC.

### **Water**

#### **(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue**

Select from:

No, but we plan to introduce them in the next two years

#### **(4.5.3) Please explain**

*In 2023, we conducted a materiality study by including our stakeholder groups such as senior management, employees, customers, Sabancı Holding and group companies, İklimsa authorized service centers and dealers, investors and shareholders, universities, public and accreditation bodies, non-governmental organizations and associations, suppliers and the media. As a result of this study, to which we received responses from a total of 689 stakeholders, we identified our material issues, but water-related issues were not among the issues prioritized by our stakeholders. Despite not being among our priorities, we have started our work on water. In the coming periods, we plan to increase our incentives to improve our performance in this area.*

*[Fixed row]*

## **(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).**

### **Climate change**

#### **(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive**

##### **Board or executive level**

Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

#### **(4.5.1.2) Incentives**

*Select all that apply*

Bonus - % of salary

#### **(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics**

##### **Targets**

Reduction in absolute emissions in line with net-zero target

##### **Strategy and financial planning**

Increased proportion of revenue from low environmental impact products or services

##### **Emission reduction**

Reduction in absolute emissions

#### (4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

#### (4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

*The CEO's sustainability and climate-related KPIs for 2023 were increase in the number of sustainable products and services (%) and Scope 12 emission reductions. While the target for the increase in the number of sustainable products and services was 180 units with 6% for 2023, this KPI was realized as 207 units with 17% and the target was achieved. For 2024, this target is 217 units with 5%. While the Scope 1 2 emission reduction target was 4.7% for 2023, this KPI was realized as 7.05% and the target was achieved. For 2024, this target was set at 4.20%.*

#### (4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

*In our roadmap to reach our net zero emission target by 2050, these KPIs and targets related to sustainability and climate change play an important role in the smooth progress of our company's climate change strategy. In addition, KPIs for reducing emissions and increasing sustainable products and services at the management level support the sustainability of Teknosa's actions to achieve its long-term goals.*

### Climate change

#### (4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

**Board or executive level**

- Other C-Suite Officer, please specify :Category Management and Supply Chain Assistant General Manager

#### (4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Bonus - % of salary

#### (4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

## Strategy and financial planning

- Increased proportion of revenue from low environmental impact products or services

### (4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

### (4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

*The Category Management and Supply Chain AGM's sustainability and climate-related KPIs for 2023 were increase in the number of sustainable products and services (%) and increase in the revenue from sustainable products and services (%). While the target for the increase in the number of sustainable products and services was 156 units with 6% for 2023, this KPI was realized as 182 units with 23% and the target was achieved. For 2024, this target is 191 units with 5%. While the target for the increase in the revenue from sustainable products and services was %25 for 2023, this KPI was realized as %188 and the target was achieved. For 2024, this target is %55.*

### (4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

*In our roadmap to reach our net zero emission target by 2050, these KPIs and targets related to sustainability and climate change play an important role in the smooth progress of our company's climate change strategy. In addition, KPIs for increasing the number and revenue from sustainable products and services at the management level support the sustainability of Teknosa's actions to achieve its long-term goals.*

## Climate change

### (4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

#### Board or executive level

- Other C-Suite Officer, please specify :Retail Sales Assistant General Manager

### (4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Bonus - % of salary

### (4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

#### Emission reduction

- Increased share of renewable energy in total energy consumption

### (4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

### (4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The Retail Sales AGM's sustainability and climate-related KPI for 2023 were increase the amount of renewable electricity energy procurement I-REC. While the target for the increase the amount of renewable electricity energy procurement I-REC was %41.5 for 2023, this KPI realized as %43.28 and the target was achieved. For 2024, this target is %43.5.

### (4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

In our roadmap to reach our net zero emission target by 2050, these KPIs and targets related to sustainability and climate change play an important role in the smooth progress of our company's climate change strategy. In addition, KPIs to reduce emissions at the management level support the sustainability of Teknosa's actions to achieve its long-term goals.

## Climate change

### (4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

#### Board or executive level

- Other C-Suite Officer, please specify :iklimsa Business Unit Assistant General Manager

### (4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Bonus - % of salary

### (4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

#### Strategy and financial planning

- Increased proportion of revenue from low environmental impact products or services

### (4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

### (4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

*The CEO's sustainability and climate-related KPIs for 2023 were increase in the number of sustainable products and services (%). While the target for the increase in the number of sustainable products and services was 20 units with 18% for 2023, this KPI was realized as 25 units with 47.1% and the target was achieved. For 2024, this target is 30 units with 18%.*

### (4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

*In our roadmap to reach our net zero emission target by 2050, these KPIs and targets related to sustainability and climate change play an important role in the smooth progress of our company's climate change strategy. In addition, KPIs for increasing the number and revenue from sustainable products and services at the management level support the sustainability of Teknosa's actions to achieve its long-term goals.*

*[Add row]*

### (4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?



	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

### (4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

#### Row 1

#### (4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

*Select all that apply*

- Climate change
- Water
- Biodiversity

#### (4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

*Select from:*

- Organization-wide

#### (4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

*Select all that apply*

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain
- Downstream value chain

#### (4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

*While we previously took our environmental policy as a guide in environmental issues, we have combined our environmental, OHS and quality policies under the Integrated Management System policy, as of this year. The scope and objectives of our Integrated Management Systems Policy include assessing the environmental impact of all our activities, developing strategies and targets for transition to low carbon economy, minimizing our environmental footprint, improving our environmental performance at every stage of our value chain, protecting the environment and reducing our environmental impact in all our activities while meeting the needs of our customers with our various sales channels, wide product range and service options. Reaching 100% renewable energy consumption in 2045 and to become net-zero in 2050, are among the targets we have set in line with our policy.*

#### (4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

##### Environmental commitments

- Commitment to a circular economy strategy
- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues
- Other environmental commitment, please specify :To carry out projects, audits and trainings that will improve the environmental performance of our suppliers.

##### Climate-specific commitments

- Commitment to 100% renewable energy
- Commitment to net-zero emissions

##### Water-specific commitments

- Other water-related commitment, please specify :Maximizing water efficiency

#### (4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

*Select all that apply*

- No, but we plan to align in the next two years

#### (4.6.1.7) Public availability

*Select from:*

- Publicly available

#### (4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

PO-SY-001\_0 INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS POLICY.pdf

[Add row]

#### (4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

##### (4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

Yes

##### (4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

- Science-Based Targets Initiative (SBTi)
- Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)
- UN Global Compact
- World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD)
- Other, please specify :TÜSİAD

##### (4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

*Teknosa is committed to aligning its sustainability goals with globally recognized environmental standards and accelerating its transition to a sustainable business model by being a member and signatory of various environmental collaborative frameworks and initiatives. In this context, by signing the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi), we have pledged to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions in line with scientific targets that are consistent with global climate goals. Our commitment to SBTi demonstrates that we are taking concrete steps toward our carbon neutrality goal and developing long-term environmental strategies. Additionally, by adopting the guidance of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD), we are integrating climate-related risks into our financial planning processes. Our collaboration with TCFD reinforces our responsibility to transparently report climate-related risks and opportunities to investors and stakeholders. As a signatory of the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC), Teknosa is committed to incorporating the sustainability principles set forth by the United Nations into our business processes. The steps we take to fulfill our environmental, social, and governance (ESG) responsibilities are closely aligned with the ten principles of the UNGC. Finally, as a member of the World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD), we are positioned among the leading companies driving the sustainability transition and contributing to the dissemination of best practices across industries. Our partnership with WBCSD supports the promotion of environmental innovation and sustainable business models throughout our sector. In addition to these global initiatives, Teknosa actively participates in the Environmental and Climate Change Working Group of TÜSİAD (Turkish Industry and Business Association), where leading companies come together to discuss the*

country's environmental policies. Through TÜSİAD, we collaborate with other businesses to provide recommendations on regulations and policies, which are shared with the government to influence national environmental strategies. This involvement ensures that our sustainability efforts are aligned not only with global frameworks but also with local legislative developments, allowing us to contribute to shaping a more sustainable future in Türkiye. Our commitment to these initiatives sends a clear signal to investors and other stakeholders of Teknosa's determination to transform its business model with a focus on sustainability and to align with global environmental targets.

[Fixed row]

#### **(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?**

##### **(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment**

Select all that apply

Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

##### **(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

No, but we plan to have one in the next two years

##### **(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register**

Select from:

No

##### **(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan**

*Teknosa's sustainability approach is not limited to its own operations, but also includes various collaborations and pioneering steps in the sector in order to add more value to society and its stakeholders while fulfilling its environmental responsibilities. We continued the "Let Waste Battery Turn into Saplings with VARTA & TEMA Foundation!" project to recycle batteries and prevent environmental pollution. We donated 6953 saplings in cooperation with the Aegean Forest Foundation on behalf*

of our customers who bring e-waste to our stores. In order to contribute to the spread of sustainable energy solutions, we collaborated with Akbank, Garanti and Vakıf Katılım banks to provide financing support to our customers. More than 200 electronic products are rented on teknosa.com or from certain stores in cooperation with Kiralabunu, encouraging the reuse of products and reducing the amount of electronic waste. The rental model creates a sustainable cycle by enabling products to last longer. Taking another step to protect our future, we joined Yapı Kredi Sustainable Preference Program Step. Sustainable shopping preferences at Teknosa can be converted into Step points and Step points can be donated to non-governmental organizations.

[Fixed row]

## **(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.**

### **Row 1**

#### **(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement**

Select from:

Indirect engagement via other intermediary organization or individual

#### **(4.11.2.2) Type of organization or individual**

Select from:

Non-Governmental Organization (NGO) or charitable organization

#### **(4.11.2.3) State the organization or position of individual**

*In the reporting year, Teknosa indirectly influenced environmental policies through its collaboration with TÜSİAD's Environment and Climate Change Working Group. The group addresses issues such as climate change, sustainable finance, and energy efficiency. By participating in the United Nations Climate Change Conference (COP), the group conveys the Turkish business community's stance on climate action to international stakeholders, indirectly shaping environmental regulations.*

#### **(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position**

Select all that apply

Climate change

**(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with**

Select from:

Consistent

**(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year**

Select from:

No, we did not attempt to influence their position

**(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*Teknosa takes a role in the environment and climate change working group of TÜSİAD. Within the scope of these working groups, we evaluate many issues such as legal regulations on climate change, regulation proposals for climate change, sector-specific best practice examples. All Teknosa sustainability team members are members of TÜSİAD and take part in the environment and climate change working groups. This membership is covered by Sabancı Holding. For this reason, Teknosa does not pay a funding.*

**(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

0

**(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

**(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

[Add row]

## **(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?**

Select from:

Yes

### **(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.**

#### **Row 1**

##### **(4.12.1.1) Publication**

Select from:

In mainstream reports, in line with environmental disclosure standards or frameworks

##### **(4.12.1.2) Standard or framework the report is in line with**

Select all that apply

GRI

TCFD

Other, please specify :Value Reporting Foundation - VRF Integrated Reporting Framework

##### **(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication**

Select all that apply

Climate change

Water

##### **(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication**

Select from:

Complete

#### (4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

Strategy

Governance

Emission targets

Emissions figures

Risks & Opportunities

Value chain engagement

Water accounting figures

Content of environmental policies

#### (4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

*Content of Environmental Policy: page 46 Governance: page 19-22 Risks & Opportunities: page 100-107 Strategy: page 38-41 Value Chain Engagement: 91 Emission figures: page 48-49 Emission targets: page 38, 47*

#### (4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

*Teknosa IR 2023\_EN\_01.10.24.pdf*

#### (4.12.1.8) Comment

*As Teknosa, we have been transparently sharing our sustainability performance in economic, social, and environmental issues and the value we create with our activities every year since 2012 with our stakeholders through our reports. This year, we published an Integrated Report for the first time.*

*[Add row]*



## C5. Business strategy

### (5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

#### Climate change

##### (5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

Yes

##### (5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

Annually

#### Water

##### (5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

No, but we plan to within the next two years

##### (5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

##### (5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

*In 2023, we conducted a materiality study by including our stakeholder groups such as senior management, employees, customers, Sabancı Holding and group companies, İklimsa authorized service centers and dealers, investors and shareholders, universities, public and accreditation bodies, non-governmental organizations and associations, suppliers and the media. As a result of this study, to which we received responses from a total of 689 stakeholders, we identified our material*

issues, but water-related issues were not among the issues prioritized by our stakeholders. Despite not being among our priorities, we have started our work on water. In the coming periods, we plan to increase our activities and efforts to improve our performance in this area.  
[Fixed row]

## **(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis.**

### **Climate change**

#### **(5.1.1.1) Scenario used**

##### **Climate transition scenarios**

- IEA NZE 2050

#### **(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario**

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

#### **(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage**

Select from:

- Organization-wide

#### **(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario**

Select all that apply

- Policy
- Market
- Liability
- Reputation
- Technology
- Acute physical
- Chronic physical

### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 1.5°C or lower

### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2020

### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2030
- 2050

### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

#### Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- Changes to the state of nature
- Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)
- Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

#### Finance and insurance

- Cost of capital
- Other finance and insurance driving forces, please specify :Increase of costs

#### Stakeholder and customer demands

- Consumer sentiment
- Consumer attention to impact
- Impact of nature footprint on reputation

#### Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- Global regulation
- Political impact of science (from galvanizing to paralyzing)

- ☑ Level of action (from local to global)
- ☑ Global targets
- ☑ Methodologies and expectations for science-based targets

#### **Direct interaction with climate**

- ☑ Perception of efficacy of climate regime

#### **Macro and microeconomy**

- ☑ Domestic growth
- ☑ Globalizing markets

### **(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario**

*Teknosa recognizes a wide time horizon of 2020-2050 for scenario analysis in line with NZE 2050. Global sources, such as the IPCC Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5C and the IEA's Energy Perspectives are referenced in our climate scenario. Baseline assessments and scenario analyses allow us to evaluate how our current strategies will perform under different climate conditions, while identifying potential risks and opportunities, enabling us to select the most appropriate strategies. Teknosa's net zero strategy projections include 5 main groups: supplier engagement, procurement policy and selection, customer engagement, product/service design and business model. Within these main categories, we plan to take the following strategic steps to reduce our carbon footprint. Supplier Engagement: Encouraging and supporting suppliers to set their own emission reduction targets Procurement Policy and Selection: To prefer suppliers with a lower carbon footprint in order to reduce the environmental impact of purchased goods and services. Reducing carbon footprint by adopting low-carbon alternatives in our product and service supply process Customer Engagement: Providing information to consumers by using labels that indicate the environmental impact and sustainability features of products. Raising customer awareness on sustainability issues and promoting environmentally friendly products through in-store communication Product/Service Design: Designing our products with energy efficiency and lifecycle emission savings in mind. Integrating circular economy principles into our product designs to extend product life and reduce resource use Business Model: Implement improvement and performance monitoring mechanisms to achieve a company-wide net zero strategy. The mitigation potentials considered by Teknosa are based on the following assumptions; - 99% of Teknosa Scope 3 emission profile comes from suppliers/customers, - The potential is based on the ability of suppliers to reduce emissions from production and product use, - Decarbonization approach of suppliers in the value chain that will result in emission reductions realized by Teknosa, - The extent to which these benefits are transferred depends on the relative emission impacts of specific products purchased by Teknosa, etc.*

### **(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario**

*Teknosa recognizes a wide time horizon of 2020-2050 for scenario analysis in line with NZE 2050. Global sources, such as the IPCC Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5C and the IEA's Energy Perspectives are referenced in our climate scenario. Teknosa prioritizes developing a climate scenario analysis stemming from several factors such as climate-related risks for our business, reputation and stakeholder engagement, and business resilience and competitiveness, etc. Some of focal questions that we searched through our climate transition scenario analysis are listed below; - What is Teknosa's ambition for decarbonization? - Which lever are suitable for Teknosa in terms of financial requirements, emission reduction impact, operational impact, feasibility and positive reputation impact criteria to reach*

the 2050 net-zero target? -What is the motivation of Teknosa for developing a climate scenario analysis for a transition to lower-carbon industry? -What energy efficiency measures can be implemented to reduce energy consumption and minimize carbon emissions and how can Teknosa integrate them in its operations? -Are there opportunities for on-site renewable energy generation, such as solar panels or wind turbines? -How can Teknosa collaborate with customers, communities, and other stakeholders to drive collective climate action both in the short and the long term? Baseline assessments and scenario analyses allow us to evaluate how our current strategies will perform under different climate conditions, while identifying potential risks and opportunities, enabling us to select the most appropriate strategies. In this way, we are both prepared for the uncertainties brought about by climate change and achieve long-term success by turning these changes into opportunities. When selecting risks, we take into account sectoral risks, company-specific operations and geographies of operation. As a result of the scenario analysis study, we define the risks we have identified, evaluate the impact of these risks on our value chain, and determine our strategy to reduce this impact.

## Climate change

### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

#### Physical climate scenarios

- RCP 2.6

### (5.1.1.2) Scenario used    SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

- SSP1

### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- Policy
- Acute physical

- Market
- Liability
- Reputation
- Technology

- Chronic physical

### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 1.6°C - 1.9°C

### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2023

### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2030
- 2050

### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

#### Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- Changes to the state of nature
- Number of ecosystems impacted
- Changes in ecosystem services provision
- Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)
- Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

#### Finance and insurance

- Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

### **Stakeholder and customer demands**

- ✓ Consumer sentiment
- ✓ Consumer attention to impact
- ✓ Impact of nature footprint on reputation
- ✓ Impact of nature service delivery on consumer
- ✓ Sensitivity to inequity of nature impacts

### **Regulators, legal and policy regimes**

- ✓ Global regulation
- ✓ Political impact of science (from galvanizing to paralyzing)
- ✓ Level of action (from local to global)
- ✓ Global targets
- ✓ Methodologies and expectations for science-based targets

### **Relevant technology and science**

- ✓ Granularity of available data (from aggregated to local)
- ✓ Data regime (from closed to open)

### **Direct interaction with climate**

- ✓ On asset values, on the corporate
- ✓ Perception of efficacy of climate regime

### **Macro and microeconomy**

- ✓ Domestic growth
- ✓ Globalizing markets

## **(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario**

*Assumptions: Global efforts to limit warming to below 2°C are successful, with significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions. Rapid decarbonization of the energy sector and widespread adoption of renewable energy technologies. Governments implement aggressive climate policies and frameworks to accelerate the transition to a low-carbon economy. Uncertainties: Effectiveness of global coordination on climate policy. Pace at which renewable technologies can scale to meet energy demands. Public and private sector commitment to maintaining stringent climate targets. Constraints: High initial capital costs for renewable infrastructure.*

Potential social and economic disruptions during the transition phase. Availability of technological solutions to mitigate emissions in hard-to-abate sectors like aviation and heavy industry.

### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

RCP 2.6 aligns with global targets outlined in the Paris Agreement and represents the most optimistic pathway to limiting climate change. It provides an ideal scenario to plan for in terms of sustainable growth and climate resilience, offering insights into how our organization can prepare for a future where strict climate policies and renewable energy are central.

## Climate change

### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

#### Physical climate scenarios

RCP 4.5

### (5.1.1.2) Scenario used    SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

SSP1

### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Qualitative and quantitative

### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply



- Policy
- Market
- Liability
- Reputation
- Technology

- Acute physical
- Chronic physical

### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 1.6°C - 1.9°C

### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2023

### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2030
- 2050

### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

#### Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- Changes to the state of nature
- Number of ecosystems impacted
- Changes in ecosystem services provision
- Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

#### Stakeholder and customer demands

- Consumer sentiment
- Consumer attention to impact
- Impact of nature footprint on reputation

- Impact of nature service delivery on consumer
- Sensitivity to inequity of nature impacts

### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*Assumptions: Moderate reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, with some success in limiting global temperature rise. Continued development and deployment of renewable energy technologies, though at a slower pace compared to the RCP 2.6 scenario. Some policy measures in place to control emissions, but varying degrees of implementation across regions. Uncertainties: The extent to which regional differences in climate policy will impact global emissions reduction efforts. Technological advancements that may accelerate or hinder the shift away from fossil fuels. Consumer and business adaptation to medium-stringency climate policies. Constraints: Inconsistent policy implementation across countries and industries. Limited financial resources allocated to sustainable development in some regions. Varying levels of public and private sector support for ambitious climate action.*

### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*RCP 4.5 is chosen as a plausible middle-ground scenario that reflects moderate climate action, providing a more balanced risk profile. It enables our organization to consider both the opportunities and risks associated with partial decarbonization while preparing for a future where not all regions or sectors achieve rapid emissions reductions.*

## Climate change

### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

#### Physical climate scenarios

- RCP 6.0

### (5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

- No SSP used

### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

#### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

#### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- Policy
- Market
- Liability
- Reputation
- Technology
- Acute physical
- Chronic physical

#### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 3.5°C - 3.9°C

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2023

#### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2030
- 2050

#### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

**Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts**

- Changes to the state of nature
- Number of ecosystems impacted

- ☑ Changes in ecosystem services provision
- ☑ Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)
- ☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

#### **Finance and insurance**

- ☑ Cost of capital
- ☑ Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

#### **Stakeholder and customer demands**

- ☑ Consumer sentiment
- ☑ Consumer attention to impact
- ☑ Impact of nature footprint on reputation
- ☑ Impact of nature service delivery on consumer
- ☑ Sensitivity to inequity of nature impacts

#### **Regulators, legal and policy regimes**

- ☑ Global regulation
- ☑ Political impact of science (from galvanizing to paralyzing)
- ☑ Level of action (from local to global)
- ☑ Global targets
- ☑ Methodologies and expectations for science-based targets

#### **Relevant technology and science**

- ☑ Granularity of available data (from aggregated to local)
- ☑ Data regime (from closed to open)

#### **Direct interaction with climate**

- ☑ On asset values, on the corporate
- ☑ Perception of efficacy of climate regime

#### **Macro and microeconomy**

- ☑ Domestic growth

- Globalizing markets

#### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*Assumptions: Continued reliance on fossil fuels, with gradual improvements in energy efficiency and some shift toward renewable energy, though not enough to limit warming significantly. Regional climate policies are uneven, with some regions taking stronger action than others. Moderate global temperature increases leading to more pronounced climate impacts. Uncertainties: The likelihood of disruptive climate events and their impact on business operations. Shifts in consumer demand due to growing awareness of climate change, which may affect markets and reputation. Potential for technological breakthroughs that could mitigate the effects of continued fossil fuel use. Constraints: Limited incentives for businesses to invest in clean technologies. Resistance from key stakeholders, including industries heavily reliant on fossil fuels. Political and economic instability stemming from uneven policy implementation.*

#### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*RCP 6.0 represents a scenario where global emissions reductions efforts are insufficient to prevent more severe climate impacts. It allows our organization to plan for a future where chronic and acute climate risks become more pronounced, informing resilience strategies and long-term adaptation planning.*

### Climate change

#### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

##### Physical climate scenarios

- RCP 8.5

#### (5.1.1.2) Scenario used    SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

- No SSP used

#### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

#### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- Policy
- Market
- Liability
- Reputation
- Technology
- Acute physical
- Chronic physical

### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 3.5°C - 3.9°C

### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2023

### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2030
- 2050

### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

#### Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- Changes to the state of nature
- Number of ecosystems impacted
- Changes in ecosystem services provision
- Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)

- ☑ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

### **Finance and insurance**

- ☑ Cost of capital
- ☑ Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

### **Stakeholder and customer demands**

- ☑ Consumer sentiment
- ☑ Consumer attention to impact
- ☑ Impact of nature footprint on reputation
- ☑ Impact of nature service delivery on consumer
- ☑ Sensitivity to inequity of nature impacts

### **Regulators, legal and policy regimes**

- ☑ Global regulation
- ☑ Political impact of science (from galvanizing to paralyzing)
- ☑ Level of action (from local to global)
- ☑ Global targets
- ☑ Methodologies and expectations for science-based targets

### **Relevant technology and science**

- ☑ Granularity of available data (from aggregated to local)
- ☑ Data regime (from closed to open)

### **Direct interaction with climate**

- ☑ On asset values, on the corporate
- ☑ Perception of efficacy of climate regime

### **Macro and microeconomy**

- ☑ Domestic growth
- ☑ Globalizing markets

### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*Assumptions: High greenhouse gas emissions continue with minimal global coordination or effort to limit climate change. Dependence on fossil fuels persists across sectors, and renewable energy adoption remains slow. Significant global temperature increases lead to severe and widespread climate impacts. Uncertainties: The magnitude of physical climate risks, including extreme weather events, sea-level rise, and temperature variability. The potential for abrupt changes in ecosystems and biodiversity due to accelerated climate change. Impacts on global supply chains, financial markets, and consumer behavior under extreme climate stress. Constraints: Severe disruptions to economic activities, particularly in climate-vulnerable regions. Escalating costs of adaptation and mitigation measures. Reduced access to capital for businesses perceived as high-risk due to climate exposure.*

### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*RCP 8.5 represents a worst-case scenario, providing valuable insights into the potential magnitude of risks our organization might face if climate action remains insufficient. This scenario helps guide the development of robust risk management strategies, particularly for critical assets and operations exposed to extreme climate impacts.*

## Climate change

### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

#### Climate transition scenarios

- IEA STEPS (previously IEA NPS)

### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply



- Policy
- Market
- Reputation
- Technology
- Liability

#### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 3.5°C - 3.9°C

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2023

#### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2030
- 2050

#### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

##### Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- Changes to the state of nature
- Number of ecosystems impacted
- Changes in ecosystem services provision
- Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)
- Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

##### Finance and insurance

- Cost of capital
- Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

### **Stakeholder and customer demands**

- ✓ Consumer sentiment
- ✓ Consumer attention to impact
- ✓ Impact of nature footprint on reputation
- ✓ Impact of nature service delivery on consumer
- ✓ Sensitivity to inequity of nature impacts

### **Regulators, legal and policy regimes**

- ✓ Global regulation
- ✓ Political impact of science (from galvanizing to paralyzing)
- ✓ Level of action (from local to global)
- ✓ Global targets
- ✓ Methodologies and expectations for science-based targets

### **Relevant technology and science**

- ✓ Granularity of available data (from aggregated to local)
- ✓ Data regime (from closed to open)

### **Direct interaction with climate**

- ✓ On asset values, on the corporate
- ✓ Perception of efficacy of climate regime

### **Macro and microeconomy**

- ✓ Domestic growth
- ✓ Globalizing markets

## **(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario**

*Assumptions: Incremental steps are taken globally to reduce emissions, with varying levels of ambition and implementation across countries. Technological advancements contribute to emissions reductions but fall short of meeting more aggressive climate goals. There is a focus on improving energy efficiency and scaling up renewable energy, but the reliance on fossil fuels remains significant. Uncertainties: The degree of policy alignment and coordination between governments and industries in transitioning to low-carbon energy sources. The impact of economic fluctuations on investment in clean energy infrastructure. The pace at which*

consumers and industries adapt to new technologies and policies. Constraints: Market volatility due to inconsistent policy and regulatory environments. Limited financial resources for large-scale decarbonization efforts in some regions. Challenges in aligning short-term business goals with long-term climate goals.

### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

The IEA STEPS scenario offers a realistic pathway based on current policies and commitments. It allows our organization to assess the potential impact of moderate climate action and to explore opportunities to align with emerging regulations and market trends, particularly in energy transition and policy adaptation.

[Add row]

## (5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.

### Climate change

#### (5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

- Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management
- Strategy and financial planning
- Resilience of business model and strategy
- Capacity building
- Target setting and transition planning

#### (5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

- Organization-wide

#### (5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

Teknosa recognizes that climate change presents both risks and opportunities that can significantly impact its business operations, supply chain, and long-term resilience. Through comprehensive scenario analysis, we aim to deepen our understanding of how various climate-related risks—ranging from regulatory changes to physical risks—could affect our business model. This analysis is pivotal for aligning our corporate strategy with the realities of a low-carbon future while positioning Teknosa as a leader in climate adaptation and sustainability. Influence on Corporate Strategy and Financial Planning The outcomes of our scenario analysis have directly informed Teknosa's corporate business strategy, shaping both short- and long-term planning. Key insights from our analysis have helped us identify not only

*the risks posed by various climate pathways but also potential opportunities for growth in a transitioning economy. We've integrated these findings into our financial planning, ensuring that our capital investments are aligned with sustainability goals, including a future focus on energy-efficient infrastructure and renewable energy adoption. By preparing for multiple climate futures—ranging from scenarios where global temperatures rise by 1.5°C to those exceeding 4°C—we've enhanced our ability to build a business model that is both adaptive and resilient. This forward-thinking approach has already driven changes in our product development, customer engagement strategies, and supply chain management, making us more resilient to both regulatory and physical climate risks. Key Risks Identified Our analysis identified several climate risks that Teknosa is likely to face in the coming years. These include: Carbon Pricing Mechanisms (Supply Chain): Carbon pricing is expected to lead to higher operational and supplier costs, particularly in scenarios where emissions reduction policies are stringent (e.g., RCP 2.6). Increased Raw Material and Product Costs (Supply Chain): Rising costs for essential materials due to climate-driven resource scarcity, particularly under higher emissions scenarios. Regulations on Existing Products and Services (Supply Chain & Operations): Stricter regulations around product lifecycles and energy efficiency will require adjustments in our product portfolio. Customer Behavior Changes (Sales): Increasing demand for sustainable products and services as consumer preferences shift towards lower-carbon options. Physical Risks: These include operational disruptions from heatwaves, heat stress, fires, and flood risks, particularly in regions with significant supply chain operations. Reputation and Liability Risks (Operations): Increased scrutiny on sustainability practices could elevate the risk of reputational damage or legal liabilities for companies that fail to adapt. Opportunities Uncovered While the scenario analysis highlighted risks, it also identified several opportunities that Teknosa can leverage: Increased Demand for Sustainable Products: As customers prioritize sustainable solutions, Teknosa is positioned to increase sales of energy-efficient products such as air conditioners in response to rising temperatures. Operational Cost Savings: By investing in energy efficiency measures and renewable energy sources, we anticipate reducing operational costs while also shrinking our carbon footprint. Enhanced Customer Satisfaction: Strengthening our ability to respond to climate-related disruptions will allow us to resume operations faster, providing an enhanced level of service that could lead to increased customer loyalty. Proactive Risk Management and Business Resilience Teknosa's proactive approach to identifying and managing climate risks enables us to stay ahead of potential disruptions. Our scenario analysis equips us to make informed strategic decisions that not only safeguard our current operations but also position us to seize new opportunities in a rapidly changing market. By continuously monitoring climate risks, we can ensure our long-term business resilience and adapt our strategies as needed. Commitment to Science-Based Targets (SBTi) As part of our long-term decarbonization strategy, Teknosa is setting emission reduction targets in line with the Science-Based Targets initiative (SBTi). We plan to submit a commitment letter to the SBTi in 2024 and publicly announce our short-term and long-term net zero targets. These targets will serve as the foundation of our future sustainability efforts and guide our investments in energy optimization, renewable energy, and supply chain collaborations. By aligning our business strategy with SBTi's framework, we are demonstrating our commitment to a low-carbon future and enhancing our reputation as a responsible corporate citizen.*

*[Fixed row]*

## **(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?**

### **(5.2.1) Transition plan**

Select from:

Yes, we have a climate transition plan which aligns with a 1.5°C world

### **(5.2.3) Publicly available climate transition plan**

Select from:

Yes

#### **(5.2.4) Plan explicitly commits to cease all spending on, and revenue generation from, activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion**

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to add an explicit commitment within the next two years

#### **(5.2.6) Explain why your organization does not explicitly commit to cease all spending on and revenue generation from activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion**

*Teknosa does not directly operate in the energy sector, and its core business model revolves around technology retail. While the company is focused on reducing fossil fuel consumption and increasing energy efficiency, it is not directly involved in the production or expansion of fossil fuels. Teknosa's primary focus is on transitioning to renewable energy sources and promoting sustainable energy use.*

#### **(5.2.7) Mechanism by which feedback is collected from shareholders on your climate transition plan**

Select from:

We have a different feedback mechanism in place

#### **(5.2.8) Description of feedback mechanism**

*We include the work in the climate transition plan on the sustainability committee agenda and hold senior management approval meetings at project finals.*

#### **(5.2.9) Frequency of feedback collection**

Select from:

More frequently than annually

#### **(5.2.10) Description of key assumptions and dependencies on which the transition plan relies**

*Teknosa's climate transition plan relies on key assumptions regarding energy efficiency, renewable energy adoption, and the reduction of carbon emissions. The plan aims to achieve 100% renewable energy use and a 42% reduction in Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 2030. Its success depends on external factors such as supply chain sustainability, the reliability of renewable energy sources, technological advancements, and government policies.*

### (5.2.11) Description of progress against transition plan disclosed in current or previous reporting period

*In the latest reporting period, Teknosa made significant progress on its climate transition plan by increasing sales of refurbished products and improving energy efficiency. In 2022, the company reduced in-store energy consumption by 3% and reached a refurbished product sales volume of 334 million TL. Additionally, targets for e-waste collection and the use of biodegradable bags were met.*

### (5.2.13) Other environmental issues that your climate transition plan considers

*Select all that apply*

Plastics

### (5.2.14) Explain how the other environmental issues are considered in your climate transition plan

*Teknosa's climate transition plan addresses plastic reduction through effective waste management strategies. The company aims to reduce plastic waste by switching to biodegradable and recyclable bags in its stores. Additionally, awareness campaigns and operational changes are in place to reduce single-use plastics in stores and at headquarters. Through these measures, Teknosa is committed to minimizing its environmental footprint related to plastic use. Teknosa also eliminated single-use plastics at its headquarters.*

*[Fixed row]*

## (5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

### (5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

*Select from:*

Yes, both strategy and financial planning

### (5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

*Select all that apply*

Products and services

Upstream/downstream value chain

Investment in R&D

Operations

*[Fixed row]*

### (5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

#### Products and services

##### (5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

##### (5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- Climate change

##### (5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

*Changes in customer behavior are among the risks and opportunities we identify. Due to the global shift in customer behavior from conventional to low-carbon products, the products and services in our operations are evaluated from a short, medium and long term risk and opportunity perspective. With sustainability becoming more influential in purchasing decisions, the increase in demand for sustainable products has the potential to affect our sales. While not being able to meet customer demands in this area is a risk, the increase in revenue we will obtain from these products by meeting customer demands is an opportunity. Our strategy to manage this risk is to expand our sustainable product portfolio and support circular economy models. Taking into account the increasing demand of consumers for environmentally friendly products, and being aware of the impact of our marketing activities on consumption habits, we continuously expand our product and service portfolio with low-emission and environmentally friendly products. We provide additional discounts to our customers to encourage the sale of A products in our product portfolio. In addition, in collaboration with Sabancı Holding, we have defined our criteria to meet industry expectations. We aim to promote sustainable consumption habits by marketing products with minimized environmental and social impacts. In this context, we increased the number of environmentally sensitive products and services by 22% compared to the previous year to 207 and generated approximately TL 1.6 billion in revenue from environmentally sensitive products and services in 2023. Across all our sales channels, we offer our customers 177 white goods and 25 air conditioners with high energy efficiency. These products contribute to reducing carbon footprint by reducing energy consumption. We encourage responsible consumption by offering our customers discounts of up to TL 350 on A Energy Class White Goods and up to 25% on Screen Protection and TeknoGarantee Services. With TeknoGarantee, we offer up to 3 years of additional warranty for the products purchased by our customers. By extending the lifespan of products, TeknoGaranti reduces the need for new product purchases and thus reduces resource consumption. We also offer repair services for all portable electronic devices such as phones, tablets, laptops and small home appliances, whether purchased from Teknosa or not. By repairing approximately 6,000 products annually, we increase reuse and recycling rates, thus preventing waste generation. We provide solutions for problems encountered in the use of electronic devices through 24/7 telephone technical assistance, remote connection and support methods,*

ensuring more efficient and long-lasting use of the devices. In addition, we sell refurbished products and offer rental services for more than 200 products on teknosa.com, encouraging product reuse and reducing the amount of electronic waste.

## Upstream/downstream value chain

### (5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

Risks

### (5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

Climate change

### (5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Legal regulations such as climate legislation and carbon pricing mechanisms, which are being developed within the scope of combating climate change, stand out as a risk that has the potential to affect our supply chain in terms of raw material cost and product variety. In addition, an increase in raw material costs due to the effects of climate change also has the potential to negatively affect the supply chain. Our decarbonization strategy focuses on supplier engagement and procurement policy and selection. In this context, encouraging and supporting suppliers to set their own emission reduction targets; preferring suppliers with a lower carbon footprint to reduce the environmental impact of purchased goods and services; and reducing the carbon footprint by adopting low-carbon alternatives in our product and service procurement process are among the strategic steps we have identified to increase the resilience of our supply chain in the face of evolving legal regulations and reduce the impact of potential risks. In addition, sustainable raw material procurement and supply chain diversification efforts are also among our strategic steps. We also focus on customer engagement in our decarbonization strategy. In order to reduce our emissions from the use of our products, we focus on designing our products considering energy efficiency and emission savings throughout the life cycle and integrating circular economy principles into our product designs to extend product life and reduce resource use.

## Investment in R&D

### (5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

Risks

### (5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area



Select all that apply

Climate change

### (5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

*At Teknosa, R&D and technology are among our strategic priorities to manage our environmental risks and reduce the potential impact of these risks. We closely follow technological developments in order to reduce our carbon footprint; we are aware that the circular economy will help us achieve our carbon reduction targets, and we attach importance to R&D in order to utilize the potential to make greater use of Circular Design Principles such as durability, repairability, modularity and material composition in products. In 2023, we invested TL 64,898,876 in sustainability-focused R&D and innovation within the framework of our sustainability strategy. While we invested TL 17 million in this context in 2022, we more than quadrupled this figure in 2023. We also attach importance to digitalization. Within the scope of our New Generation Teknosa transformation program, which we have been pursuing with determination since 2019, we carry out our digital transformation starting from our supply chain and covering the delivery of the product to the end consumer and after sales. In 2023, we reduced paper use by 20% compared to 2022 thanks to the digital label and electronic label applications we implemented in stores.*

## Operations

### (5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

Risks

### (5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

Climate change

### (5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

*Risks such as extreme weather events and natural disasters, heat waves and heat stress due to climate change have the potential to affect our operations. We assess potential impacts such as flooding of stores or logistics centers due to heavy rainfall; disruption of operations due to disasters such as earthquakes and fires; high temperatures increasing the need for air conditioning in stores and creating additional costs; high temperatures negatively affecting working conditions and requiring additional cooling. We determine our strategies to increase our resilience against these risks and minimize the potential impact on our operations. We plan to develop crisis and emergency plans to be prepared for extreme weather events and natural disasters, and we aim to reduce the impact of risks through tools such as insurance and insurance. In order to mitigate the effects of high temperatures, we will increase energy efficiency in our stores to avoid additional costs.*

[Add row]

## (5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

### Row 1

#### (5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

- Revenues
- Direct costs
- Capital expenditures

#### (5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks

#### (5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

*In the processes we follow within the scope of risk identification; the definition of financial risks, which is one of the risk categories, covers the factors that may negatively or positively affect Teknosa's financial position, performance or value. Interest rate, exchange rate fluctuations, liquidity and capital markets are evaluated under this category. Teknosa closely follows technological developments and improves its operations to reduce its carbon footprint. Through optimization of energy systems, investments in renewable energy use and collaborations with our suppliers, we will go a long way towards reducing our carbon footprint. In this context, we have invested TL 8,819,461 through initiatives related to environmental investments, and the amount of financial savings achieved related to this investment is TL 11,882,056 and 1,095,833 kg CO2 emission reduction. Teknosa diversifies its product range with low-emission products and strives to meet the expectations of the sector. In this context, we increased the number of environmentally friendly products and services by 22% compared to 2022 to 207. Our sustainable product and service revenue increased by 179% to TL 1,590,995,844. The 177 white goods and 25 air conditioners with high energy efficiency that we offer to our customers in all our sales channels contribute to reducing our carbon footprint by reducing energy consumption.*

[Add row]

**(5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition?**

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition	Methodology or framework used to assess alignment with your organization’s climate transition	Indicate the level at which you identify the alignment of your spending/revenue with a sustainable finance taxonomy
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A sustainable finance taxonomy	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> At the organization level only

[Fixed row]

**(5.4.1) Quantify the amount and percentage share of your spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition.**

**Row 1**

**(5.4.1.1) Methodology or framework used to assess alignment**

*Select from:*

- A sustainable finance taxonomy

**(5.4.1.2) Taxonomy under which information is being reported**

*Select from:*

- Other, please specify :Green Bond Initiative

**(5.4.1.3) Objective under which alignment is being reported**

*Select from:*

- Climate change mitigation

#### (5.4.1.5) Financial metric

Select from:

Revenue/Turnover

#### (5.4.1.6) Amount of selected financial metric that is aligned in the reporting year (currency)

1642650568

#### (5.4.1.7) Percentage share of selected financial metric aligned in the reporting year (%)

4.6

#### (5.4.1.8) Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align in 2025 (%)

0.85

#### (5.4.1.9) Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align in 2030 (%)

1.7

#### (5.4.1.12) Details of the methodology or framework used to assess alignment with your organization's climate transition

*Considering the increasing demand of consumers for environmentally friendly products, we are constantly improving our product and service portfolio with low-emission and environmentally friendly products with the awareness of the impact of our marketing activities on consumption habits. As an indicator of the importance we attach to sustainability, we provide additional discounts to our customers to encourage the sale of A products in our product portfolio. In addition, in collaboration with Sabancı Holding, we have defined our criteria to meet industry expectations. We aim to promote sustainable consumption habits by marketing products with minimized environmental impact. We consider the following as environmentally friendly products and services. Products with High Energy Efficiency: The 177 white goods and 25 air conditioners with high energy efficiency that we offer to our customers in all our sales channels contribute to reducing carbon footprint by reducing energy consumption. We encourage responsible consumption by offering discounts of up to TL 350 on A Energy Class White Goods and up to 25% on Screen Protection and TeknoGarantee Services. Breakdown and Repair Services: We offer repair services for all portable electronic devices such as phones, tablets, laptops and small home appliances, whether purchased from Teknosa or not. By repairing approximately 6,000 products annually, we increase reuse and recycling rates and thus strive to prevent waste generation. İklimsa SPP Business Model: The solutions we offer for residential, agricultural irrigation and industrial facilities in the field of Solar Energy Systems (SPP) with İklimsa reduce the use of fossil fuels and encourage the use of renewable energy sources. In order to contribute to the spread of sustainable energy solutions, we cooperate with many banks to provide financing support to our customers. Refurbished Phone Sales: With the refurbished phone sales we launched on teknosa.com, we contribute to the reduction of electronic waste and the protection of natural resources by offering our customers phones that have undergone all necessary maintenance, repair and testing by Refurbishment Centers approved by the Ministry of Trade, are in 100% working condition and have*

a 14-day return guarantee. **Bring Old and Take New:** By offering discounts on new device purchases to customers who bring their old devices to our stores or send them via [teknosa.com](https://www.teknosa.com), we ensure that old devices are recycled and reused. By 2031, we aim to recycle 500,000 devices within the scope of our Bring the Old and Take the New project. **Rental Service:** More than 200 electronic products are rented through [teknosa.com](https://www.teknosa.com) or from selected stores in cooperation with our partners to encourage product reuse and reduce the amount of electronic waste. The rental model creates a sustainable cycle by enabling products to last longer.  
 [Add row]

**(5.4.3) Provide any additional contextual and/or verification/assurance information relevant to your organization’s taxonomy alignment.**

	Additional contextual information relevant to your taxonomy accounting	Indicate whether you will be providing verification/assurance information relevant to your taxonomy alignment in question 13.1	Please explain why you will not be providing verification/assurance information relevant to your taxonomy alignment in question 13.1
	N/A	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	N/A

[Fixed row]

**(5.9) What is the trend in your organization’s water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?**

**(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)**

0

**(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)**

0

**(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)**

**(5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)**

0

**(5.9.5) Please explain**

*In 2023, Teknosa experienced a significant increase in total water bill expenses, rising from 507,751 TL in 2022 to 1,440,758.82 TL, representing a 183.67% increase. This sharp rise is partly due to Turkey’s high inflation, which had a broad impact on operational costs, and a 40.32% increase in water unit prices in Istanbul from 2022 to 2023. Additionally, changes in how water consumption was calculated played a role, with estimates used for regions without actual water bills, based on nearby billed areas. It’s important to note that these figures reflect only the billed amounts, and the number of billed locations increased in 2023, further contributing to the overall cost rise.*

[Fixed row]

**(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?**

	Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities	Environmental externality priced
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carbon

[Fixed row]

**(5.10.1) Provide details of your organization’s internal price on carbon.**

**Row 1**

**(5.10.1.1) Type of pricing scheme**

Select from:

- Shadow price

### (5.10.1.2) Objectives for implementing internal price

Select all that apply

- Navigate regulations
- Drive energy efficiency
- Drive low-carbon investment
- Conduct cost-benefit analysis
- Influence strategy and/or financial planning
- Incentivize consideration of climate-related issues in decision making
- Incentivize consideration of climate-related issues in risk assessment
- Other, please specify :**Change Internal Behavior Meet Stakeholder**

#### Expectations

- Reduce upstream value chain emissions

### (5.10.1.3) Factors considered when determining the price

Select all that apply

- Alignment with the price of a carbon tax
- Alignment with the price of allowances under an Emissions Trading Scheme

### (5.10.1.4) Calculation methodology and assumptions made in determining the price

*If a carbon tax or an emissions trading system (ETS) is implemented as a carbon pricing mechanism, it is estimated that the cost per GHG will be determined similar to the EU ETS system in Turkey. In this context, the cost per ton of greenhouse gas emissions in the EU ETS system cost is 80 per share. As Teknosa, we perform our carbon pricing calculations based on the 80 price.*

### (5.10.1.5) Scopes covered

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2

### (5.10.1.6) Pricing approach used – spatial variance

Select from:

- Uniform

### (5.10.1.8) Pricing approach used – temporal variance

Select from:

Evolutionary

### (5.10.1.9) Indicate how you expect the price to change over time

*Although the direct financial impact of the carbon tax on Teknosa is currently minimal, we recognize the possibility of governments, including Türkiye, imposing carbon taxes as the global transition to a low-carbon society gains momentum. According to the IEA 450 scenario, the carbon tax is projected to reach 100 USD per t-CO2 by 2030.*

### (5.10.1.10) Minimum actual price used (currency per metric ton CO2e)

2640

### (5.10.1.11) Maximum actual price used (currency per metric ton CO2e)

3630

### (5.10.1.12) Business decision-making processes the internal price is applied to

Select all that apply

Operations

Procurement

Risk management

### (5.10.1.13) Internal price is mandatory within business decision-making processes

Select from:

Yes, for some decision-making processes, please specify :As Teknosa spesific examples, there are LED lighting transformation in the stores, automated sensors placed in the stores for remote control and energy saving. The carbon price was used in the feasibility studies of these projects.

### (5.10.1.14) % total emissions in the reporting year in selected scopes this internal price covers

100



### (5.10.1.15) Pricing approach is monitored and evaluated to achieve objectives

Select from:

Yes

### (5.10.1.16) Details of how the pricing approach is monitored and evaluated to achieve your objectives

*If a carbon tax or an emissions trading system (ETS) is implemented as a carbon pricing mechanism, it is estimated that the cost per GHG will be determined similar to the EU ETS system in Turkey. In this context, the cost per ton of greenhouse gas emissions in the EU ETS system cost is 80 per share. As Teknosa, we perform our carbon pricing calculations based on the 80 price. Our calculations are based on a minimum carbon price of 80. When converting this to TL parity, we calculated the euro parity of 2023 as 33 TL and evaluated the carbon price as 2640 TL. We are taking decisive steps to proactively address potential increases in carbon price-driven costs and protect our business operations and financial performance. As Teknosa, we aim to reduce our total CO2 footprint with our decarbonization strategy. Through our efforts and our commitment and investments in renewable energy, we aim to positively contribute to mitigating climate change and building a more sustainable future for our planet. Moving forward, we are committed to promoting environmental responsibility and sustainability in all aspects of our business activities. We conduct carbon pricing and use this pricing when assessing the financial impact of our risks, conducting feasibility studies of our projects and calculating amortization periods. Thus, we realize our financial planning more accurately and effectively. For example, in our 2023 risk assessment process, we foreseen a financial risk of TL 6,188,160 in our 2023 risk assessment process, in case we are subject to the pricing mechanism within the framework of our scope 1 emissions and considering that the cost per ton of greenhouse gas emissions in the EU ETS system is 80.*

[Add row]

### (5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

	Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered
Suppliers	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change
Customers	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change
Investors and shareholders	Select from:	Select all that apply

	Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change
Other value chain stakeholders	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change

[Fixed row]

### (5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

#### Climate change

##### (5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

##### (5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

Contribution to supplier-related Scope 3 emissions

##### (5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

100%

##### (5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Tier 1 suppliers account for 76% of Teknosa's category 1 scope 3 emissions. The emissions of a tier 1 supplier have a significant impact if it accounts for 2% of these emissions. Teknosa's category 1 emissions for 2023 are 300946 tCO2. 76% of this is 228718.96 tCO2. 2% impact corresponds to 4574.38 tCO2. (228718.96 tCO2\*2/100 4574.38 tCO2)

#### (5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

76-99%

#### (5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

23

[Fixed row]

### (5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

#### Climate change

#### (5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

#### (5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to climate change

Strategic status of suppliers

Supplier performance improvement

Other, please specify :Carbon Footprint of Suppliers

#### (5.11.2.4) Please explain

*Our embedding the decarbonization strategy into procurement project outcomes were developed through a series of meetings, interviews, and in-person workshops with the category, Preo, and İklimsa teams. Throughout this process, the following criteria were carefully evaluated for each category and supplier: Encouraging suppliers to set emission reduction targets Collecting data on product carbon footprints Gathering Energy Label information Selecting suppliers with lower carbon footprints Transitioning to low-carbon alternatives Implementing product labeling Enhancing in-store communications Providing incentives for energy-efficient products After a detailed analysis of Teknosa's portfolio of over 280 active brands across focus categories, 23 key brands were identified. We prioritize the suppliers we have identified as a result of this analysis. These brands are responsible for: 76% of total emissions 75% of purchasing value 72% of sales value This focused approach allows Teknosa to target the most impactful suppliers and products, aligning with the company's broader sustainability goals.*  
[Fixed row]

## **(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?**

### **Climate change**

#### **(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process**

Select from:

Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts

#### **(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance**

Select from:

Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

#### **(5.11.5.3) Comment**

*As Teknosa, we expect our suppliers, especially those we work directly with, to implement the Supplier Code of Conduct. The Supplier Code of Conduct contains our environmental standards. Environmental Permits and Reporting: All necessary environmental permits and licenses must be obtained and operational and reporting requirements must be complied with. Pollution Prevention and Resource Conservation: includes conserving natural resources by reducing emissions, modifying production processes, reusing, recycling and using circular materials, and encouraging the design of repairable products. Hazardous substances must be identified, labeled and managed to ensure the safe transportation, storage, use, recycling, reuse and disposal of chemicals and waste. Air emissions, including volatile organic chemicals, aerosols, corrosives, particulates, ozone depleting substances and combustion by-products, should be monitored, controlled and treated where necessary. Energy Consumption and Greenhouse Gas Emissions: Business partners should set a company-wide GHG reduction target, monitor energy consumption and emissions, and promote internal carbon pricing to incentivize emission reductions. Water Management: Business partners should establish a comprehensive water management program to monitor water sources, uses, discharge, control pollution and minimize societal impacts.*  
[Fixed row]

**(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.**

## **Climate change**

### **(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement**

*Select from:*

- Setting a science-based emissions reduction target

### **(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement**

*Select all that apply*

- First-party verification
- Supplier self-assessment

### **(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement**

*Select from:*

- 76-99%

### **(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement**

*Select from:*

- 51-75%

### **(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement**

*Select from:*

- 51-75%

### (5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 26-50%

### (5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- Retain and engage

### (5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

- 1-25%

### (5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

- Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics
- Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

### (5.11.6.12) Comment

*The Supplier Engagement Target for Category 1—covering Purchased Goods and Services—indicates that 44% of emissions in this category are currently associated with suppliers committed to Science-Based Targets (SBTi). Teknosa’s top 23 suppliers, which are responsible for 90% of Category 1 emissions in 2023, have shown promising progress, with 70% of them establishing emission reduction goals. Out of these, 8 have committed to SBTi targets, and 4 are engaged in emissions reduction efforts under non-SBTi targets. Similarly, İklimsa’s suppliers cover a substantial share, with 58% of baseline emissions already associated with committed suppliers. SBTi requires a five-year timeline for full engagement, presenting TeknoSA with a significant opportunity. By focusing on actions aligned with procurement projects and collaborating with industry peers like the Euronics network, Teknosa can amplify its influence and further refine emissions calculations. With a goal to have 50% of TeknoSA’s suppliers, based on emissions, committed to SBTi targets by 2030, the company is driving towards a significant milestone—translating this target into a 9% overall emissions coverage.*

[Add row]

## (5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

### Climate change

#### (5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- Emissions reduction

#### (5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

##### Capacity building

- Provide training, support and best practices on how to measure GHG emissions
- Provide training, support and best practices on how to mitigate environmental impact
- Provide training, support and best practices on how to set science-based targets

##### Information collection

- Other information collection activity, please specify :Information sharing about Teknosa's sustainability metrics, challenges and opportunities for future.

##### Innovation and collaboration

- Collaborate with suppliers on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

#### (5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

#### (5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

- 76-99%

#### (5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

51-75%

### (5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

*In all business functions, business partners recognize that environmental responsibility is an integral part of producing world-class products or providing services, so we collaborate with our suppliers to use resources efficiently, reduce energy consumption and promote renewable energy. While we continue our efforts to reduce our carbon footprint, the obligation to manage waste in a way that does not harm the environment is also among our priorities. We expect our suppliers to act meticulously in this regard. We see full compliance with environmental laws as an obligation wherever we operate. Recycling of materials from our company and waste management are the cornerstones of our circular economy approach. Our priority strategies include increasing the use of circular materials in cooperation with our suppliers, reducing dependency on critical raw materials and applying circular principles in product design. We have completed our “Integration of Sustainability into our Supply Processes” project, which we conducted with verification workshops in the past period. We aimed to ensure that many of our efforts such as decarbonization and circularity are compatible with our procurement processes. In addition, our decarbonization strategies include encouraging suppliers to set their emission reduction targets and providing support in this regard, preferring suppliers with a lower carbon footprint to reduce the environmental impact of purchased goods and services, and reducing the carbon footprint by adopting low-carbon alternatives in our product and service procurement process. Additionally, we cooperate with our suppliers on issues such as refurbished product sales, rental services, and waste collection.*

### (5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Energy consumption and all major categories of Scope 1, 2 and Scope 3 GHG emissions

### (5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

Yes

[Add row]

## (5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

### Climate change

#### (5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder



Select from:

- Customers

### (5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

#### Education/Information sharing

- Run an engagement campaign to educate stakeholders about the environmental impacts about your products, goods and/or services
- Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

#### Innovation and collaboration

- Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions
- Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

### (5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- 100%

### (5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

- Unknown

### (5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

*We consider our customer-oriented approach as one of our priorities. We develop our operations in line with sectoral developments and the demands and expectations of our customers, and provide competitive advantage. We aim to facilitate our customers' access to technology by being with them anytime and anywhere with a focus on "Unique Customer Experience". Taking into account the increasing demand of consumers for environmentally friendly products, we continuously improve our product and service portfolio with low emission and environmentally friendly products with the awareness of the impact of our marketing activities on consumption habits. Following this study on the timeliness of sectoral material issues, we sought stakeholder opinions through a questionnaire to rate the issues for Teknosa. The group with the largest percentage in these survey groups was customers with 49%. The double-significance analysis we conducted with internal and external stakeholder workshops, including our customers, is an important step towards identifying and reporting on the most critical ESG issues affecting our sustainability performance. This analysis helps us to improve our sustainability strategies and reporting by comprehensively assessing our impacts on society and the environment and their reflections on our financial performance. One of our 6 key levers for Scope 3 emissions reduction is customer engagement.*

### (5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

*We organized a total of 359 hours of training within the scope of “Sustainability 101” and “Small Steps Big Changes: Transformation through Sustainable Consumption” trainings, we organized 359 hours of training in total. We continued the project ‘Let Waste Battery Turn into Saplings with VARTA & TEMA Foundation!’. Within the framework of our cooperation with the Aegean Forest Foundation, we donated 6953 saplings on behalf of our customers who bring e-waste to our stores. As an indicator of the importance we attach to sustainability, we provide additional discounts to our customers to encourage the sale of A products in our product portfolio. The 177 white goods and 25 air conditioners with high energy efficiency that we offer to our customers contribute to reducing carbon footprint by reducing energy consumption. In this context, we increased the number of environmentally friendly products and services by 22% compared to 2022 to 207. We offer discounts on new device purchases to our customers who bring their old devices to our stores or send them via teknosa.com, ensuring that old devices are recycled and reused. By 2031, we aim to recycle 500,000 devices as part of our “Bring Old, Take New” project. More than 200 electronic products are rented from teknosa.com or certain stores in cooperation with Kiralabunu, encouraging the reuse of products and reducing the amount of electronic waste. We repair approximately 6,000 products annually, increasing recycling and reuse rates.*

*[Add row]*

## C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

### Climate change

#### (6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

#### (6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

*We have selected the operational control approach for climate change data as it allows us to consistently track and manage our direct operations and emissions. This approach aligns with our capacity to influence environmental outcomes, enabling us to implement targeted climate actions and monitor our progress on reducing greenhouse gas emissions more effectively.*

### Water

#### (6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

#### (6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

*Our consolidation of water data through operational control enables us to focus on the areas where we have the greatest ability to manage water usage and efficiency. By using this approach, we can prioritize water conservation in our facilities, monitor consumption patterns, and reduce water-related risks within our direct operational boundaries.*

### Plastics

#### (6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

## (6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

*We are applying the operational control approach for plastics to closely manage and monitor plastic use and waste in our operations. This approach allows us to effectively implement waste reduction strategies, track the lifecycle of plastic materials, and ensure compliance with our sustainability goals focused on minimizing plastic waste.*

## Biodiversity

### (6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

## (6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

*Our operational control approach for biodiversity is driven by our commitment to manage and reduce impacts on ecosystems directly affected by our operations. By consolidating biodiversity data in this manner, we can better assess our influence on local habitats and species, ensuring that we take necessary steps to protect and enhance biodiversity in areas where we operate.*

*[Fixed row]*

## C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

### (7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

No

#### (7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?
	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

#### (7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

##### (7.1.2.1) Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?

Select all that apply

Yes, a change in boundary

##### (7.1.2.2) Details of methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition change(s)

*In 2022, our Scope 3 emissions were calculated using categories 1, 5, 6, 7, 9, and 11. However, in 2023, we expanded our emissions accounting methodology to include categories 2, 3, 12, and 14, in addition to the previously reported categories, which are 1, 5, 6, 7, 9, and 11. This adjustment reflects a more comprehensive approach to capturing our indirect emissions across the value chain, aligning with the updated GHG Protocol guidelines and ensuring a more accurate representation of our environmental impact. Including these additional categories—such as capital goods (category 2), fuel- and energy-related activities (category 3), end-of-life treatment of sold products (category 12), and franchises (category 14)—has allowed us to broaden our reporting boundary and better understand the full scope of our carbon footprint. While this expansion has increased the reported emissions compared to previous years, it offers a clearer view of areas for potential emissions reductions and aligns with our long-term sustainability strategy. Given this change, the 2023 emissions inventory is not directly comparable to 2022 figures, but this recalibration ensures greater accuracy and consistency for future tracking of progress.*

[Fixed row]

### **(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?**

#### **(7.1.3.1) Base year recalculation**

Select from:

Yes

#### **(7.1.3.2) Scope(s) recalculated**

Select all that apply

Scope 3

#### **(7.1.3.3) Base year emissions recalculation policy, including significance threshold**

*As outlined in question 7.1.2, this year we expanded our Scope 3 emissions accounting to include categories 2, 3, 12, and 14, in addition to the previously reported categories. Due to this methodological change and the inclusion of these new categories, we have recalculated our base year for Scope 3 emissions to 2023. This recalibration ensures that our emissions inventory more accurately reflects the full extent of our value chain emissions and maintains consistency and relevance moving forward. While this recalculation affects historical comparisons for Scope 3 emissions, it provides a more comprehensive baseline that aligns with our updated reporting boundaries. No changes were made to our Scope 1 or Scope 2 base year emissions, as these categories remain consistent with previous reporting methodologies. Moving forward, 2023 will serve as the new base year for Scope 3 emissions, enabling us to track progress against a more inclusive and robust inventory.*

#### **(7.1.3.4) Past years' recalculation**

Select from:

No

[Fixed row]

## **(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.**

Select all that apply

IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, 2006

ISO 14064-1

The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)

Other, please specify :Exiobase 2019, DEFRA 2023, TEİAŞ 2022 emission factors for electricity production

## **(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.**

### **(7.3.1) Scope 2, location-based**

Select from:

We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

### **(7.3.2) Scope 2, market-based**

Select from:

We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure

### **(7.3.3) Comment**

*Reporting Period: 1st January 2023 – 31st December 2023. We have been reporting both Scope 2, location-based figure and market-based figure since 2021 as we have operations where we can utilize renewable energy sources in our operations.*

[Fixed row]

**(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?**

Select from:

No

**(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.**

## Scope 1

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2021

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2141

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*We started to calculate our Scope 1 emissions in 2020. We defined 2021 as base year for this scope. Represents greenhouse gas emissions from natural gas consumption, generator diesel consumption, operational vehicles and company vehicles, and air conditioner leakage gases at the relevant locations. Within the base year calculations, the ISO 14064-1 standard, The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard and Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories were used. Emission factors are taken from IPCC 2006 guidelines and DEFRA 2021, fuel Net calorific value and density values are taken from regulations published by Ministry of Energy, DEFRA 2021 and IPCC 2006. IPCC GWP coefficients are taken from the 5th Assessment Report.*

## Scope 2 (location-based)

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2021

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)



### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*We have started to measure and monitor Scope 2 emissions since 2020. However, we defined 2021 emissions as a base year for Scope 2 emissions. Represents greenhouse gas emissions from electricity consumption at the relevant locations. Within the base year calculations, the ISO 14064-1 standard, The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard and Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories were used.*

## Scope 2 (market-based)

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2021

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8831

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*We have started to measure and monitor Scope 2 emissions since 2020. However, we defined 2021 emissions as a base year for Scope 2 emissions. This indicator represents the greenhouse gas emissions arising from the consumption of electricity purchased from non-renewable sources that are not certified with I-REC or YEK-G at the relevant locations of the Company during the reporting period. Within the base year calculations, the ISO 14064-1 standard, The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard and Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories were used.*

## Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

300946

### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*This category includes the emissions of suppliers their manufacturing and transportation of the products retailed by Teknosa. Exiobase 2019 database was used for the categorization of products and their emission factors. We set our targets according to the most appropriate SBTi methodology. We plan to include all our business unit activities in Scope 3 using a base year of 2023 and that's why we changed our base year as 2023.*

### **Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/30/2023

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

8946

### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*In the reporting period, this indicator refers to indirect emissions from capital goods and investment expenditures made by Teknosa. Exiobase 2019 database was used for the categorization of products and emission factors of these categories. Relevant parameters such as product lifetime, energy consumption, etc. are calculated based on Energy Star Label data, manufacturer's manuals of the products and average values by product type. We set our targets according to the most appropriate SBTi methodology. We plan to include all our business unit activities in Scope 3 using a base year of 2023 and that's why we changed our base year as 2023.*

### **Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/30/2023

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

2611

### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*In the reporting period, this indicator represents the well-to-tank emissions of natural gas, gasoline, diesel and electricity purchased by Teknosa during the year. DEFRA 2023 was used for well-to-tank emission factors of fuels (natural gas, diesel, gasoline). We set our targets according to the most appropriate SBTi methodology. We plan to include all our business unit activities in Scope 3 using a base year of 2023 and that's why we changed our base year as 2023.*

## **Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution**

### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/30/2023

### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*This data could not be calculated as transportation data for purchased goods is not available.*

## **Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations**

### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/30/2023

### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

297

### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*In the reporting period, this indicator represents the indirect emissions caused by the disposal and/or recycling of waste generated as a result of Teknosa's operations. Emission factors according to waste disposal method are taken from DEFRA 2023. We set our targets according to the most appropriate SBTi methodology. We plan to include all our business unit activities in Scope 3 using a base year of 2023 and that's why we changed our base year as 2023.*

## **Scope 3 category 6: Business travel**

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

361

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*In the reporting period, this indicator represents the indirect emissions from all business air travel during the year. Emission factors by flight categorization (business/economy, domestic/international) are taken from DEFRA 2023. We set our targets according to the most appropriate SBTi methodology. We plan to include all our business unit activities in Scope 3 using a base year of 2023 and that's why we changed our base year as 2023.*

## Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

133

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*In the reporting period, this indicator represents the indirect greenhouse gas emissions caused by Teknosa employees traveling to and from work via employee shuttles, calculated based on fuel information obtained from shuttle service providers. DEFRA 2023 emission factors are used for shuttles. We set our targets according to the most appropriate SBTi methodology. We plan to include all our business unit activities in Scope 3 using a base year of 2023 and that's why we changed our base year as 2023.*

## Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*This category was not relevant for Teknosa, since there is no upstream leased assets in 2023. For this reason, we don't have any emissions in this category.*

## Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1927

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*In the reporting period, this indicator represents the greenhouse gas emissions generated for the transportation of goods sold by Teknosa to customers/buyers. It is calculated based on the amounts spent by Teknosa on contracted logistics companies. Exiobase 2019, land transportation emission factor is used. In addition, product manuals are used for catalog products. We set our targets according to the most appropriate SBTi methodology. We plan to include all our business unit activities in Scope 3 using a base year of 2023 and that's why we changed our base year as 2023.*

## Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

As Teknosa, we do not sell intermediate products that require processing into final products. For this reason, we don't have any emissions in this category.

## Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1461479

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*In the reporting period, this indicator represents the indirect greenhouse gas emissions of electronic devices and products (white goods, computers, telephones, telephone, telephone derivative devices, televisions, media players, monitors, small household appliances, etc.) sold by Teknosa, Preo and İklimsa and Teknosa Marketplace during their end-of-life use after the sale. Exiobase 2019 database was used for the categorization of products and their emission factors. Relevant parameters such as product lifetime, energy consumption, etc. were calculated based on Energy Star Label data, manufacturer's manuals of the products and average values according to product type. Supporting devices such as headphones, mice, keyboards, etc. (anything that works connected to an electronic device) and cameras are not included in the calculations as their energy consumption is through charging or batteries. We set our targets according to the most appropriate SBTi methodology. We plan to include all our business unit activities in Scope 3 using a base year of 2023 and that's why we changed our base year as 2023.*

## Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1544

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*In the reporting period, this indicator represents the indirect greenhouse gas emissions caused by the disposal/recycling of electronic devices and products (white goods, computers, telephones, telephone, telephone derivative devices, televisions, media players, monitors, small household appliances, etc.) sold by Teknosa, Preo and İklimsa and Teknosa Marketplace. Exiobase 2019 database was used for the categorization of products and their emission factors. Relevant parameters*

such as product lifetime, energy consumption, etc. were calculated based on Energy Star Label data, manufacturer's manuals for the products and average values by product type. We set our targets according to the most appropriate SBTi methodology. We plan to include all our business unit activities in Scope 3 using a base year of 2023 and that's why we changed our base year as 2023.

### **Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/30/2023

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

#### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*This category has not been identified relevant as there was no any downstream leased assets in 2023. For this reason, we don't have any emissions in this category.*

### **Scope 3 category 14: Franchises**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/30/2023

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

4518

#### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*In the reporting period, this indicator represents the indirect greenhouse gas emissions caused by İklimsa authorized dealers.*

### **Scope 3 category 15: Investments**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/30/2023

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*No significant investments in 2023.*

### Scope 3: Other (upstream)

#### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

N/A

### Scope 3: Other (downstream)

#### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details



N/A

[Fixed row]

## (7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

### Reporting year

#### (7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2344

#### (7.6.3) Methodological details

Gross global Scope 1 emissions of Teknosa was calculated 2,344 tons CO2e in the reporting year period (1st January 2023 - 31st December 2023). In the reporting period, this indicator refers to greenhouse gas emissions resulting from the use of natural gas, diesel fuel, gasoline, SF6, refrigerant gases and fire extinguishers at the relevant locations. Scope 1 emissions are calculated in accordance with ISO 14064-1, "Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard" in accordance with ISO 14064-1 and calculated according to the operational control principle. Emission factors are taken from IPCC 2006 guidelines and DEFRA 2023, fuel NCV and density values are taken from regulations published by Ministry of Energy, DEFRA 2023 and IPCC 2006. IPCC GWP coefficients are taken from the 5th and 6th assessment reports.

### Past year 1

#### (7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2081

#### (7.6.2) End date

12/30/2022

#### (7.6.3) Methodological details

Gross global Scope 1 emissions of Teknosa was calculated 2,081 tons CO2e in the reporting year period (1st January 2022 - 31st December 2022). In the reporting period, this indicator refers to greenhouse gas emissions resulting from the use of natural gas, diesel fuel, gasoline, SF6, refrigerant gases and fire extinguishers at the relevant locations. Scope 1 emissions are calculated in accordance with ISO 14064-1, "Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard" in accordance with ISO 14064-1 and calculated according to the operational control principle. Emission factors are taken from IPCC 2006 guidelines and DEFRA

2022, fuel NCV and density values are taken from regulations published by Ministry of Energy, DEFRA 2022 and IPCC 2006. IPCC GWP coefficients are taken from the 5th assessment reports.

[Fixed row]

## **(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?**

### **Reporting year**

#### **(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

9427.49

#### **(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)**

5359

#### **(7.7.4) Methodological details**

*Location-based scope 2 indirect greenhouse gas emissions represent greenhouse gas emissions from all electricity consumption at the relevant locations of the Company during the reporting period. Market-based scope 2 indirect greenhouse gas emissions represent greenhouse gas emissions from electricity consumption at the relevant locations of the Company during the reporting period that are not certified with I-REC and purchased from non-renewable sources. Scope 2 emissions are calculated in accordance with ISO 14064- 1, "Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard" in accordance with ISO 14064-1 and calculated according to the operational control principle. TEİAŞ 2022 emission factors for electricity generation were used.*

### **Past year 1**

#### **(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

9141.54

#### **(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)**

6205.86

#### **(7.7.3) End date**

## (7.7.4) Methodological details

*Location-based scope 2 indirect greenhouse gas emissions represent greenhouse gas emissions from all electricity consumption at the relevant locations of the Company during the reporting period. Market-based scope 2 indirect greenhouse gas emissions represent greenhouse gas emissions from electricity consumption at the relevant locations of the Company during the reporting period that are not certified with I-REC and purchased from non-renewable sources. Scope 2 emissions are calculated in accordance with ISO 14064- 1, “Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard” in accordance with ISO 14064-1 and calculated according to the operational control principle. IEA Emission Factors 2022, TEİAŞ published 2022 electricity generation data and it is used.*

[Fixed row]

## (7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

### Purchased goods and services

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

#### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

300946

#### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

Spend-based method

#### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

Category 1 emissions are calculated using two approaches: Average Data Method Using Product Carbon Footprint (PCF): This method applies to network devices, including 13 product categories (e.g., notebooks, smartphones, TVs). The focus is on emissions from the material and manufacturing stage of the product lifecycle. The formula used is: Emissions factor for manufacturing stage Total PCF of product % share of emissions in material and manufacturing stage. If Life Cycle Assessments (LCA) of products are available, the specific product's PCF is used. Otherwise, a category average is applied, which is seen as more representative than industry benchmarks like the EEIO database. The formula for calculating emissions is: Emissions total number of products (units) emissions factor for manufacturing stage (kg CO2 e/unit) 0.001. Although different brands have varied methods of calculating LCA, this assessment accepts their reported data without further scrutiny. Spent-Based Method Using EXIOBASE Emissions Factor: This approach covers non-network devices such as small home appliances and white goods, where PCF data isn't available. Each product category is mapped to an EXIOBASE classification, and the emissions factor for Turkey (TR) is used for Teknosa and PREO, while China (CN) is used for Iklimsa products. The calculation is based on the amount spent on these products, converted from Turkish Lira (TRL) to Euros. The formula is: Emissions total spent (m EUR) EXIOBASE emissions factor (kg CO2 e/M EUR) 0.001. This dual approach ensures comprehensive accounting of emissions across both network and non-network devices for category 1.

## Capital goods

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

8946

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Spend-based method

### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

### (7.8.5) Please explain

In the reporting period, this indicator refers to indirect emissions from capital goods and investment expenditures made by Teknosa. Exiobase 2019 database was used for the categorization of products and emission factors of these categories. Relevant parameters such as product lifetime, energy consumption, etc. are

calculated based on Energy Star Label data, manufacturer's manuals of the products and average values by product type. Category 2: Our scope 3 emissions from Capital Goods have been verified and account for 0.50% of our scope 3 emissions.

## Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

2611

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

7

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*In the reporting period, this indicator represents the well-to-tank emissions of natural gas, gasoline, diesel and electricity purchased by Teknosa during the year. DEFRA 2023 was used for well-to-tank emission factors of fuels (natural gas, diesel, gasoline). Our scope 3 emissions from Category 3: Fuel and Energy Related Activities are verified and account for 0.13% of our scope 3 emissions. Our diesel and gasoline data are obtained from suppliers.*

## Upstream transportation and distribution

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*This category has not been included in the GHG inventory. It could be included if transportation data was available for purchased goods in the reporting year.*

## Waste generated in operations

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

297

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*In the reporting period, this indicator represents the indirect emissions caused by the disposal and/or recycling of waste generated as a result of Teknosa's operations. Emission factors according to waste disposal method are taken from DEFRA 2023. Our scope 3 emissions from Category 5: Waste Generated in Operations have been verified and constitute 0.02% of our scope 3 emissions. Our data was collected from recycling and waste companies.*

## Business travel

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

361

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*In the reporting period, this indicator represents the indirect emissions from all business air travel during the year. Emission factors by flight categorization (business/economy, domestic/international) are taken from DEFRA 2023. Category 6: Our scope 3 emissions from Business Travel have been verified and account for 0.02% of our scope 3 emissions. Our data is collected from the travel agency.*

## Employee commuting

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

133

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

#### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*In the reporting period, this indicator represents the indirect greenhouse gas emissions caused by Teknosa employees traveling to and from work via employee shuttles, calculated based on fuel information obtained from shuttle service providers. DEFRA 2023 emission factors are used for shuttles. Our scope 3 emissions from Category 7: Employee Commuting have been verified. We collected our data from the employee service provider company.*

### Upstream leased assets

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*This category was not relevant for Teknosa, since there was no upstream leased assets in 2023.*

### Downstream transportation and distribution

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

#### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

1927

#### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology



Select all that apply

Spend-based method

#### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*In the reporting period, this indicator represents the greenhouse gas emissions generated for the transportation of goods sold by Teknosa to customers/buyers. It is calculated based on the amounts spent by Teknosa on contracted logistics companies. Exiobase 2019, land transportation emission factor is used. In addition, product manuals are used for catalog products. Our scope 3 emissions from Category 9: Transportation and Distribution (Downstream) have been verified and account for 0.11% of our scope 3 emissions.*

### Processing of sold products

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*As Teknosa does not sell intermediate products that require processing into final products, this category was considered not relevant. Correspondingly, we don't have any emissions in this category.*

### Use of sold products

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

#### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

1461479

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average product method

### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

### (7.8.5) Please explain

Category 11 emissions are calculated using two approaches: Average Data Method Using Product Carbon Footprint (PCF): This method applies to network devices, including 13 product categories (e.g., notebooks, smartphones, TVs). PCF data was obtained from secondary research, covering emissions from material manufacturing, transportation, product use, and end of life. For category 11, only the emissions during the use phase were considered. The formula applied is:  $\text{Emissions for use stage} = \text{Total PCF of the product} \times \text{\% share of emissions in use phase}$ . In cases where Life Cycle Assessments (LCA) of specific products are available, the exact PCF is used. Otherwise, a category average is applied, as it is deemed more accurate than industry benchmarks like EEIO databases. Average Data Method Using Energy Use Data: This approach is used for non-network devices (e.g., small home appliances, white goods), where specific PCF data isn't available. Two calculation methods are used: Energy Star Data: Referring to ENERGY STAR Certified Products 2020 Data Book, the energy consumption and lifetime of both standard and energy-efficient products are used. The emissions are calculated by assuming 50% of products are standard, and 50% are energy-efficient. Assumptions from Secondary Research: Emissions are calculated based on assumptions for product lifetime, annual usage, and energy consumption. The formula used is:  $\text{Emissions} = \text{total product under category} \times \text{usage per year} \times \text{electricity consumption per year} \times \text{product lifetime} \times \text{grid emissions factor}$ . This method allows for a balanced, comprehensive estimation of emissions across various product categories in category 11.

## End of life treatment of sold products

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

1544

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average product method

#### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

50

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

Category 12 emissions are calculated using two approaches: Average Data Method Using Product Carbon Footprint (PCF): This method covers 13 product categories, such as notebooks, smartphones, TVs, and more. Emissions are based on the end-of-life stage of the product lifecycle. The equation used is: Emissions factor for end-of-life Total PCF of the product % share of emissions in end-of-life stage. If product-specific Life Cycle Assessments (LCA) are available, they are used. Otherwise, a category average PCF is applied, as it offers better accuracy than general industry benchmarks like the EEIO database. The formula for calculating emissions is: Emissions total number of products (units) emissions factor for end-of-life (kg CO<sub>2</sub> e/unit) 0.001. Different brands may have varying methods of estimating LCA, but in this assessment, the brand-specific LCA processes were accepted as reported. Average Mass of Waste Disposal Method: This approach applies to non-network devices like small home appliances and white goods. The calculation is based on the average weight of product categories, determined from product descriptions or catalogues. The assumption is that all sold products are landfilled after use, with emissions calculated using the WEEE (Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment) emission factor for landfill. The formula used is: Emissions total mass of the product group (tonne) WEEE emission factor for landfill (kg CO<sub>2</sub> e/tonne) 0.001. While the average mass of products is estimated from secondary research, it may vary across brands, making it an assumption rather than a precise average for the group. This dual approach ensures that both network and non-network device emissions are accounted for in category 12 calculations.

### Downstream leased assets

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

This category has not been identified relevant as there was no any downstream leased assets in 2023.

### Franchises

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

4518

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

Spend-based method

### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Emissions from electricity and fuel consumption of İklimsa authorized dealers have been calculated. Our data has been obtained from İklimsa authorized dealers.*

## Investments

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Teknosa has not considered this relevant since there was no any significant investments.*

## Other (upstream)

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*There is no other (upstream) emissions.*

### Other (downstream)

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*There is no other (downstream) emissions.*

*[Fixed row]*

### (7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.

### Past year 1

#### (7.8.1.1) End date

12/30/2022

#### (7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

344488

#### (7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

0

**(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)**

88

**(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)**

131

**(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)**

72

**(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)**

702

**(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)**

817699

#### (7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

#### (7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

#### (7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

0

#### (7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

0

#### (7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

#### (7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

#### (7.8.1.19) Comment

*Among the Scope 3 categories, explanations for categories for which data were not available last year are as follows. Capital goods: This category has not been included in the GHG inventory in 2022. It could be included if spend data is provided for purchased fixed assets during reporting year in which any material has not been identified during Scope 3 workshop. Fuel and energy-related activities: It could be applicable for energy related activities; purchased electricity and fuel. This category has not been identified material during Scope 3 workshop in 2022. Upstream transportation and distribution: This category has not been included in the GHG inventory. It could be included if transportation data was available for purchased goods in 2022 Upstream leased assets: There was no upstream leased assets in 2022. Processing of sold products: As Teknosa does not sell intermediate products that require processing into final products, this category was considered not relevant. Correspondingly, we don't have any emissions in this category. End of life treatment of sold products: This category was considered not relevant since it has not been identified material and not included in the GHG inventory due to lack of available information for the disposal of sold products. Downstream leased assets: This category has not been identified relevant as there was no any downstream leased assets in 2022. Franchises: This category has not been identified relevant, accordingly, not included due to the lack of data from İklimsa distribution and after sale service stores in 2022. Investments: There was no significant investments in 2022.*

[Fixed row]

**(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.**

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place

[Fixed row]

**(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.**

**Row 1**

**(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place**

Select from:

Annual process

**(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year**

Select from:

Complete



### (7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Limited assurance

### (7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

*Teknosa 2023 SR ENG Limited Assurance Opinion-2023 Final.pdf*

### (7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

*Scope: 2-3 Assurance Level: 5 Opinion: 5-6*

### (7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:

ISAE3000

### (7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

**(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.**

#### Row 1

### (7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

Scope 2 market-based

### (7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

Annual process

### (7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

Complete

### (7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Limited assurance

### (7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

*Teknosa 2023 SR ENG Limited Assurance Opinion-2023 Final.pdf*

### (7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

*Scope: 2-3 Assurance Level: 5 Opinion: 5-6*

### (7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

ISAE3000

### (7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

## Row 2

### (7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

Scope 2 location-based

### (7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

Annual process

### (7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

Complete

### (7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Limited assurance

### (7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

*Teknosa 2023 SR ENG Limited Assurance Opinion-2023 Final.pdf*

### (7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

*Scope: 2-3 Assurance Level: 5 Opinion: 5-6*

### (7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

ISAE3000

### (7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

**(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.**

**Row 1**

**(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category**

*Select all that apply*

- Scope 3: Capital goods
- Scope 3: Business travel
- Scope 3: Employee commuting
- Scope 3: Use of sold products
- Scope 3: Purchased goods and services
- Scope 3: Waste generated in operations
- Scope 3: End-of-life treatment of sold products
- Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution
- Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

**(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place**

*Select from:*

- Annual process

**(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year**

*Select from:*

- Complete

**(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance**

*Select from:*

- Limited assurance

**(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement**

*Teknosa 2023 SR ENG Limited Assurance Opinion-2023 Final.pdf*

**(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference**

### (7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

ISAE3000

### (7.9.3.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

99

[Add row]

## (7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

Decreased

### (7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

#### Change in renewable energy consumption

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.63

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.008

#### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*In 2023, there was a decrease of 584 tCO<sub>2</sub> in our Scope 1-2 market-based total emissions compared to the previous year. While there was an increase of 263 tCO<sub>2</sub> in our Scope 1 emissions, there was a decrease of 847 tCO<sub>2</sub> in our Scope 2 market-based emissions. In 2022, our total Scope 1 and Scope 2 market based emissions was 8287 tCO<sub>2</sub>e. In 2023, 167.42 MWh of energy was generated through the Solar Power Plant installed on the roof of our Adana Sabancı Business Center store with the Energy Performance Model. Of the amount generated, 142.07 MWh was consumed in processes. Thanks to renewable energy generation, we saved a total of 0.63 tCO<sub>2</sub>e of greenhouse gas emissions. Therefore, we arrived -0.008% through  $(-0.63 \text{ tCO}_2\text{e} / 8287 \text{ tCO}_2\text{e} * 100)$  -0.008%*

### Other emissions reduction activities

#### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

1154.47

#### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

#### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

13.93

#### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*In 2023, there was a decrease of 584 tCO<sub>2</sub> in our Scope 1-2 market-based total emissions compared to the previous year. While there was an increase of 263 tCO<sub>2</sub> in our Scope 1 emissions, there was a decrease of 847 tCO<sub>2</sub> in our Scope 2 market-based emissions. In 2022, our total Scope 1 and Scope 2 market based emissions was 8287 tCO<sub>2</sub>e. In order to ensure energy management, which we started in our stores, we carry out automation projects with our high energy consuming stores. To increase energy efficiency and optimize energy consumption, we use smart sensors and software in our stores to automatically monitor, control and manage energy consumption in heating, cooling, lighting and other energy consuming systems. In addition, as another important part of our energy efficiency strategy, we are transforming LED lighting in our stores. Thanks to these two projects, we achieved 1154.47 tCO<sub>2</sub>e emission savings. Therefore, we arrived -13.93% through  $(-1154.47 \text{ tCO}_2\text{e} / 8287 \text{ tCO}_2\text{e} * 100)$  -13.93%*

### Divestment

**(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

Select from:

No change

**(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

0

**(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation**

No change

**Acquisitions**

**(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

Select from:

No change

**(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

0

**(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation**

No change

## Mergers

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change

## Change in output

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation



No change

## Change in methodology

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change

## Change in boundary

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

**(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation**

*No change*

**Change in physical operating conditions**

**(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

*Select from:*

No change

**(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

0

**(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation**

*No change*

**Unidentified**

**(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

*Select from:*

No change

**(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

0

#### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change

#### Other

#### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

#### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

#### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

#### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change

[Fixed row]

#### (7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:

Market-based

#### (7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from:

No

### **(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?**

Select from:

Yes

#### **(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).**

##### **Row 1**

###### **(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas**

Select from:

CO2

###### **(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)**

2343.386

###### **(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference**

Select from:

IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

##### **Row 2**

###### **(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas**

Select from:

CH4

###### **(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)**

0.293

### (7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

### Row 3

### (7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

N2O

### (7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0.321

### (7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

[Add row]

### (7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Turkey	2344	9427.49	5359

[Fixed row]

**(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.**

Select all that apply

By activity

**(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.**

	Activity	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	Natural Gas Consumption	1523.65
Row 2	Gasoline consumption for company vehicles	561.61
Row 4	Diesel consumption for company vehicles	75.65
Row 5	Diesel consumption of emergency generators	20.08
Row 7	Refrigerant Leakages	163.07
Row 8	Fire Extinguishers	0.01

[Add row]

**(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.**

Select all that apply

By activity

**(7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity.**

	Activity	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	<i>Electricity Consumption in operations</i>	9427.49	5359

[Add row]

**(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.**

### Consolidated accounting group

#### (7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2344

#### (7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9427.49

#### (7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5359

#### (7.22.4) Please explain

*The emission data includes all (100%) of our activities carried out in Turkey through Teknosa, Teknosa Extra, Teknosa Exxtra stores, İklimsa dealers and authorized services, solar power plant (SPP) installation services, teknosa.com and İklimsa.com between January 1, 2023 and December 31, 2023 in parallel with our financial reporting period.*

### All other entities

#### (7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

**(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.22.4) Please explain**

N/A

[Fixed row]

**(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?**

Select from:

Not relevant as we do not have any subsidiaries

**(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?**

Select from:

More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

**(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.**



	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

### (7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

#### Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

##### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

LHV (lower heating value)

##### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

10091.68

### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

10091.68

## Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

9108

### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

12224.84

### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

21332.84

## Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

142.07

### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

142.07

## Total energy consumption

### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

9250.07

### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

22316.52

### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

31566.59

[Fixed row]

## (7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

**(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.**

### Sustainable biomass

#### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

#### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

#### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

#### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

#### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*We do not have a sustainable biomass fuel consumption.*

### Other biomass

#### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

#### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

#### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

#### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

#### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*We do not have a other biomass fuel consumption.*

### Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

#### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

**(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization**

0

**(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity**

0

**(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat**

0

**(7.30.7.8) Comment**

*We do not have any other renewable fuel consumption in 2023.*

**Coal**

**(7.30.7.1) Heating value**

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

**(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization**

0

**(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity**

0

**(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat**

0

### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*We do not have any coal consumption in 2023.*

## Oil

### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*We do not have a oil fuel consumption in 2023.*

## Gas

### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

LHV

### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

7537

### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

7537

### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*As Teknosa, we consumed 7537 MWh of natural gas in 2023. We use natural gas only to generate heat.*

### Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

LHV

### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

2554.68

### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

74.66

### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

2480.02

### (7.30.7.8) Comment



At Teknosa, we use diesel and gasoline in our company vehicles. In 2023, we used 284.17 MWh diesel and 2915.85 MWh gasoline in our company vehicles (2480.02 MWh in total). We also use diesel in generators. This amounted to 74.66 MWh in 2023.

## Total fuel

### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

LHV

### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

10091.68

### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

74.66

### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

10017.02

### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*In 2023, we consumed a total of 10091.68 MWh of fuel, including natural gas, diesel and gasoline.  
[Fixed row]*

**(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.**

## Electricity

### (7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

167.42

**(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

142.07

**(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)**

167.42

**(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

142.07

## **Heat**

**(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

0

## **Steam**

**(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

0

### **Cooling**

**(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

0

*[Fixed row]*

**(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.**

## Row 1

### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Turkey

### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Large hydropower (>25 MW)

### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

5984

### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

I-REC

### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Turkey

### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*As a demonstration of our efforts to diversify energy sources and reduce environmental impact, with the Renewable Energy Supply Certificate (I-REC), we supply all of the energy consumption of our logistics center and 75 stores from renewable energy sources.*

## Row 2

### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Turkey

### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Geothermal

### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1813

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

I-REC

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Turkey

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*As a demonstration of our efforts to diversify energy sources and reduce environmental impact, with the Renewable Energy Supply Certificate (I-REC), we supply all of the energy consumption of our logistics center and 75 stores from renewable energy sources.*

### Row 3

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Turkey

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Wind

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1311

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

I-REC

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Turkey

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*As a demonstration of our efforts to diversify energy sources and reduce environmental impact, with the Renewable Energy Supply Certificate (I-REC), we supply all of the energy consumption of our logistics center and 75 stores from renewable energy sources.*

[Add row]

#### (7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

## Turkey

### (7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

21332.84

### (7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

142.07

### (7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

### (7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

### (7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

21474.91  
[Fixed row]

**(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.**

### Row 1

#### (7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.0000103

#### (7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)



**(7.45.3) Metric denominator**

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Net Profit

**(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total**

742502000

**(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used**

Select from:

- Market-based

**(7.45.6) % change from previous year**

34.39

**(7.45.7) Direction of change**

Select from:

- Decreased

**(7.45.8) Reasons for change**

Select all that apply

- Change in renewable energy consumption
- Other emissions reduction activities
- Change in revenue

**(7.45.9) Please explain**

Our emissions intensity in metric tons CO2e (market-based) per our net revenue has decreased by 34.39%. Our intensity figure for the previous year was calculated as 0.0000157 (8,287 tons CO2e/ 524,927,000 TRY), and our emission intensity metric for this year is 0.0000103 (7,703 tons CO2e/747,502,000 TRY). This is due to the fact that our market-based Scope 1 2 emissions decreased by 7% according to our calculations as (8,287 ton CO2e – 7,703 ton CO2e)/ 8,287 ton CO2e, and our revenue increased by 42%. We had a financially successful year in 2023.

[Add row]

## (7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

### Row 1

#### (7.52.1) Description

Select from:

Waste

#### (7.52.2) Metric value

951

#### (7.52.3) Metric numerator

tonnes

#### (7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

0.000001812

#### (7.52.5) % change from previous year

94.4

#### (7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

Increased

## (7.52.7) Please explain

*In 2023, the total amount of waste increased due to the inclusion of pallet waste and other locations other than the Headquarter in the calculations. The majority of the reason for this increase is the expansion of the calculation scope. Our net income and total waste amount data were used to calculate our waste density. While the total waste amount was 951 tons, our net income was 524,927,000 TL. For the calculation, the waste amount was divided by the net income. (951 tons/524,927,000 TL) Our waste density calculated with 0.000001812 tons/TL. We calculated our waste density for the first time this year.*

[Add row]

## (7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

- Absolute target
- Intensity target

### (7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

#### Row 1

#### (7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

- Abs 1

#### (7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

- Yes, we consider this a science-based target, and we have committed to seek validation of this target by the Science Based Targets initiative in the next two years

#### (7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

- 1.5°C aligned

### (7.53.1.5) Date target was set

12/30/2022

### (7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

### (7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

Carbon dioxide (CO2)

### (7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

Scope 1

Scope 2

### (7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

Market-based

### (7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/20/2021

### (7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

2141

### (7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

8831

**(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

0.000

**(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

10972.000

**(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1**

100

**(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2**

100

**(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes**

100

**(7.53.1.54) End date of target**

12/30/2030

**(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)**

42

**(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

6363.760

**(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

2344

### (7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

5359

### (7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

7703.000

### (7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

### (7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

70.94

### (7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

### (7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*Teknosa aims to reduce scope 1 & 2 emissions 42% from a 2021 base year by the 2030 target year in compliance with SBT initiative based on our targets to transition to become a low-carbon retailing company. This target covers all of our operations carried out in all locations without any emissions sources are excluded. This target is in line with the SBTi V.5 criteria documents, which is 4.2% linear annual reduction to meet a total reduction of 42% in 2030.*

### (7.53.1.83) Target objective

*At Teknosa, we believe that data-driven approaches to combat climate change play a critical role in moving our sustainability goals forward. Therefore, in line with the Sabancı Group's "Net Zero Emissions" goal, we are committed to becoming net zero in all our operations by 2050, measure our greenhouse gas emissions in accordance with the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, and develop data-based strategies for a greener future. To contribute to global*

climate goals, we have set a greenhouse gas reduction target in line with science-based targets. By 2030, we aim to achieve a 42% reduction in our absolute Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions compared to 2021 levels.

#### (7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

We are moving forward with determination in the fight against climate change with our goal of reducing our Scope 1 and 2 emissions, which we have determined in accordance with SBTi, by 42% by 2030, based on 2021. In line with this goal, we realized a 7% reduction in 2023 compared to the previous year. A large part of this significant reduction is due to the purchase of Renewable Energy Certificates (I-REC), which enabled Teknosa to redirect its energy consumption to renewable energy sources and thus reduce fossil fuel use. This year, we procured 9,108 MWh of renewable energy I-RECs. We plan to reduce our Scope 1 and 2 emissions through optimized energy systems and renewable electricity (I-REC) purchases. We aim to use 80% renewable electricity by 2025 and 100% by 2030. We assess the risk of Scope 1 and 2 emissions increasing due to business growth. In 2024, we plan to submit a commitment letter to SBTi and publish our commitment to either short-term science-based targets or a long-term net zero target on SBTi's website.

#### (7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

No

[Add row]

### (7.53.2) Provide details of your emissions intensity targets and progress made against those targets.

#### Row 1

#### (7.53.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Int 1

#### (7.53.2.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

Yes, we consider this a science-based target, and we have committed to seek validation of this target by the Science Based Targets initiative in the next two years

#### (7.53.2.4) Target ambition

Select from:

Well-below 2°C aligned

### (7.53.2.5) Date target was set

12/30/2023

### (7.53.2.6) Target coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

### (7.53.2.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

Carbon dioxide (CO2)

### (7.53.2.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

Scope 3

### (7.53.2.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

Category 11: Use of sold products

### (7.53.2.11) Intensity metric

Select from:

Metric tons CO2e per unit revenue

### (7.53.2.12) End date of base year

12/30/2023



**(7.53.2.25) Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)**

0.29

**(7.53.2.32) Intensity figure in base year for total Scope 3 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)**

0.2900000000

**(7.53.2.33) Intensity figure in base year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)**

0.2900000000

**(7.53.2.46) % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products covered by this Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products intensity figure**

71

**(7.53.2.53) % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories) covered by this total Scope 3 intensity figure**

58

**(7.53.2.54) % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes covered by this intensity figure**

71

**(7.53.2.55) End date of target**

12/30/2030

**(7.53.2.56) Targeted reduction from base year (%)**

50

**(7.53.2.57) Intensity figure at end date of target for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)**

0.1450000000

**(7.53.2.59) % change anticipated in absolute Scope 3 emissions**

10

**(7.53.2.72) Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)**

0.29

**(7.53.2.79) Intensity figure in reporting year for total Scope 3 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)**

0.2900000000

**(7.53.2.80) Intensity figure in reporting year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)**

0.2900000000

**(7.53.2.81) Land-related emissions covered by target**

Select from:

No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

**(7.53.2.82) % of target achieved relative to base year**

0.00

**(7.53.2.83) Target status in reporting year**

Select from:

New

### **(7.53.2.85) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions**

*As Teknosa, category 1 and category 11 emissions account for 99% of all our scope 3 emissions by 2023. In line with SBTi requirements, we have set two different targets with a multi-target approach to cover 67% of all our scope 3 emissions. These targets are supplier engagement targets for category 1 emissions and economic intensity targets for category 11 emissions. Details of the supplier engagement target are available in question 7.54.2. By 2023, we set an economic intensity target for our category 11 emissions, which account for 82% of our total scope 3 emissions. This target is to reduce our category 11 emissions by 52% per gross profit by 2030. To meet the threshold required by the multi-target approach, our economic intensity target must cover at least 71% of our category 11 emissions. Accordingly, our economic intensity target covers 71% of our category 11 emissions. In addition, our economic intensity target covers 58% of our total scope 3 emissions. We calculated this as follows. The ratio of our category 11 emissions to all base year scope 3 emissions:  $\frac{1461479}{5044518} \times 100 = 29\%$  Our category 11 emissions covered by the target:  $\frac{1461479}{5044518} \times 100 \times 71 = 20.5\%$  Base year economic intensity data is 0.29 tCO<sub>2</sub>e/TL. In 2023, our gross profit is 5044518 TL and our category 11 emissions are 1461479 tCO<sub>2</sub>e. (1461479 tCO<sub>2</sub>e/5044518 TL) We do not have a projection for how our total scope 3 emissions will change in the following year, but we do have a projection for how our category 11 emissions will change. In 2024, we project that our category 11 emissions will be 1602311 tCO<sub>2</sub>e. In 2023, our category 11 emissions were 1461479 tCO<sub>2</sub>e. Therefore, in the column “% change anticipated in absolute Scope 3 emissions” we have marked 10%.  $\frac{1602311 - 1461479}{1461479} \times 100 = 9.6\%$*

### **(7.53.2.86) Target objective**

*In line with the Sabancı Holding's “Net Zero Emission” target, we are committed to being net zero in all our operations by 2050. As Teknosa, we have started the process of setting targets in line with SBTi in this direction. This process will form the basis of our future emission reduction efforts. In 2024, we will submit a commitment letter to SBTi and publish our commitment to short-term science-based targets or long-term net zero target on SBTi's website. By 2023, our scope 3 emissions account for 99% of our total emissions. Our category 1 and category 11 emissions account for 99% of our scope 3 emissions. In order to reduce our scope 3 emissions, we have set two different targets with a multi-target approach to cover 67% of all our scope 3 emissions. These targets are supplier engagement targets for category 1 emissions and economic intensity targets for category 11 emissions. Details of the supplier engagement target are available in question 7.54.2. By 2023, we set an economic intensity target for our category 11 emissions, which account for 82% of our total scope 3 emissions. This target is to reduce our category 11 emissions by 52% per gross profit by 2030.*

### **(7.53.2.87) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year**

*As an important part of our sustainability strategy, we aim to reduce our category 11 emissions per gross profit (from the use of products sold) by 52% by 2030. To achieve this goal, we plan to work with suppliers with low carbon footprints and adopt low-carbon alternatives in our product and service procurement process in order to minimize the environmental impact of the goods and services we purchase. We also aim to increase the number of low-carbon products by renewing our product portfolio and encourage the preference of these products. We aim to use product labels to help our customers make informed choices by providing them with more information on this issue, and in addition, we aim to change their purchasing decisions in favor of low-carbon products by communicating directly with them in our stores. We aim to promote sustainable consumption habits by marketing products with minimized environmental impacts. In 2023, we increased the number of environmentally friendly products and services by 22% compared to 2022 to 207, generating TL 1.6 billion in revenue from these products.*

### **(7.53.2.88) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach**

Select from:

No

[Add row]

## (7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

Net-zero targets

Other climate-related targets

### (7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.

#### Row 1

##### (7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Oth 1

##### (7.54.2.2) Date target was set

12/30/2022

##### (7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

##### (7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

Absolute

##### (7.54.2.5) Target type: category & Metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

## Renewable fuel consumption

Other renewable fuel consumption, please specify :The percentage of renewable electricity in the total electricity consumption

### (7.54.2.7) End date of base year

12/30/2021

### (7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year

0

### (7.54.2.9) End date of target

12/30/2030

### (7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target

100

### (7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year

43.3

### (7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year

43.3000000000

### (7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

### (7.54.2.15) Is this target part of an emissions target?

As part of our plan to expand our existing renewable energy projects and move to a more sustainable energy consumption model by making new investments, we aim to use 80% renewable electricity by 2025 and 100% by 2030. Teknosa's shift in energy consumption towards renewable energy sources allows it to reduce its use of fossil fuels. Thus, it also contributes to the target of 42% reduction in Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 2030, as explained in 7.53.1.

#### (7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :It is part of %42 absolute emission reduction in Scope 1 and Scope 2 by 2030 target.

#### (7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

As part of our efforts to combat climate change, we aim to use 80% renewable electricity by 2025 and 100% renewable electricity by 2030. With the Renewable Energy Supply Certificate (I-REC), we provide all of the energy consumption of our logistics center and 75 stores from renewable energy sources, and there is no exclusion within the scope of the goal of increasing the renewable electricity consumption rate. Also, it is a part of our %42 absolute emission reduction in Scope 1 and Scope 2 by 2030 target, as explained in question 7.53.1.

#### (7.54.2.19) Target objective

The focus of our sustainability strategy is to build a more livable and sustainable future by minimizing our environmental impact. We are moving forward with determination in the combatting climate change. This year, we procured 9,108 MWh of renewable energy I-REC. We also electrified the forklifts used in our logistics center to reduce fossil fuel consumption, and we have generated 167.42 MWh and we have used 142.07 MWh of it in our processes. We plan to reduce our Scope 1 and 2 emissions through optimized energy systems and renewable electricity (I-REC) purchases.

#### (7.54.2.20) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

To transition to renewable energy sources, we are evaluating options such as obtaining renewable energy certificates (I-RECs), signing Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs), realizing on-site and off-site renewable energy installations and generating our own energy. In this year, we procured 9,108 MWh of renewable energy I-REC and we have generated 167.42 MWh and we have used 142.07 MWh of it in our processes. Thus, we realized 43.3% of our electricity consumption with renewable electricity consumption.

### Row 2

#### (7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Oth 2

### (7.54.2.2) Date target was set

12/30/2022

### (7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

Site/facility

### (7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

Absolute

### (7.54.2.5) Target type: category & Metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

**Resource consumption or efficiency**

Other resource consumption or efficiency, please specify :Water consumption reduction

### (7.54.2.7) End date of base year

12/30/2022

### (7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year

10909

### (7.54.2.9) End date of target

12/30/2030

### (7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target

7637

#### (7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year

7772

#### (7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year

95.8740831296

#### (7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

#### (7.54.2.15) Is this target part of an emissions target?

*It is not a part of emission target. However, this target is a part of our sustainability strategy which is "The Future is Great at Teknosa".*

#### (7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

No, it's not part of an overarching initiative

#### (7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*We aim to reduce the water consumption of the Head Office and Logistics Center by 30% in 2030 compared to the base year of 2022.*

#### (7.54.2.19) Target objective

*The focus of our sustainability strategy is to build a more livable and sustainable future by minimizing our environmental impact. As a part of our sustainability strategy, we have started our work to improve our performance regarding water. As Teknosa, we aim to reduce our water consumption. In this context, we aim to reduce the water consumption of the Head Office and Logistics Center by 30% in 2030 compared to the base year of 2022.*

#### (7.54.2.20) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year



*In parallel with our water consumption reduction targets, we identify areas for improvement and implement efficiency projects. We use water only for individual daily consumption and discharge all wastewater generated in this context into the sewage system. We monitor water use in our stores and warehouses with meters and monitor our water consumption on a monthly basis.*

### Row 3

#### (7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Oth 3

#### (7.54.2.2) Date target was set

12/30/2023

#### (7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

Suppliers

#### (7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

Intensity

#### (7.54.2.5) Target type: category & Metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

##### Engagement with suppliers

Percentage of suppliers (by emissions) with a science-based target

#### (7.54.2.6) Target denominator (intensity targets only)

Select from:

Other, please specify :Percentage of suppliers

#### (7.54.2.7) End date of base year

12/30/2023

#### (7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year

44

#### (7.54.2.9) End date of target

12/30/2030

#### (7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target

50

#### (7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year

44

#### (7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year

0.0000000000

#### (7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

New

#### (7.54.2.15) Is this target part of an emissions target?

*As Teknosa, category 1 and category 11 emissions account for 99% of all our scope 3 emissions by 2023. In line with SBTi requirements, we have set two different targets with a multi-target approach to cover 67% of all our scope 3 emissions. These targets are supplier engagement targets for category 1 emissions and economic intensity targets for category 11 emissions. Therefore, the supplier engagement target is one part of the targets set with the multi-target approach, and one part of our net-zero emissions target.*

### (7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :It is a part of multi-target for Scope 3 emissions It is a part of our net-zero target

### (7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*As Teknosa, category 1 and category 11 emissions account for 99% of all our scope 3 emissions by 2023. In line with SBTi requirements, we have set two different targets with a multi-target approach to cover 67% of all our scope 3 emissions. These targets are supplier engagement targets for category 1 emissions and economic intensity targets for category 11 emissions. The details of the economic intensity target are available in question 7.53.2. We have set a supplier engagement target for our category 1 emissions, which account for 17% of our total scope 3 emissions in 2023. This target is; 50% of Teknosa suppliers by emissions covering category 1 purchased goods and services will have an SBTi target. By 2023, Teknosa's top 25 suppliers cause 90% of category 1 emissions. 70% of these suppliers have emission reduction targets (SBTi and non-SBTi). Teknosa's 2023 category 1 emission amount is 300946 tCO<sub>2</sub>e. Based on this emission amount, 44% of the suppliers causing these emissions have SBTi targets, 19% have emission reduction targets but are not SBTi, and 37% do not have emission reduction targets. If Teknosa achieves the target of 50% of Teknosa suppliers by emissions covering category 1 purchased goods and services will have an SBTi target, it will cover 8% of all scope 3 emissions in the base year.*

### (7.54.2.19) Target objective

*In line with the Sabancı Holding's "Net Zero Emission" target, we are committed to being net zero in all our operations by 2050. As Teknosa, we have started the process of setting targets in line with SBTi in this direction. This process will form the basis of our future emission reduction efforts. In 2024, we will submit a commitment letter to SBTi and publish our commitment to short-term science-based targets or long-term net zero target on SBTi's website. By 2023, our scope 3 emissions account for 99% of our total emissions. Our category 1 and category 11 emissions account for 99% of our scope 3 emissions. In order to reduce our scope 3 emissions, we have set two different targets with a multi-target approach to cover 67% of all our scope 3 emissions. These targets are supplier engagement targets for category 1 emissions and economic intensity targets for category 11 emissions. Details of economic intensity target are available in question 7.53.2. By 2023, we set an supplier engagement target for our category 1 emissions, which account for %17 of our total scope 3 emissions. This target is; 50% of Teknosa suppliers by emissions covering category 1 purchased goods and services will have an SBTi target.*

### (7.54.2.20) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

*As Teknosa, we aim for 50% of our suppliers that cause our category 1 emissions to have SBTi targets by 2030. In order to achieve this goal, we plan to work closely with our key suppliers to encourage them to make the necessary improvements to reduce their emissions, clearly communicate our decarbonization expectations to our suppliers and motivate them to set and implement their own sustainability goals. We also aim to optimize our supplier base by transitioning to suppliers with lower emissions and who have adopted SBTi targets. In 2023, we successfully completed our "Integration of Sustainability into our Supply Processes" project, which aims to ensure that many of our efforts such as decarbonization and circularity are compatible with our procurement processes. With the feedback we received from the workshops, we evaluated the current situation of our suppliers and identified suppliers with whom we will establish sustainability dialogue or influence in parallel with our decarbonization strategy according to many parameters. With this project, we have carried out an advanced level analysis for our strategy to reduce scope 3 emissions, which constitute a large part of our emission profile in our 2050 net-zero emission journey.*

[Add row]

### (7.54.3) Provide details of your net-zero target(s).

#### Row 1

##### (7.54.3.1) Target reference number

Select from:

NZ1

##### (7.54.3.2) Date target was set

12/30/2021

##### (7.54.3.3) Target Coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

##### (7.54.3.4) Targets linked to this net zero target

Select all that apply

Abs1

##### (7.54.3.5) End date of target for achieving net zero

12/30/2050

##### (7.54.3.6) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

Yes, we consider this a science-based target, and we have committed to seek validation of this target by the Science Based Targets initiative in the next two years

### (7.54.3.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2
- Scope 3

### (7.54.3.9) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- Carbon dioxide (CO2)

### (7.54.3.10) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*As Teknosa, we have started the process of setting targets in line with SBTi as part of our sustainability strategy. This process will form the basis of our future emission reduction efforts. In 2024, we will submit a commitment letter to SBTi and publish our commitment to short-term science-based targets or long-term net zero target on SBTi's website. During the target development phase, we selected the base year, target year and timeframe. We calculated our Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions and set our emission reduction targets according to the most appropriate SBTi methodology. We plan to include all our business unit activities in Scope 3 using the base years 2021 for Scopes 1 and 2 and 2023 for Scope 3.*

### (7.54.3.11) Target objective

*At Teknosa, we believe that data-driven approaches to combat climate change play a critical role in moving our sustainability goals forward. Therefore, in line with the Sabancı Group's "Net Zero Emissions" goal, we are committed to becoming net zero in all our operations by 2050, measure our greenhouse gas emissions in accordance with the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, and develop data-based strategies for a greener future. To contribute to global climate goals, we have set a greenhouse gas reduction target in line with science-based targets. By 2030, we aim to achieve a 42% reduction in our absolute Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions compared to 2021 levels.*

### (7.54.3.12) Do you intend to neutralize any residual emissions with permanent carbon removals at the end of the target?

Select from:

- Yes

### (7.54.3.13) Do you plan to mitigate emissions beyond your value chain?

Select from:

- Yes, and we have already acted on this in the reporting year

#### **(7.54.3.14) Do you intend to purchase and cancel carbon credits for neutralization and/or beyond value chain mitigation?**

Select all that apply

- Yes, we plan to purchase and cancel carbon credits for beyond value chain mitigation
- Yes, we plan to purchase and cancel carbon credits for neutralization at the end of the target

#### **(7.54.3.15) Planned milestones and/or near-term investments for neutralization at the end of the target**

*Achieving net zero emissions by 2050 is a core part of our long-term sustainability strategy. In line with this target, we have planned short-, medium-, and long-term steps towards carbon neutralization. Firstly, in 2024, we will submit our commitment letter to the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi), formally announcing our short-term emission reduction targets. In the short term, we will continue to invest in enhancing operational energy efficiency and transitioning to renewable energy sources. By 2025, we aim to significantly reduce our Scope 1 and 2 emissions, while also investing in projects to lower Scope 3 emissions within our supply chain. In the medium term, we will focus on carbon offsetting projects and direct impact initiatives, such as sustainable forestry projects. In the long term, we are committed to reaching net zero emissions across all operations by 2050. To achieve this, we will continue expanding our renewable energy investments and accelerating our carbon reduction projects. Additionally, we will regularly review and update our emission reduction targets based on science-based methodologies.*

#### **(7.54.3.16) Describe the actions to mitigate emissions beyond your value chain**

*We have successfully completed our “Integration of Sustainability into our Procurement Processes” project, which we conducted with validation workshops in the past period and aimed to ensure that many of our activities such as decarbonization and circularity are compatible with our procurement processes. A wide range of stakeholders participated in our workshops. Thanks to this diversity, we received enriched feedback from different perspectives. Prior to the workshop, we provided our participants with materials that included our current sustainability metrics, the challenges we face and our opportunities for the future. With the feedback we received from the workshop, we assessed the current status of our suppliers and identified suppliers with whom we will establish a sustainability dialogue or influence in line with our decarbonization strategy based on many parameters. With this project, we have conducted an advanced analysis for our strategy to reduce scope 3 emissions, which constitute a large part of our emission profile in our 2050 net-zero emission journey. In addition, our project contributed to aligning our sustainability strategy not only with our financial targets, but also with our social and environmental responsibilities. We will continue to pursue our sustainability goals with determination by continuing such projects in the future. Also, we aim to promote sustainable consumption habits by marketing products with minimized environmental and social impacts. In this context, we aim to increase the number of sustainable products to 385 by 2030. This year, we increased the number of environmentally sensitive products and services by 22% compared to 2022 to 207. In addition, we donated 6953 saplings in cooperation with the Aegean Forest Foundation on behalf of our customers who bring e-waste to our stores. We take the opinions of our customers through e-waste awareness surveys and increase social awareness by sharing information. The 177 white goods and 25 air conditioners with high energy efficiency that we offer to our customers in all our sales channels contribute to reducing carbon footprint by reducing energy consumption. We encourage our customers' responsible consumption by offering discounts of up to TL 350 on A Energy Class White Goods and up to 25% on Screen Protection and TeknoGarantee Services.*

#### **(7.54.3.17) Target status in reporting year**

Select from:

Underway

### (7.54.3.19) Process for reviewing target

As Teknosa, we strengthen our sustainability strategy by setting targets in line with SBTi. These targets are an important step towards reducing our carbon footprint and will be detailed in our sustainability reports. Our investments in energy systems optimization, renewable energy use and collaborations with our suppliers will play a critical role in achieving these targets.

[Add row]

**(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.**

Select from:

Yes

**(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.**

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e (only for rows marked *)
Under investigation	0	`Numeric input
To be implemented	1	5.44
Implementation commenced	0	0
Implemented	2	1154.47
Not to be implemented	0	`Numeric input

[Fixed row]

**(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.**

**Row 1**

**(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type**

**Energy efficiency in buildings**

Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

**(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)**

962.88

**(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur**

*Select all that apply*

Scope 1

Scope 2 (location-based)

**(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory**

*Select from:*

Voluntary

**(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)**

9870098

**(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)**

23600000000

**(7.55.2.7) Payback period**



Select from:

4-10 years

### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

11-15 years

### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*In 2023, we moved to the third phase of automation projects with our high energy consuming stores to ensure energy management, which we started in our stores, and focused on our lower energy consuming stores. To this end, we automatically monitored, controlled and managed energy consumption in heating, cooling, lighting and other energy consuming systems using smart sensors and software in our stores to increase energy efficiency and optimize energy consumption. In 2023, thanks to the automation project, we saved 962.88 tCO2e in emissions and achieved financial savings of 9870098 TL. We will invest 26.3 billion TL in the automation project until 2027.*

## Row 2

### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

**Energy efficiency in buildings**

Lighting

### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

191.56

### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 2 (location-based)

### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

### (7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

2011958

### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

2000000

### (7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

4-10 years

### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

11-15 years

### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*Another important part of our energy efficiency strategy is LED lighting transformation. In this context, we have realized LED lighting transformation in 176 stores. We aim to complete the conversion of all stores to LED lighting by 2024. In 2023, thanks to the LED lighting transformation project, we achieved 191.56 tCO2e emission savings and financial savings of TL 2011958. We will invest TL 2 million in the LED lighting transformation project in 2025.*

[Add row]

## (7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

### Row 1

#### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

Employee engagement

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*In 2021, a Sustainability Unit was established for the comprehensive management of sustainability processes. The performance of the employees of the unit is determined by their studies on sustainability. The unit takes part in determining sustainability targets, following the progress in the targets, developing strategies on the subject, carrying out awareness studies, establishing relevant collaborations, following climate-related trends and complying with regulations, identifying risks and opportunities and taking necessary actions.*

## Row 2

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

Internal incentives/recognition programs

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*As explained in detail in C4.5.1, the development of emission-reducing activities within the company is encouraged by defining KPIs and targets related to emission reduction for senior management. Among the CEO's targets is the reduction of Scope 1-2 emissions. These KPIs have an impact on the annual bonuses of senior management, thus providing incentives.*

[Add row]

## (7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

Yes

### (7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.

## Row 1

### (7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:

Product or service

#### (7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:

Other, please specify :The EU Labelling

#### (7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Other

Other, please specify :Air Conditioner and White Appliances

#### (7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

*Teknosa offers 177 white appliances and 25 air conditioners with high energy efficiency, classified as A and A based on the Energy Star Label Tool to its customers in all sales channels contribute to reducing carbon footprint by reducing energy consumption. Responsible consumption is encouraged by offering discounts of up to TL 350 on A Energy Class White Goods and up to 25% on Screen Protection and TeknoGarantee Services.*

#### (7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

No

#### (7.74.1.13) Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year

3

[Add row]

#### (7.79) Has your organization canceled any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

No

## C9. Environmental performance - Water security

### (9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

No

### (9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

#### Water withdrawals – total volumes

##### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

100%

##### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

##### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*Teknosa mainly uses direct monitoring methods to measure water aspects. In operational areas such as the Head Office, logistics centers, and stores, water consumption from the city network is regularly monitored through meters. In communal areas (e.g. in the Head Office building shared with CarrefourSA), water consumption is calculated by allocating a certain percentage (30% for Teknosa).*

##### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Teknosa's water withdrawals and discharges are monthly reports, payment invoices and assumptions based on TurkStat data. Water consumption includes the amount of water that is not discharged directly into the product or through evaporation/leakage. Total water withdrawal is calculated per square meter and adjusted for all stores. The water used in Teknosa's locations, such as the Head Office, Logistics Center, stores, is supplied from the city network and monitored through invoice/meter data. In the Head Office building, water expenses for common areas are shared by 30% with CarrefourSA. Total invoice costs are taken as basis for the*

points that are not invoiced or for which the hardcopy document cannot be accessed. In all operations, water consumption is monitored through e-invoices and the accounting unit, while in logistics centers, this process is carried out by the administrative affairs unit.

## Water withdrawals – volumes by source

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

All operational areas (Head Office, logistics centers, stores ) use water supplied from the city network. The amount of water is directly measured and monitored through invoice records. Water withdrawal amounts at the Head Office, logistics centers and stores are measured and regularly reported through water meters. Teknosa uses the following formula to calculate:  $\text{Water withdrawal (m}^3\text{)} = \text{Water Withdrawal by Source} - \text{Total Discharged Water Amount}$ .

### (9.2.4) Please explain

The amount of water is directly measured and monitored through invoice records. Water withdrawal amounts at the Head Office, logistics centers and stores are measured and regularly reported through water meters. In the areas shared with CarrefourSA in the Head Office building, 30% of the water consumption is allocated to Teknosa and calculations are made based on this amount. Apart from this, in places such as stores where invoice documents are not available, water withdrawal is estimated based on total invoice amounts.

## Water withdrawals quality

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not relevant

### (9.2.4) Please explain

For "Water Withdrawals Quality: It indicates that the quality of the water being withdrawn (such as its purity, contamination levels, or chemical composition) is not a material issue for the organization. In this case, the focus is on the quantity of water being withdrawn rather than its quality, suggesting that water from the source meets the necessary standards for the company's operational needs without requiring significant treatment or concern regarding its quality. Therefore, quality assessments are not deemed relevant.

## Water discharges – total volumes

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

At Teknosa, water discharge is calculated using both direct monitoring and estimations. For the headquarters, shared water use in common areas is divided monthly and attributed to the 3rd and 4th floors. At logistics centers, 95% of water withdrawals are assumed to be discharged as wastewater. For stores annual water use is evenly divided across 12 months. This approach combines metered data, water bills, and shared facility estimations for accurate tracking.

### (9.2.4) Please explain

Teknosa's total amount of water discharge is calculated based on the water usage of operational units. The wastewater rate is assumed to be 95%, which means that the majority of the water withdrawn is discharged as wastewater. For the Headquarters building, the wastewater generated in common areas was allocated and calculated on a monthly basis. At Logistics Centers, 95% of water withdrawal is discharged as wastewater. Annual wastewater amounts for Stores are calculated by distributing them equally every month. With this method, the water discharge amounts of all operational units are monitored in a reliable and sustainable manner.

## Water discharges – volumes by destination

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

100%

## (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

## (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*The method of measurement for water discharges involves a combination of direct monitoring and estimation: Headquarters: Water discharge is measured using submeters for the 3rd and 4th floors, with shared area usage calculated based on proportional occupancy. Logistics Centers: Water withdrawals are measured directly, and 95% of this volume is assumed to be discharged. Stores & Regional Offices: Discharge is estimated based on annual water bills, divided evenly over 12 months.*

## (9.2.4) Please explain

*Water discharge volumes are tracked based on destinations: Headquarters: Water used in shared areas is discharged to municipal wastewater treatment, with usage divided across months. Logistics Centers: 95% of water withdrawals are assumed to be discharged as wastewater, directed to municipal sewage. Stores & Regional Offices: Water discharge is calculated annually, divided across 12 months, and sent to local wastewater systems.*

## Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not relevant

## (9.2.4) Please explain

*For "Water Discharges – Volumes by Treatment Method": this means that the organization does not distinguish between different water treatment methods when reporting water discharge volumes. The company may rely on municipal or third-party wastewater systems where treatment is handled outside of its operations. As a result, the organization does not directly track or report discharge volumes based on specific treatment methods.*

## Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:



100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*Water discharge quality is assessed using standard effluent parameters such as Chemical Oxygen Demand (KOI), Suspended Solids (AKM), and Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOI) to evaluate pollution load. Pollution Load (kg/day) = Flow rate of treated water (L) × (C<sub>MAX</sub> - C<sub>NAT</sub>) Where C<sub>MAX</sub> represents the maximum concentration of the pollutant after treatment, and C<sub>NAT</sub> indicates the natural concentration of the pollutant in the receiving water body. The flow rate, volume of treated water being discharged.*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Water discharge quality for Teknosa is assessed through standard effluent parameters, including Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), Suspended Solids (SS), and Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD). These parameters help determine the pollution load and environmental impact of discharged water. The measurement methodology involves calculating pollution loads based on the maximum allowable concentrations (C<sub>MAX</sub>) of pollutants post-treatment compared to their natural concentrations (C<sub>NAT</sub>) in receiving water bodies. As a data source, the standards for discharging domestic wastewater into receiving environments are also utilized. By monitoring these parameters, Teknosa ensures compliance with environmental regulations and minimizes its ecological footprint.*

## Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not relevant

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*For “Water Discharge Quality - Emissions to Water (Nitrates, Phosphates, Pesticides and/or Other Priority Substances)”: this indicates that Teknosa does not release significant amounts of harmful substances such as nitrates, phosphates or pesticides to water within the scope of its activities and the sector it serves, or that such substances are not a concern in its operations. Therefore, the company does not track or report these emissions.*

## Water discharge quality – temperature

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not relevant

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*For "Water Discharge Quality – Temperature": this means that the organization does not discharge water at temperatures that could affect ecosystems or fall under regulatory monitoring. The temperature of discharged water is not a concern in their operations, so it is not measured or reported.*

## Water consumption – total volume

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*Within the scope of water consumption measurement methodology, losses in water consumption due to reasons such as evaporation without discharge, loss-leakage and leakages are considered. Head Office The common area water consumption calculated annually was allocated every month as the water used by Teknosa on the 3rd and 4th floors. Logistics Centers: It is assumed that 5% of the water withdrawal is used for hygiene and sanitation or does not return to the discharge due to evaporation and losses*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*Water consumption is calculated by taking into account the volume of water used across different facilities, such as headquarters, logistics centers, stores, and regional offices. A portion of the total water withdrawn is assumed to be lost due to evaporation, leakage, or other inefficiencies during usage, such as cleaning or sanitation. Specifically, it is estimated that 5% of the water consumed in logistics centers, stores, and regional offices is not discharged but instead used for hygienic purposes or lost through evaporation. For the headquarters, water consumption is calculated based on the shared water usage in common areas, and is*

proportionally allocated to the floors where Teknosa operates. This measurement approach combines direct monitoring with the extrapolation of water usage from bills and operational data.

## Water recycled/reused

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not relevant

### (9.2.4) Please explain

*There is no practice or process for recycling or reusing water in our current operations and activities. Therefore, no data or measurement of water recycling or reuse is provided.*

## The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

### (9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

100%

### (9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

### (9.2.3) Method of measurement

*Direct Observation: Teknosa conducts direct observations of WASH facilities to evaluate their functionality, cleanliness, and accessibility. This involves checking the conditions of toilets, handwashing stations, and water sources to ensure they meet safety and hygiene standards. Usage Data Collection: Data on the usage rates of by workers is collected to understand accessibility and effectiveness*

### (9.2.4) Please explain

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH (Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene) services to all workers is critical to ensuring a safe and healthy work environment. It involves guaranteeing access to clean and potable drinking water, adequate sanitation facilities such as toilets, and proper hygiene measures like handwashing stations. Ensuring these services are safely managed means they must be maintained regularly, meet health and safety standards, and be accessible to all workers without discrimination. This is essential for promoting employee well-being, productivity, and preventing the spread of disease, while also contributing to the organization's compliance with health and safety regulations.

[Fixed row]

**(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?**

### **Total withdrawals**

#### **(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)**

23.85

#### **(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year**

Select from:

Lower

#### **(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year**

Select from:

Other, please specify :Monitoring the amount of water withdrawal and withdrawn from the network and requesting verification

#### **(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast**

Select from:

Unknown

#### **(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast**

Select from:

Unknown

### (9.2.2.6) Please explain

*In 2023, we conducted a materiality study by including our stakeholder groups such as senior management, employees, customers, Sabancı Holding and group companies, İklimsa authorized service centers and dealers, investors and shareholders, universities, public and accreditation bodies, non-governmental organizations and associations, suppliers and the media. As a result of this study, to which we received responses from a total of 689 stakeholders, we identified our material issues, but water-related issues were not among the issues prioritized by our stakeholders. Despite not being among our priorities, we have started our work on water. In the coming periods, we plan to increase our activities and efforts to improve our performance in this area.*

## Total discharges

### (9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

23.85

### (9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

### (9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Other, please specify :Monitoring the amount of water discharged and withdrawn from the network and requesting verification

### (9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

Unknown

### (9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

Unknown

### (9.2.2.6) Please explain

*In 2023, we conducted a materiality study by including our stakeholder groups such as senior management, employees, customers, Sabancı Holding and group companies, İklimsa authorized service centers and dealers, investors and shareholders, universities, public and accreditation bodies, non-governmental organizations and associations, suppliers and the media. As a result of this study, to which we received responses from a total of 689 stakeholders, we identified our material issues, but water-related issues were not among the issues prioritized by our stakeholders. Despite not being among our priorities, we have started our work on water. In the coming periods, we plan to increase our activities and efforts to improve our performance in this area.*

## Total consumption

### (9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

1.73

### (9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

### (9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Other, please specify :Monitoring the amount of water consumed and withdrawn from the network and requesting verification

### (9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

Unknown

### (9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

Unknown

### (9.2.2.6) Please explain

*In 2023, we conducted a materiality study by including our stakeholder groups such as senior management, employees, customers, Sabancı Holding and group companies, İklimsa authorized service centers and dealers, investors and shareholders, universities, public and accreditation bodies, non-governmental organizations and associations, suppliers and the media. As a result of this study, to which we received responses from a total of 689 stakeholders, we identified our material issues, but water-related issues were not among the issues prioritized by our stakeholders. Despite not being among our priorities, we have started our work on water. In the coming periods, we plan to increase our activities and efforts to improve our performance in this area.*

*[Fixed row]*

#### **(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.**

##### **(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress**

Select from:

Unknown

##### **(9.2.4.9) Please explain**

*Since Teknosa operates in the retail sector, there is no intensive water consumption in production processes. Therefore, specific data on water withdrawal from water-stressed regions has not been collected and this issue is not an operational priority.*

*[Fixed row]*

#### **(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.**

##### **Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes**

##### **(9.2.7.1) Relevance**

Select from:

Not relevant

##### **(9.2.7.5) Please explain**

*Teknosa uses third-party sources for water consumption, in particular the city network. Therefore, withdrawals from fresh surface water such as rainwater, wetlands, rivers and lakes do not apply to the company's operations. Since Teknosa's water withdrawal data is provided through the city network, this type of direct water supply from natural sources regarding total water withdrawal sources is not used in the company's operations.*

## **Brackish surface water/Seawater**

### **(9.2.7.1) Relevance**

Select from:

Not relevant

### **(9.2.7.5) Please explain**

*Teknosa uses third-party sources for water consumption, in particular the city network. Therefore, withdrawals from Brackish surface water/Seawater do not apply to the company's operations. Since Teknosa's water withdrawal data is provided through the city network, this type of direct water supply from natural sources regarding total water withdrawal sources is not used in the company's operations.*

## **Groundwater – renewable**

### **(9.2.7.1) Relevance**

Select from:

Not relevant

### **(9.2.7.5) Please explain**

*Teknosa uses third-party sources for water consumption, in particular the city network. Therefore, withdrawals from Groundwater-renewable, do not apply to the company's operations. Since Teknosa's water withdrawal data is provided through the city network, this type of direct water supply from natural sources regarding total water withdrawal sources is not used in the company's operations.*

## **Groundwater – non-renewable**

### **(9.2.7.1) Relevance**

Select from:

Not relevant



### (9.2.7.5) Please explain

*Teknosa uses third-party sources for water consumption, in particular the city network. Therefore, withdrawals from Groundwater-non-renewable, do not apply to the company's operations. Since Teknosa's water withdrawal data is provided through the city network, this type of direct water supply from natural sources regarding total water withdrawal sources is not used in the company's operations.*

### Produced/Entrained water

#### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

### (9.2.7.5) Please explain

*Teknosa uses third-party sources for water consumption, in particular the city network. Therefore, withdrawals from Produced/Entrained water, do not apply to the company's operations. Since Teknosa's water withdrawal data is provided through the city network, this type of direct water supply from natural sources regarding total water withdrawal sources is not used in the company's operations.*

### Third party sources

#### (9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Relevant

#### (9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

23.85

#### (9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

#### (9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Monitoring the amount of water withdrawal and withdrawn from the network and requesting verification

#### (9.2.7.5) Please explain

*Teknosa's water withdrawals and discharges are monitored using inlet and outlet meters at facilities, monthly reports, payment invoices and assumptions based on TurkStat(TUIK)data. Water consumption includes the amount of water that is not discharged directly into the product or through evaporation/leakage. Total water withdrawal is calculated per square meter and adjusted for all stores.The water used in Teknosa's locations, such as the Head Office, Logistics Center, stores, is supplied from the city network and monitored through invoice/meter data. In the Head Office building, water expenses for common areas are shared by 30% with CarrefourSA. Total invoice costs are taken as basis for the points that are not invoiced or for which the hardcopy document cannot be accessed. In all operations, water consumption is monitored through e-invoices and the accounting unit, while in logistics centers, this process is carried out by the administrative affairs unit. [Fixed row]*

#### (9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

##### Fresh surface water

#### (9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

- Not relevant

#### (9.2.8.5) Please explain

*Since Teknosa's water discharges are managed by third party service providers, there is no direct discharge of water to fresh surface water. Discharges are connected to city infrastructure and these categories are not applicable to Teknosa's operations as there is no direct discharge to these water sources.*

##### Brackish surface water/seawater

#### (9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

- Not relevant

### (9.2.8.5) Please explain

*Since Teknosa's water discharges are managed by third party service providers, there is no direct discharge of water to Brackish surface water/seawater. Discharges are connected to city infrastructure and these categories are not applicable to Teknosa's operations as there is no direct discharge to these water sources.*

#### Groundwater

### (9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

### (9.2.8.5) Please explain

*Since Teknosa's water discharges are managed by third party service providers, there is no direct discharge of water to groundwater. Discharges are connected to city infrastructure and these categories are not applicable to Teknosa's operations as there is no direct discharge to these water sources.*

#### Third-party destinations

### (9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

Relevant

### (9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

23.85

### (9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

### (9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Other, please specify :Monitoring the amount of water discharged and withdrawn from the network and requesting verification

### (9.2.8.5) Please explain

*Teknosa's discharges are monitored through inlet and outlet meters in the facilities, monthly activity reports of the Ministry of Environment, Urbanization and Climate Change of the Republic of Turkey, payment invoices and assumptions based on the TurkStat (TÜİK). Teknosa's total water discharge amount is calculated based on the water usage of operational units. For the Head Office building, wastewater generated in common areas is separated and calculated monthly. At Logistics Centers, 95% of the water drawn is discharged as waste water. Annual wastewater amounts for Stores are calculated by distributing them equally every month. With this method, the water discharge amounts of all operational units are monitored in a reliable and sustainable manner.*

[Fixed row]

## (9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

### Direct operations

#### (9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

No, we have not assessed this value chain stage for facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities, but we are planning to do so in the next 2 years

#### (9.3.4) Please explain

*Since Teknosa's operations are not directly dependent on water resources and water use is limited in its operational activities, Teknosa has not conducted a detailed assessment of water-related dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities at the facility level so far. However, in line with the importance of water management and sustainability goals, it is planned to conduct these assessments in the next 2 years. This will be a step towards more comprehensive consideration of water-related issues both in direct operations and in the supply chain.*

### Upstream value chain

#### (9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

No, we have not assessed this value chain stage for facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities, but we are planning to do so in the next 2 years

#### (9.3.4) Please explain

*Since Teknosa's operations are not directly dependent on water resources and water use is limited in its operational activities, Teknosa has not conducted a detailed assessment of water-related dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities at the facility level so far. However, in line with the importance of water management and sustainability goals, it is planned to conduct these assessments in the next 2 years. This will be a step towards more comprehensive consideration of water-related issues both in direct operations and in the supply chain.*

[Fixed row]

#### (9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

	Revenue (currency)	Total water withdrawal efficiency	Anticipated forward trend
	47321591000	1984133794.55	As Teknosa, we have started our studies on water, but we do not yet have a projection for water withdrawal in the coming years.

[Fixed row]

#### (9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

##### (9.13.1) Products contain hazardous substances

Select from:

No

##### (9.13.2) Comment

*Teknosa is the most accessible technology retail chain of Turkey thanks to a broad network of stores, teknosa.com, and mobile platforms. Thanks to the sector we are in and our business lines, we do not have any products contain substances classified as hazardous by regulatory authority.*  
[Fixed row]

## **(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?**

### **(9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact**

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to address this within the next two years

### **(9.14.3) Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact**

Select from:

Judged to be unimportant, explanation provided

### **(9.14.4) Please explain**

*As a technology store chain operating in the retail sector, Teknosa's products and services have limited direct water consumption or impact on water. As the Company's core business model is based on the sale and distribution of electronic products, the production or use of its products does not have a significant impact on water resources. Therefore, Teknosa does not classify its existing products or services as having low water impact.*  
[Fixed row]

## **(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?**

Select from:

Yes

**(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.**

	Target set in this category	Please explain
Water pollution	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	<i>As Teknosa, we have started our work on water, but we do not yet have a target for water pollution.</i>
Water withdrawals	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	<i>As Teknosa, we have started our work on water, but we do not yet have a target for water withdrawals.</i>
Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	<i>As Teknosa, we have started our work on water, but we do not yet have a target for WASH services.</i>
Other	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<i>Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]</i>

[Fixed row]

## (9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

### Row 1

#### (9.15.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Target 1

#### (9.15.2.2) Target coverage

Select from:

Site/facility

### (9.15.2.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

#### Water consumption

Reduction in total water consumption

### (9.15.2.4) Date target was set

12/30/2022

### (9.15.2.5) End date of base year

12/30/2022

### (9.15.2.6) Base year figure

10909

### (9.15.2.7) End date of target year

12/30/2030

### (9.15.2.8) Target year figure

7637

### (9.15.2.9) Reporting year figure

7772

### (9.15.2.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

### (9.15.2.11) % of target achieved relative to base year



### (9.15.2.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Select all that apply

None, alignment not assessed

### (9.15.2.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*We aim to reduce the water consumption of the Head Office and Logistics Center by 30% in 2030 compared to the base year of 2022. In this year, we consumed 7772 m3 of water at the Head Office and Logistics Center.*

### (9.15.2.14) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

*In parallel with our water consumption reduction targets, we identify areas for improvement and implement efficiency projects. We use water only for individual daily consumption and discharge all wastewater generated in this context into the sewage system. We monitor water use in our stores and warehouses with meters and monitor our water consumption on a monthly basis. In this year, we consumed 7772 m3 of water at the Head Office and Logistics Center.*

### (9.15.2.16) Further details of target

*The focus of our sustainability strategy is to build a more livable and sustainable future by minimizing our environmental impact. As a part of our sustainability strategy, we have started our work to improve our performance regarding water. As Teknosa, we aim to reduce our water consumption. In this context, we aim to reduce the water consumption of the Head Office and Logistics Center by 30% in 2030 compared to the base year of 2022.*

[Add row]

## C10. Environmental performance - Plastics

### (10.1) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type?

#### (10.1.1) Targets in place

Select from:

Yes

#### (10.1.2) Target type and metric

##### Plastic packaging

Eliminate single-use plastic packaging

##### Plastic goods/products

Eliminate single-use plastic products

#### (10.1.3) Please explain

*Single Use Plastic: We signed the IPG (Business Plastics Initiative) Plastic Reduction Commitment. With this commitment, we took an important step in our plastic reduction journey by reducing plastic consumption at the Head Office to zero by 2023. We ensured that our employees only use bottles and cups made from recyclable materials, and we preferred environmentally friendly bamboo alternatives to disposable plastic forks, spoons and plates. In addition to our reduction target at the headquarters, we have a target to reduce the use of single-use plastics in stores. Compared to the 2021 base year, we aim to reduce our plastic use by 10% (311 kg) in the short term (2024) and 40% (1,244 kg) in the medium term (2025). However, we have started using 100% recycled plastic bags in our stores, which are 100% recyclable and have biodegradable raw materials. And we are trying to increase the use of cardboard bags in our stores.*

[Fixed row]

### (10.2) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities.

#### Production/commercialization of plastic polymers (including plastic converters)

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

### (10.2.2) Comment

*Although Teknosa does not engage directly in the production, commercialization, or usage of plastic components or packaging materials, we are committed to addressing the broader environmental impacts associated with plastics across our value chain. As a retail technology company, our primary role involves the sale and distribution of electronic goods, which may include plastic components as part of the packaging or the products themselves. However, the manufacturing processes and material decisions, including those related to plastics, are made by our suppliers and manufacturers. Given the growing global concern about plastic pollution and waste, we actively promote responsible consumption practices and are exploring initiatives to reduce packaging waste, including the use of more sustainable materials in our products. Additionally, our refurbished phone initiative, launched in 2022, aims to extend the lifecycle of electronics and reduce electronic waste, indirectly supporting the reduction of plastic waste in our industry. While we do not engage directly in activities related to plastics as outlined in the question, our environmental strategy includes efforts to collaborate with suppliers and partners to minimize plastic-related environmental impacts where possible.*

## Production/commercialization of durable plastic goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

### (10.2.2) Comment

*Although Teknosa does not engage directly in the production, commercialization, or usage of plastic components or packaging materials, we are committed to addressing the broader environmental impacts associated with plastics across our value chain. As a retail technology company, our primary role involves the sale and distribution of electronic goods, which may include plastic components as part of the packaging or the products themselves. However, the manufacturing processes and material decisions, including those related to plastics, are made by our suppliers and manufacturers. Given the growing global concern about plastic pollution and waste, we actively promote responsible consumption practices and are exploring initiatives to reduce packaging waste, including the use of more sustainable materials in our products. Additionally, our refurbished phone initiative, launched in 2022, aims to extend the lifecycle of electronics and reduce electronic waste, indirectly supporting the reduction of plastic waste in our industry. While we do not engage directly in activities related to plastics as outlined in the question, our environmental strategy includes efforts to collaborate with suppliers and partners to minimize plastic-related environmental impacts where possible.*

## Usage of durable plastics goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

### (10.2.2) Comment

*Although Teknosa does not engage directly in the production, commercialization, or usage of plastic components or packaging materials, we are committed to addressing the broader environmental impacts associated with plastics across our value chain. As a retail technology company, our primary role involves the sale and distribution of electronic goods, which may include plastic components as part of the packaging or the products themselves. However, the manufacturing processes and material decisions, including those related to plastics, are made by our suppliers and manufacturers. Given the growing global concern about plastic pollution and waste, we actively promote responsible consumption practices and are exploring initiatives to reduce packaging waste, including the use of more sustainable materials in our products. Additionally, our refurbished phone initiative, launched in 2022, aims to extend the lifecycle of electronics and reduce electronic waste, indirectly supporting the reduction of plastic waste in our industry. While we do not engage directly in activities related to plastics as outlined in the question, our environmental strategy includes efforts to collaborate with suppliers and partners to minimize plastic-related environmental impacts where possible.*

## Production/commercialization of plastic packaging

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

### (10.2.2) Comment

*Although Teknosa does not engage directly in the production, commercialization, or usage of plastic components or packaging materials, we are committed to addressing the broader environmental impacts associated with plastics across our value chain. As a retail technology company, our primary role involves the sale and distribution of electronic goods, which may include plastic components as part of the packaging or the products themselves. However, the manufacturing processes and material decisions, including those related to plastics, are made by our suppliers and manufacturers. Given the growing global concern about plastic pollution and waste, we actively promote responsible consumption practices and are exploring initiatives to reduce packaging waste, including the use of more sustainable materials in our products. Additionally, our refurbished phone initiative, launched in 2022, aims to extend the lifecycle of electronics and reduce electronic waste, indirectly supporting the reduction of plastic waste in our industry. While we do not engage directly in activities related to plastics as outlined in the question, our environmental strategy includes efforts to collaborate with suppliers and partners to minimize plastic-related environmental impacts where possible.*

## Production/commercialization of goods/products packaged in plastics

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

### (10.2.2) Comment

*Although Teknosa does not engage directly in the production, commercialization, or usage of plastic components or packaging materials, we are committed to addressing the broader environmental impacts associated with plastics across our value chain. As a retail technology company, our primary role involves the sale and distribution of electronic goods, which may include plastic components as part of the packaging or the products themselves. However, the manufacturing processes and material decisions, including those related to plastics, are made by our suppliers and manufacturers. Given the growing global concern about plastic pollution and waste, we actively promote responsible consumption practices and are exploring initiatives to reduce packaging waste, including the use of more sustainable materials in our products. Additionally, our refurbished phone initiative, launched in 2022, aims to extend the lifecycle of electronics and reduce electronic waste, indirectly supporting the reduction of plastic waste in our industry. While we do not engage directly in activities related to plastics as outlined in the question, our environmental strategy includes efforts to collaborate with suppliers and partners to minimize plastic-related environmental impacts where possible.*

## Provision/commercialization of services that use plastic packaging (e.g., food services)

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

### (10.2.2) Comment

*Although Teknosa does not engage directly in the production, commercialization, or usage of plastic components or packaging materials, we are committed to addressing the broader environmental impacts associated with plastics across our value chain. As a retail technology company, our primary role involves the sale and distribution of electronic goods, which may include plastic components as part of the packaging or the products themselves. However, the manufacturing processes and material decisions, including those related to plastics, are made by our suppliers and manufacturers. Given the growing global concern about plastic pollution and waste, we actively promote responsible consumption practices and are exploring initiatives to reduce packaging waste, including the use of more sustainable materials in our products. Additionally, our refurbished phone initiative, launched in 2022, aims to extend the lifecycle of electronics and reduce electronic waste, indirectly supporting the reduction of plastic waste in our industry. While we do not engage directly in activities related to plastics as outlined in the question, our environmental strategy includes efforts to collaborate with suppliers and partners to minimize plastic-related environmental impacts where possible.*

## Provision of waste management and/or water management services

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

### (10.2.2) Comment

*Although Teknosa does not engage directly in the production, commercialization, or usage of plastic components or packaging materials, we are committed to addressing the broader environmental impacts associated with plastics across our value chain. As a retail technology company, our primary role involves the sale and distribution of electronic goods, which may include plastic components as part of the packaging or the products themselves. However, the manufacturing processes and material decisions, including those related to plastics, are made by our suppliers and manufacturers. Given the growing global concern about plastic pollution and waste, we actively promote responsible consumption practices and are exploring initiatives to reduce packaging waste, including the use of more sustainable materials in our products. Additionally, our refurbished phone initiative, launched in 2022, aims to extend the lifecycle of electronics and reduce electronic waste, indirectly supporting the reduction of plastic waste in our industry. While we do not engage directly in activities related to plastics as outlined in the question, our environmental strategy includes efforts to collaborate with suppliers and partners to minimize plastic-related environmental impacts where possible.*

## Provision of financial products and/or services for plastics-related activities

### (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

### (10.2.2) Comment

*Although Teknosa does not engage directly in the production, commercialization, or usage of plastic components or packaging materials, we are committed to addressing the broader environmental impacts associated with plastics across our value chain. As a retail technology company, our primary role involves the sale and distribution of electronic goods, which may include plastic components as part of the packaging or the products themselves. However, the manufacturing processes and material decisions, including those related to plastics, are made by our suppliers and manufacturers. Given the growing global concern about plastic pollution and waste, we actively promote responsible consumption practices and are exploring initiatives to reduce packaging waste, including the use of more sustainable materials in our products. Additionally, our refurbished phone initiative, launched in 2022, aims to extend the lifecycle of electronics and reduce electronic waste, indirectly supporting the reduction of plastic waste in our industry. While we do not engage directly in activities related to plastics as outlined in the question, our environmental strategy includes efforts to collaborate with suppliers and partners to minimize plastic-related environmental impacts where possible.*

## Other activities not specified

## (10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

## (10.2.2) Comment

*Although Teknosa does not engage directly in the production, commercialization, or usage of plastic components or packaging materials, we are committed to addressing the broader environmental impacts associated with plastics across our value chain. As a retail technology company, our primary role involves the sale and distribution of electronic goods, which may include plastic components as part of the packaging or the products themselves. However, the manufacturing processes and material decisions, including those related to plastics, are made by our suppliers and manufacturers. Given the growing global concern about plastic pollution and waste, we actively promote responsible consumption practices and are exploring initiatives to reduce packaging waste, including the use of more sustainable materials in our products. Additionally, our refurbished phone initiative, launched in 2022, aims to extend the lifecycle of electronics and reduce electronic waste, indirectly supporting the reduction of plastic waste in our industry. While we do not engage directly in activities related to plastics as outlined in the question, our environmental strategy includes efforts to collaborate with suppliers and partners to minimize plastic-related environmental impacts where possible.*

[Fixed row]

## C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

### (11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

	<b>Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments</b>
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we are not taking any actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments, but we plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

### (11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	<b>Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?</b>
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not use indicators, but plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

### (11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

#### Legally protected areas



### (11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

No

### (11.4.2) Comment

*Teknosa does not operate in or near legally protected areas, UNESCO World Heritage sites, UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves, Ramsar sites, Key Biodiversity Areas, or any other areas recognized as important for biodiversity. Our operations are primarily located in urban and industrial zones, focusing on retail, warehousing, and service centers, which are situated away from ecologically sensitive or protected areas. Although our activities do not directly affect biodiversity hotspots, we recognize the broader importance of biodiversity conservation as part of our environmental responsibility. As part of our commitment to sustainable business practices, we actively promote the efficient use of resources and responsible waste management, including efforts to minimize our overall environmental footprint.*

## UNESCO World Heritage sites

### (11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

No

### (11.4.2) Comment

*Teknosa does not operate in or near legally protected areas, UNESCO World Heritage sites, UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves, Ramsar sites, Key Biodiversity Areas, or any other areas recognized as important for biodiversity. Our operations are primarily located in urban and industrial zones, focusing on retail, warehousing, and service centers, which are situated away from ecologically sensitive or protected areas. Although our activities do not directly affect biodiversity hotspots, we recognize the broader importance of biodiversity conservation as part of our environmental responsibility. As part of our commitment to sustainable business practices, we actively promote the efficient use of resources and responsible waste management, including efforts to minimize our overall environmental footprint.*

## UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves

### (11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

No

### (11.4.2) Comment

*Teknosa does not operate in or near legally protected areas, UNESCO World Heritage sites, UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves, Ramsar sites, Key Biodiversity Areas, or any other areas recognized as important for biodiversity. Our operations are primarily located in urban and industrial zones, focusing on retail, warehousing, and service centers, which are situated away from ecologically sensitive or protected areas. Although our activities do not directly affect biodiversity hotspots, we recognize the broader importance of biodiversity conservation as part of our environmental responsibility. As part of our commitment to sustainable business practices, we actively promote the efficient use of resources and responsible waste management, including efforts to minimize our overall environmental footprint.*

### Ramsar sites

### (11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

No

### (11.4.2) Comment

*Teknosa does not operate in or near legally protected areas, UNESCO World Heritage sites, UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves, Ramsar sites, Key Biodiversity Areas, or any other areas recognized as important for biodiversity. Our operations are primarily located in urban and industrial zones, focusing on retail, warehousing, and service centers, which are situated away from ecologically sensitive or protected areas. Although our activities do not directly affect biodiversity hotspots, we recognize the broader importance of biodiversity conservation as part of our environmental responsibility. As part of our commitment to sustainable business practices, we actively promote the efficient use of resources and responsible waste management, including efforts to minimize our overall environmental footprint.*

### Key Biodiversity Areas

### (11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

No

### (11.4.2) Comment

*Teknosa does not operate in or near legally protected areas, UNESCO World Heritage sites, UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves, Ramsar sites, Key Biodiversity Areas, or any other areas recognized as important for biodiversity. Our operations are primarily located in urban and industrial zones, focusing on retail, warehousing, and service centers, which are situated away from ecologically sensitive or protected areas. Although our activities do not directly affect biodiversity hotspots, we recognize the broader importance of biodiversity conservation as part of our environmental responsibility. As part of our commitment to sustainable business practices, we actively promote the efficient use of resources and responsible waste management, including efforts to minimize our overall environmental footprint.*

### Other areas important for biodiversity

### (11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

No

### (11.4.2) Comment

*Teknosa does not operate in or near legally protected areas, UNESCO World Heritage sites, UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves, Ramsar sites, Key Biodiversity Areas, or any other areas recognized as important for biodiversity. Our operations are primarily located in urban and industrial zones, focusing on retail, warehousing, and service centers, which are situated away from ecologically sensitive or protected areas. Although our activities do not directly affect biodiversity hotspots, we recognize the broader importance of biodiversity conservation as part of our environmental responsibility. As part of our commitment to sustainable business practices, we actively promote the efficient use of resources and responsible waste management, including efforts to minimize our overall environmental footprint.*

[Fixed row]

### C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

#### Row 1

##### (13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

Climate change

##### (13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

###### Environmental performance – Climate change

Base year emissions

Year on year change in absolute emissions (Scope 1 and 2)

### (13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

#### General standards

- ISAE 3000
- ISAE 3410, Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements

### (13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

*An independent external assurance audit was performed for the criteria selected in connection with non-financial sustainability performance. In the audit conducted within this framework, the relevant criteria were verified by PwC within the scope of “Standard on Assurance Engagements Other than Independent Audits or Review Engagements of Historical Financial Information” (ISAE 3000) and “Standard on Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Disclosures” (ISAE 3410). The following data is within the scope of the audit: Total Electricity Use\* (kWh) Total Natural Gas Utilization (m3 ) Total Renewable Energy Generated\*\* (kWh) Renewable Energy Purchased, I-REC (GJ) Purchased Renewable Energy, I-REC (MWh) Total Renewable Energy Consumed (MWh) Total plastic consumption (tons) Total waste (tons) Recycled waste (tons) Also, our 2021 and 2022 Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions are assured.*

### (13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

*Teknosa\_Limited Assurance Opinion\_2021\_ENG 1.pdf*

## Row 2

### (13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

*Select all that apply*

- Climate change

### (13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

#### General standards

- ISAE 3000
- ISAE 3410, Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements

### (13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

Data on water usage and discharges were reviewed by an independent external auditor. This review was conducted by PwC and aimed at ensuring the accuracy of water-related data and enhancing transparency in the reporting process in accordance with ISAE 3000 and ISAE 3410 standards. The process provides verification of total water volumes

### (13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

Teknosa 2023 SR ENG Limited Assurance Opinion-2023 Final.pdf

## Row 3

### (13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Plastics

### (13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

#### Environmental performance – Plastics

- Waste generated

### (13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

#### General standards

- ISAE 3000
- ISAE 3410, Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements

### (13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

Data related to waste management were audited by an independent third party. This audit, conducted by PwC, was carried out in line with ISAE 3000 and ISAE 3410 standards. The audit aims to ensure the accurate reporting of waste management processes and to validate efforts made to reduce environmental impacts.

### (13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

**(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.**

### **(13.2.1) Additional information**

*As the first publicly listed technology retailer in Türkiye since 2012, Teknosa integrates sustainability, customer-centric digital transformation, and unique customer experiences at the core of our operations. We understand the transformative power of these elements in driving both business growth and societal impact. Recognizing the climate crisis as one of the most urgent global challenges, we consider it a material issue for our organization. The far-reaching impacts of climate change, including water scarcity, droughts, rising temperatures, extreme weather events, and natural disasters, pose significant risks not only to our business but also to our stakeholders and society. This is why we actively encourage all stakeholders to join us in taking action to mitigate these risks and contribute to climate solutions. Our sustainability strategy is structured around four key pillars: “The Value of Our Employees,” “The Value of Society,” “The Value of the World,” and “The Value of the Future.” These focus areas align with our material topics, capital elements, and the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), ensuring that our efforts contribute to global sustainability objectives. In parallel, our corporate strategy emphasizes three main areas of growth: Retaining and enhancing our existing business with a diverse product portfolio and exceptional customer experiences. Expanding and transforming our business through services such as refurbished products, renewable energy investments, and strategic collaborations in the after-sales space. Executing with a value-driven focus, leveraging Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) activities to enhance our portfolio of sustainable products and services. To further this agenda, Teknosa’s Sustainability Committee, established in 2023, sets the strategic direction across environmental, social, and governance domains. This committee is tasked with developing, executing, and reviewing relevant policies, targets, and implementation plans while supporting the Board of Directors as needed. Our Sustainability Working Groups execute these strategies with agility, focusing on three areas: Fighting the Climate Crisis Developing Sustainable Strategic Business Models Creating Social Value The Sustainability and Occupational Safety Department manages our day-to-day sustainability efforts, reporting directly to the Assistant General Manager of Human Resources and Sustainability. The department ensures seamless integration of sustainability into our operations, setting ambitious targets, raising awareness, forming strategic partnerships, and ensuring compliance with legislation. It also identifies risks and opportunities related to sustainability and climate change, ensuring the company remains proactive and resilient. Additionally, our participation in Sabancı Holding’s Sustainability Leadership Committee demonstrates our alignment with group-wide goals, enabling us to manage sustainability risks and monitor progress toward our sustainability road map, safeguarding the integrity and reputation of both Teknosa and the broader Sabancı Holding. In addition, Teknosa is committed to responsible sourcing practices through its Supplier Code of Conduct. We require our suppliers to adhere to the highest standards of ethical behavior, environmental stewardship, and respect for human rights. The Code outlines clear expectations regarding labor practices, environmental performance, health and safety, and anti-corruption measures.*

### **(13.2.2) Attachment (optional)**

*Teknosa Supplier Code of Conduct.pdf*

[Fixed row]

**(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.**

**(13.3.1) Job title**

*Teknosa CEO*

**(13.3.2) Corresponding job category**

*Select from:*

Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

*[Fixed row]*

**(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.**

*Select from:*

Yes, CDP may share our Disclosure Submission Lead contact details with the Pacific Institute



